

Composite Responses to R14 Consultation – Written Representations

This document provides assessments of the responses received from the following organisations.

Canal & River Trust

H2O on behalf of Jalsea Marina

Royal Mail

Many of the comments within the CWaC response need addressing by inclusion in the final Plan and these are dealt with separately (see highlighted areas of the CWaC letter) except for the following;

CWaC responses related to transport issues.

TRANS1 as written is not a land use policy. Could reword as ‘proposals to improve access to the A49 from Winnington, possibly utilising the disused mineral line, will be supported’.

Action: Reword TRANS1- The Town Council will work with CWAC Council and neighbouring Parishes on proposals to improve access to the A49 from Winnington, possibly utilising the disused mineral railway line between the two.

TRANS2 This issue will need to be addressed strategically, and perhaps is already covered sufficiently under policy HOU5?

Second para – what development and where? Which new bridge? This is unclear as written, but until the need for and feasibility of a new bridge has been fully investigated then we can’t ask for contributions so suggest this is deleted and covered under the text following HOU5

Action: Feel this issue is too high on the public’s list for necessary improvements and too important to delete from this Policy. Agree that the statement needs to be clarified and suggest 2nd Para be replaced with following- ‘Future major developments that impact on Transport issues associated with a possible new crossing of the River Weaver at Winnington will be expected to contribute to the delivery of a new bridge’.

Comments from Paul Parry – Highways Development Officer

The 3rd paragraph says that modifications to existing highway must demonstrate how the highway will be made safer and more accessible for pedestrians and cyclists: Suggested modification to read “any modifications or additions to the existing highway do not negatively impact on cyclist and pedestrian safety and connectivity and must provide suitable access for those users”.

Action: Agree. Amend 3rd Para to read – ‘Any modifications or additions to the existing highway do not negatively impact on cyclist and pedestrian safety and connectivity and must provide suitable access for those users’.

First para is about traffic management on existing streets and is not a land use planning policy, so should be moved to text rather than policy.

Action: Don’t agree with the initial response but suggest removing the term ‘default’ and amending the Policy to read – ‘The Town Council will support the introduction of 20mph speed restrictions for New Major Residential Developments on side roads and cul-de-sacs appropriate to the layout of the development.

Assessment of letter from H2O in relation to Jalsea Marina

In order to fully reflect the NPPF and its approach to boosting significantly housing supply, we would like to suggest for your consideration a minor amendment to the wording of policy HOU1 such that it reads as follows:

“New housing development will be supported on appropriately located and sustainable sites. Development on brownfield land will be actively encouraged, both within the built up area and in peripheral locations where redevelopment opportunities offer a means by which to contribute to the delivery of housing choice.”

Action: reconsider the wording.

Assessment of letter from Canal and River Trust

The following comments are worthy of consideration/inclusion in the final Plan

Objective 13 refers to maintaining good footpath and cycle links and the Trust considers that this could usefully be expanded to refer to also improving such facilities, providing new links or enhancement to existing routes such as by means of towpath improvements, signage etc.

Objective 21 makes specific reference to waterways and, whilst generally supported by the Trust, would request that it be amended to refer to waterfrontages rather than to river banks becoming a focus for business and leisure activities.

HOU3 Character and Design

The content of Policy HOU3 is broadly welcomed. It is however considered that as we do not yet know where land may be allocated for future housing development it would be beneficial to also reference a requirement for any new development adjacent to waterways to have regard to their setting and provide an appropriate active water frontage and for any waterside development to take into account the impact of the development when viewed from the waterway. Whilst this could be by means of additional criteria within Policy HOU3, give the recognised value of the waterways within the Northwich area you may wish to consider inclusion of a **new policy** referring specifically to waterside developments.

NBE5 Protected Green Space and Key Views

The Trust welcome this policy which seeks to protect identified Green Spaces and key views. As waterways are also defined as green infrastructure you may wish to give consideration to their identification as green space worthy of protection for, amongst other things, their recreation, amenity and ecological value.

TRANS6 Pedestrian and Cycling Routes

The Trust would recommend that reference is made to the potential for enhanced pedestrian and cycle routes offered by the Weaver Navigation and for new developments adjacent to the waterway to contribute towards the improvement of such facilities.

HW5 Healthy Designs

The Trust support the inclusion of Policy HW5 which it feels could be usefully be amended by reference to new developments being required to not only provide but also enhance where appropriate existing footways, cycleways etc - including towpaths - or opportunities to link to them and signage.

RTW2 Waterways

The Trust is again pleased to see specific reference to a Waterways policy the content of which is supported. It is considered that the policy could usefully be widened to also include reference to the need to consider the impact of new development when viewed from the waterway.

Action: Relating to housing comments.

The suggestion that HOU3 be strengthened by a requirement that waterside developments have regard to their setting, provide an active water frontage and be evaluated by the view from the waterway I think are consistent with our desire to make more of the local waterways. If colleagues agree in principle to this change the issue is whether to amend HOU3 or add a new policy. Perhaps CWAC can advise on best course.

HOU5 The Trusts comments are reasonable.

Assessment of letter from Royal Mail

The following comment requires further consideration.

This response has been made with specific reference to Policy RC1 in the submission version of the Northwich Neighbourhood Plan. Policy RC1 does not include the specific wording of Policy ECON1 of the Cheshire West and Chester Local Plan (Part One). The wording of Cheshire West and Chester's Local Plan Policy ECON1 is as follows: *"The refurbishment and enhancement of existing sites and premises for continued employment use will be supported. Redevelopment to non-employment uses will be permitted where the proposed use is compatible with existing retained employment uses in the locality and where; the proposal would not limit the range, choice and quality of employment sites available to meet future employment needs or it can be demonstrated that the continued use of the premises for employment use is no longer commercially viable or environmentally acceptable".*

Assessment of representations made by NPL

NPL has been in discussion with the Cheshire West and Chester Council (CWAC) for some time in relation to Fureys Wood. NPL own the freehold of this site and lease the wood to CWAC. The lease is shortly due for renewal in 2017 and discussions are ongoing in relation to a renewal in association with some development. NPL objects to the inclusion of Fureys Wood under this policy designation as Protected Green Space and request its removal from their landownership.

Action: We should remove the area proposed for development from our protected green space map.

NPL are also disappointed that they were not contacted as a key local stakeholder earlier in the Neighbourhood Plan process to discuss their aspirations for Fureys Wood which will bring substantial community benefits. Policy NBE1 - Landscape Character and Development is concerned with the scale and form of development including non-residential schemes. The policy or explanatory text needs to recognise that in relation to non-residential schemes there is often a very good reason why buildings follow a particular scale and design as often in relation to employment uses form follows function. Whilst any planning decision is

likely to be based on positive factors being weighed against negative factors, the explanatory text to policy NBE1 should acknowledge that in relation to non-residential uses that greater flexibility may be required. In relation to the wording of Policy NBE1 the final paragraph should be deleted given the requirements of the first two paragraphs.

Action: Agreed

Policy NBE5 - protected greenspace and key views refers to green spaces listed in appendix 5.4. The appendix needs to be amended to reflect the factual position in relation to extant planning permissions. For example, planning application 13/03676/OUT within the Dane Valley is not shown on the diagram contained in Appendix 5. The site which has planning permission should be reflected on the drawing contained in Appendix 5.4 rather than being shown as protected greenspace under sites of "amenity value" 5.4.17 Dane Valley, Leftwich.

Action: Agreed

The wording of Policy NBE5 should be amended completely as the first two paragraphs do not sit comfortably with the second two paragraphs as they are pulling in different directions. The test set out in paragraph 1 of the policy that greenspace should not be lost or harmed by development except in "exceptional cases" implies that areas protected by greenspace and key views have a status akin to land designated as Green Belt. The policy needs to be redrafted to reflect that whilst the Neighbourhood Plan regards these as important areas there is also a need to have realistic criteria in place which seek to regulate development. It would also appear that the policy has been drafted to specifically deal with the planning permission referred to above, as evidenced by paragraphs 4.5.21 and 4.5.22. The policy seeks to anticipate a situation whereby if the permission lapses then the policy criteria would bite. This is completely at odds with best practice in terms of policy formulation and the references to the particular planning application and commitment in the policy explanatory text should be removed. On the one hand the explanatory text refers to the permission but then completely ignores it in relation to the diagram in Appendix 5.

Cheshire West & Chester Council

Mr C Shaw
Town Clerk
Northwich Town Council
The Council Offices
78 Church Road
Northwich
CW9 5PB

Planning Policy

4 Civic Way, Ellesmere Port
CH65 0BE

Tel: 01244 973887

Our ref: NP021 Regulation 14

Your ref:

Please ask for: Charlotte Aspinall

Email:

charlotte.aspinall@cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk

Web: cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk

Date: 27th November 2015



Dear Chris,

Cheshire West and Chester Consultation Response Draft Northwich Neighbourhood Plan (Regulation 14 Consultation)

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Draft Northwich Neighbourhood Plan.

The Council recognises the significant amount of community consultation and work which has been undertaken in the preparation of the Plan.

In general, the Plan has been positively prepared and reflects the NPPF and adopted Local Plan. We do however have a number of comments from Officers across the Council which are intended to assist with improving the general conformity with strategic policies. These comments are appended to this letter.

Yours sincerely



Charlotte Aspinall

Senior Planning Officer – Planning Policy
Place Strategy

Tel: 01244 973183

Email: charlotte.aspinall@cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk

ACHIEVEMENT
AWARDS 2015

WINNER **MJ** Best Achieving Council



Cheshire West
and Chester

General Comments

The Local Plan (Part One) was adopted in January 2015. The Local Plan policies provide the framework for preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan, together with relevant retained policies within the adopted Vale Royal Local Plan. The Local Plan (Part Two) Land Allocations and Detailed Policies is currently being prepared. Once adopted, it will replace policies in the Vale Royal Local Plan.

Local Plan (Part One) policies which are particularly relevant to the Northwich Neighbourhood Plan are:

- STRAT 1 Sustainable Development
- STRAT2 Strategic Development
- STRAT5 Northwich
- ECON1 Economic growth, employment and enterprise
- ECON2 Town centres
- STRAT11 Infrastructure
- SOC3 Housing mix and type
- SOC1 Delivering affordable housing
- SOC5 Health and Well-being
- ENV5 Historic Environment
- ENV6 High Quality Design
- ENV2 Landscape
- STRAT10 Transport and accessibility

In relation to the preparation of your Neighbourhood Plan, we have undertaken SEA/HRA screening which is currently subject to consultation with the relevant statutory bodies.

Please see below a table of detailed comments for your consideration:

Section	Comment
Front Cover	Include the Plan period - this will be necessary at the Submission stage.
About this draft	Phases of consultation – following submission to CWaC the plan will be subject to a further 6 weeks 'publicity'. This is the last formal consultation stage. The plan and all the comments received at that publicity stage will be passed to the examiner. The examination itself is not a consultation stage.
1.1.8	A SA may still be required for a policy only plan depending on the outcome of the SEA Screening exercise. Should refer here to Strategic Environmental Assessment rather than Sustainability Assessment.
Fig 3	This is a useful map, but it might be helpful to the reader to change the colour of the parish boundary from pink to differentiate it from the A roads.
2.2 Plan Baseline, Issues and Opportunities	<p>Page 21</p> <p>Suggested insertions to 'Heritage':</p> <p>The Plan would benefit from a map of the area indicating all designated heritage assets within the body of the Plan, in the same way that green spaces have been identified. (It is noted that, within appendices, a map of the conservation area is provided, together with lists of listed and locally listed buildings).</p> <p>Reference should be made to the Historic Environment Record (this should form part of the evidence base).</p> <p>Reference should be made to the historic character of the surrounding landscape and the Cheshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Report.</p>
2.2.38 Ecology	<p>Alun Evans – Total Environment Team Leader</p> <p>Whilst Witton Limebeds is referred to in the document there are other statutory and non-statutory wildlife sites in the borough area. These include part of Marshall's Arm Local Nature Reserve (LNR) and a number of Local Wildlife Sites (LWS). The location of the current Local Wildlife Sites are available on CWAC's publically accessible interactive mapping system. The location of individual trees, areas & groups of trees and woodlands protected by Tree Preservation Orders are also available on the system.</p> <p>The Vale Royal Local Plan identified wildlife corridors in the plan area under policy NE 6 e.g. along Wincham Brook. This policy is currently retained as part of the CWAC Local Plan (Part One). These assets could be included within the Appendix.</p>

2.2.39	<p>Flooding – It would be useful within this section to include some text which reflects the requirements of Local Plan policy STRAT5 and ENV1 to require development within areas identified at risk of flooding to consider flood mitigation and management measures and to ensure the development will be safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere.</p>
2.2.41	<p>Mark Leah – Archaeology</p> <p>It is clear that those responsible for the production of the Plan are aware of archaeological matters as they mention Northwich's Area of Archaeological Potential (Paragraph 2.2.41), as defined during research conducted by the Cheshire Historic Towns Survey, and its relationship to the extent of Roman, medieval, and industrial Northwich. I can't see that the Area appears on any of the maps included in the Plan and the compilers want to consider including it on one of these illustrations. There is also mention of archaeological matters in Policy HOU4 (Heritage) where the desirability of protecting and enhancing the historic environment. It may be worth noting the physical preservation is not always possible with regard to archaeological remains and, in these circumstances, the excavation and recording of remains may be required.</p>
2.2.45	<p>Martin Wright - Senior Regulatory Services Officer</p> <p>We note and welcome the specific text and policy mentions for brownfield and contaminated land. On land instability and salt subsidence; recent contact with the Cheshire Brine Compensation Board suggests they would strongly support specific planning policies on land instability and I would recommend they be consulted if they have not responded already.</p>
The Vision	<p>Whilst the Vision has been expanded and improved it is suggested that this is reviewed to ensure that the Vision incorporates all the objectives and issues identified by the community.</p>
Section 3 – Vision and Core Objectives	<p>Page 32 Suggested insertion to 'Objectives':</p> <p>Protect existing heritage assets and promote a high quality historic environment</p>
Objective 8	<p>Carolyn Davis - Capital Development Manager Education Service</p> <p>I have noted the plan does have an objective (8) about ensuring an appropriate number of school places are provided by new housing developments and welcome this. We have a child yield formula which is applied to new housing developments to determine an appropriate financial contribution for school places.</p>

4.1.2	First bullet – change to restrict
Retail and commercial	Suggest that a policy is included in this section setting out general principles for new development in the town centre – e.g. development should positively address the waterways, creating active frontages with high quality public realm; development should respect and wherever possible enhance the existing character of the area, in terms of the design and scale of buildings incorporating high quality landscaping, ensure that the area is fully accessible to all users etc.
Policy RC1	<p>Policy ECON2 of the Local Plan specifies a town centre first approach to new retail development. Town centres uses should be located in town centres and then in edge of centre locations and only if suitable sites are not available, will out of centre sites be considered. This approach should be reflected in Policy RC1. Suggest deletion of ‘or on the edge of’ from the second paragraph.</p> <p>As part of the evidence base for the Local Plan (Part Two) we have commissioned consultants to undertake a review of the Retail Study for the borough. As part of this study the town centre boundaries will be reviewed (it is noted you have identified a town centre boundary at figure 65 of your Plan). Within the town centre boundaries, primary and secondary shopping streets will also be identified. It is suggested that the outputs of this study are considered as your Neighbourhood Plan progresses.</p> <p>Unclear how the role of the existing centre will be assessed?</p>
Policy RC2	Policy RC2 as currently worded is akin to an allocations policy. Suggest that the policy wording is inserted as part of the explanatory text under the town centre section. Alternatively, a policy for Weaver Square may include support for a masterplan approach for the redevelopment of the site and the objective you have identified in your text at policy 4.2.6 that proposals should be sensitively designed.
Policy RC3	<p>Remove the second and third paragraph from the policy text and include in the explanatory text below.</p> <p>This policy also links to Objective 3 under Retail and Commercial.</p>
Policy RC4	<p>This policy is too long. Suggest a separate policy for each of the Neighbourhood Shopping Centres you refer to.</p> <p>First para – suggest inserting ‘from retail or business’ before ‘to residential’ and replace ‘the site’ with ‘the existing use’</p> <p>Consider whether this adds anything to existing Local Plan policy for local centres in Local Plan Policy ECON2.</p> <p>In relation to the relocation of the Leftwich Shopping Centres. Do</p>

	you have a specific site in mind/evidence to support this policy?
Policy RC5	<p>Question whether this adds anything to Local Plan Policy? Applications for out of town retail uses must be supported by an impact assessment.</p> <p>Question how your policy to require the maintenance of existing premises will be implemented?</p>
HOU1	<p>Policy HOU1 should reflect the significant potential for regeneration and re-use of previously developed sites which is unique to Northwich. The housing figures for Northwich set within STRAT5 of the Local Plan reflect this brownfield potential and this should also be reflected in Policy HOU1.</p> <p>For information, the latest housing supply figures for the Northwich area have been published through the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) 2015. The AMR states that of the 4,300 Local Plan requirement between 2010-2030, 687 units have been completed (net) and 3,561 units have planning permission, leaving a requirement for only 52 units over the Plan period. Taking into account a small sites allowance of 252 (net), there is no requirement for the Northwich area (-200) over the Plan period. The position as at 1st April 2015 is therefore one where significant flexibility exists in the supply of land in the Northwich area.</p> <p>In relation to allocation of greenfield sites which is specified in HOU1, not all greenfield proposals will be allocated through the Local Plan. As commented above, policy HOU1 should be revised to reflect the town's brownfield potential.</p>
HOU2	<p>Local Plan policy SOC3 seeks to ensure that new housing development includes a mix of housing types, tenures and sizes of market and affordable housing to support mixed, balanced and sustainable communities. The neighbourhood plan policy HOU2 also seeks to ensure that development provides an appropriate mix of housing types, and as such is welcomed. However, the policy would benefit from amendments to improve its clarity and its conformity with Local Plan policy.</p> <p>To improve conformity with the Local Plan (policies STRAT5 and SOC1) it should be made clear that Neighbourhood Plan policy HOU2 is concerned with the mix and type of housing, rather than the overall level of housing or proportion of affordable housing required. Amending the policy to make it clear that housing need in this context relates to <i>mix, type and tenure</i> would greatly assist.</p> <p>Furthermore, evidence to support the inclusion of this policy would be beneficial e.g. a local housing needs survey.</p>

	<p>Rachel Rens – Housing Policy Officer</p> <p>Paragraph 4.4.3 (page 42). This paragraph refers to mixed housing communities, but then goes on to talk about starter homes and homes for the elderly. I would prefer if it could be expanded to include affordable housing tenures such as social rented, shared ownership and discount for sale homes.</p>
HOU3	<p>May consider a wider design policy for development other than housing, incorporating and identifying local distinctiveness and character and specific design principles like those identified under policy GS9 of the Vale Royal Local Plan.</p>
4.4.6	<p>The capacity identified on the sites within the SHLAA are estimates for the purposes of the SHLAA assessments and do not preclude densities being increased or decreased on sites, subject to site specific details.</p>
HOU4	<p>Suggest that this policy would be better placed under the Environment – Natural and Built Section as related more with Policy NBE2.</p> <p>Reference should be made within this policy to the notable buildings you have identified at Appendix 5.3. Again this policy area is an opportunity to identify and include details of the unique character of the historic assets and features which are important to Northwich.</p> <p>The policy wording could be expanded to state that the Neighbourhood Plan seeks to protect and where possible enhance both designated and non-designated heritage assets and include measures to avoid or minimise impact or mitigate damage of the identified assets and features.</p> <p>Joanna Morgan – Total Environment Conservation and Design</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Plan area contains a large number of designated heritage assets. It would be useful to the Plan if a map identifying these statutorily and locally listed assets were included.</p> <p>It is important that the term ‘new development’ should embrace all kinds of change such as window replacement, extensions, etc., the cumulative impact of which can seriously erode an areas local distinctiveness and character. The policies need to be robust, as it is against these that Council Officers will assess planning applications (including those for smaller-scale development, such as alterations and extensions), and on which they rely to rebut challenges at appeal.</p> <p>As part of the Evidence Base, the Historic Environment Record</p>

	<p>should be consulted and referenced within the Plan. This identifies the locations of nationally and locally listed buildings; scheduled monuments; and conservation areas within the Neighbourhood Plan area.</p> <p>Suggested Heritage Statements for inclusion within the Plan:</p> <p>The Northwich area contains a large number of heritage assets. The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to protect these assets and promote an overall high quality setting for them, to sustain and enhance their significance for the enjoyment of the local community and visitors alike.</p> <p>The character and local distinctiveness of Northwich and the surrounding district is due as much to small details as it is to individual historic assets. The cumulative negative impact of small-scale development, such as extensions, window replacement, etc. can destroy the uniformity and commonality which makes the area more than a collection of individual buildings. The Neighbourhood Plan recognises the contribution which small elements make to the character of the area and seeks to protect them.</p>
HOU5	<p>As you have stated earlier in your Plan, you are not intending to allocate sites but work with the Council to support appropriate allocations through the Local Plan (Part Two). For clarity, the wording of policy HOU5 should include “Should the release of land at Winnington Works come forward for development through the Local Plan.....</p> <p>You may also consider removing this as a specific policy and include the policy text within your explanatory text for the Winnington Works site.</p> <p>The key issues for this development site can still be identified as well as the aspirations for the site which have come out of your community consultation. These issues can then be taken into consideration and used as part of the evidence base as we progress with the identification of allocations within the Local Plan (Part Two).</p>
Section 4.5 – Environment, Natural and Built	<p>Page 46</p> <p>Suggested additional policy relating to the protection of heritage assets:</p> <p>Designated and non-designated heritage assets contribute to local distinctiveness. They should be protected and, where possible, enhanced in a manner appropriate to their significance. Measures should be put in place to avoid or minimise impact, or mitigate damage.</p>

NBE1	<p>This policy is unclear whether it relates to the landscape or built environment and may benefit from separating the two elements.</p> <p>This policy needs to be clearer in terms of identifying the character of the Plan area to which you refer. For example make reference to the key views map which you have put within your Appendix and define and map the “character areas” which you reference at para 4.5.4 which applicants must adhere to.</p>
Policy NBE 3	Policy welcomed
NBE4 4.5.19	<p>Include “Where appropriate” in the policy text which refers to the implementation of renewable energy requirements.</p> <p>Like the policy wording, para 4.5.19 should include the words “where appropriate” as thresholds for the implementation of SUDS will be set by national standards and CWAC and so will vary. SUDS schemes will only be required for major development.</p>
NBE5	<p>Pleased to see inclusion of this policy. The spaces you have identified could be formally designated as Local Green Space, which is a designation in NPPF which gives very strong protection (akin to green belt). The appendix would need to clearly show how each space meets the NPPF criteria for designation. A guidance note on the process of designating Local Green Space is attached to our consultation response email for information.</p>
TRANS1	<p>TRANS1 as written is not a land use policy. Could reword as ‘proposals to improve access to the A49 from Winnington, possibly utilising the disused mineral line, will be supported’.</p>
TRANS2	<p>This issue will need to be addressed strategically, and perhaps is already covered sufficiently under policy HOU5?</p> <p>Second para – what development and where? Which new bridge? This is unclear as written, but until the need for and feasibility of a new bridge has been fully investigated then we can’t ask for contributions so suggest this is deleted and covered under the text following HOU5</p>
TRANS6	<p>Paul Parry – Highways Development Officer</p> <p>The 3rd paragraph says that modifications to existing highway must demonstrate how the highway will be made safer and more accessible for pedestrians and cyclists: Suggested modification to read “any modifications or additions to the existing highway do not negatively impact on cyclist and pedestrian safety and connectivity and must provide suitable access for those users”.</p>
TRANS8	<p>First para is about traffic management on existing streets and is not a land use planning policy, so should be moved to text rather than policy.</p>

	<p>Paul Parry – Highways Development Officer</p> <p>20mph speed limits – When agreeing design layouts for new residential estate roads, the Council seek 20mph to be the design speed on non through routes. However there is no policy backing for this and therefore the 2nd part of the policy part about making roads 20mph limits by default needs to be removed. If CWaC make a blanket policy about 20mph speed limits, then that would come into force and in that scenario there would be no need for such a policy in this document. I don't consider the 2nd part of TRANS 8 would be defensible in an appeal situation.</p>
HW2	<p>Requiring this off-site provision for all residential development goes beyond the requirements of Policy STRAT11 of the Local Plan. To facilitate the delivery of such community facilities, new development, where appropriate will be required to contribute towards the Council's identified infrastructure priorities through CIL if this is introduced by the Council. Other planning obligations will need to be directly related to the nature and potential impact of a development taking into account any material considerations including viability of the development.</p> <p>Suggest deleting 'all' at the beginning of the policy, delete reference to 'off site' (because need to also include on site) and add at the end of the first sentence 'where these are clearly related to and required by the development'.</p>
HW4	<p>This seems quite onerous. Will need justification for requiring this kind of assessment.</p>
HW5	<p>Policy could be expanded to include better access to green space areas to encourage use with associated health benefits</p>
RTW1	<p>Tourist attractions can become big traffic generators, so perhaps could add that wherever possible development should be accessible by a range of transport modes, including cycling, walking and public transport.</p>
RTW2	<p>Could also mention support for increased access along the waterways – cycle routes and footpaths? The text in 4.8.7 and 4.8.8 could be included in this policy.</p>

Northwich NDP – Pre-Submission Comments – March 2017

Section	Comment
Foreword – second para	Delete reference to ‘first draft’.
General	Where the Local Plan is referenced in the document, suggest using the wording: Local Plan (Part One) or Local Plan (Part Two) for consistency.
Section 1.1	It would be useful for the reader to be able to see the designated Neighbourhood Area at the beginning of the Plan. Suggest maybe including a paragraph in this introductory section explaining when the Neighbourhood Plan Area was designated and include the A4 map used at page 5 of the Consultation Statement (it is one of the requirements of the submission documentation to clearly show the Neighbourhood Area in the Plan itself).
RC1	<p>Town Centre</p> <p>It is important that this policy is amended to make a distinction between the Primary Shopping Area and the wider Town Centre boundary and which retail uses are appropriate in these areas.</p> <p>We attach a map taken from the Local Plan Preferred Approach document which defines the Town Centre Boundary and the Primary Shopping Area, which we suggest you use at Appendix 5.2 of your Plan to replace the Town Centre boundary you currently show.</p> <p>We suggest the following wording amendments to Policy RC1:</p> <p><i>The Town Centre (see Appendix 5.2, Fig 68) should remain the focal area where shops, services and community facilities are clustered.</i></p> <p><i>New development in use classes A2 to A5 (see page 76) should be provided within this area unless a sequential test demonstrates that there are no suitable sites available. New or enhanced facilities for tourists and visitors in the Town Centre will be supported.</i></p> <p><i>New A1 retail should be located in the primary shopping area or the Barons Quay development and should be proportionate to the scale and role of the existing centre in meeting the needs of the local community. The loss of A1 retail will be resisted, unless it can be demonstrated the use is no longer viable.</i></p>
RC2	Suggest rewording to ‘Redevelopment of all or part of the Weaver Square site for a use consistent with policy ECON2..... and in accordance with an agreed masterplan, will be supported.
RC4	<p>Neighbourhood Shopping Centres</p> <p>It would be useful here if you could list all the Neighbourhood Centres you have identified at Fig 41 and provide a larger scale map of each of these shopping centres. All Neighbourhood Centres apart from Castle have been deleted for inclusion within the Local Plan. It will therefore be for your policy RC4 to clearly define these areas.</p>

	<p>Winnington – insert ‘new’ before Neighbourhood Shopping Centre. Question the reason for specifically mentioning fast food as a desirable use here, taking into consideration the Health and Wellbeing policies which feature later in your Plan?. Could instead add something here about being in a central, accessible location with adequate parking and good access on foot/by bike etc?</p>
RC5	<p>We suggest changing the title of this policy to ‘Out of Centre’ and refer to out of centre in the policy rather than out of town.</p> <p>You might also consider making reference to the requirement set out in Local Plan policy ECON2 which states that an impact assessment for development providing greater than 1000m² gross floorspace for town centre uses in an edge or out of centre location will be required.</p>
RC6	Should this policy apply to within the town centre boundary?
Policy RC7	Should this read ‘mixed economy’ rather than mix?
EE1	<p>Second para – could add something here about impacts on neighbouring land uses (residential amenity etc)?</p> <p>3rd para – clarify this refers to alternative, non employment uses on existing employment sites. Could reword to ‘proposals for alternative uses of existing employment land and premises must demonstrate that the continued use is no longer....’</p>
HOU1 – see our previous comments	<p>It is suggested that Policy HOU1 should reflect the significant potential for regeneration and re-use of previously developed sites which is unique to Northwich. The brownfield potential of Northwich is recognised and set within policy STRAT5 of the Local Plan.</p> <p>In relation to allocation of greenfield sites which is specified in HOU1, not all greenfield proposals will be allocated through the Local Plan. As commented above, it is suggested that policy HOU1 should be revised to reflect the town’s brownfield potential.</p> <p>Suggest deleting “Where greenfield land is proposed it should be consistent with allocation in the Local Plan” and</p> <p>“Any sites coming forward outside the Local Plan allocations will not be considered appropriate unless it meets all of the following criteria”</p> <p>Add “New housing sites should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be within safe and accessible walking distance of the town centre of Northwich or local centres at Winnington, Castle, Leftwich, Station Road, Witton, or Middlewich Road, Witton; • Provide appropriate and proportionate community facilities, such as schools, shops, workplaces, parks, play areas, pubs or cafes; • Have good safe access to public transport.
HOU2	Suggest first para could be moved to text

HOU4	<p>The policy numbering needs amending as HOU4 (Heritage) has now been deleted.</p> <p>See comments under section 4.5 relating to heritage.</p>
Policy NBE1a	<p>Not all planning applications require a Design and Access Statement https://www.gov.uk/guidance/making-an-application#Design-and-Access-Statement</p>
NBE1b	<p>This policy would benefit from sub-headings within the policy text as the policy covers several issues including for example, design and layout, landscape and townscape character, key views, landscape and biodiversity and Green Infrastructure.</p>
NBE1c	<p>Suggest first para is moved to text Final bullet – add ‘Incorporate high quality</p> <p>suggest deleting ‘extensive’ from penultimate bullet point.</p>
NBE2	<p>Suggest deleting ‘significant’ from the third line as unnecessary. Existing character might be detrimental to the area in which case this could be an opportunity to enhance? Could add ‘and wherever possible, is enhanced’ at the end?</p>
NBE3	<p>Query whether the second sentence of the second bullet is required? Suggest it could be deleted to make the policy more concise.</p>
Heritage	<p>It is noted that HOU4 (Heritage) has been deleted as a separate policy and policy requirements for the consideration of the historic environment have been mentioned within other policies primarily NBE2 – Alterations and Extensions.</p> <p>Suggest that the historic environment is addressed under a separate policy as this issue does not just relate just to alteration and extensions. Suggest removing the word ‘significant’ from this policy and leave as “impact on heritage assets and their setting”.</p> <p>Please make reference to the Council’s:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Northwich Conservation Area Appraisal and; • The Northwich Conservation Area Management Plan <p>(these documents are currently being finalised – March 2017)</p> <p>See also previous comments from Joanna Morgan – Conservation Officer:</p> <p>Suggest that this policy would be better placed under the Environment – Natural and Built Section as related more with Policy NBE2.</p> <p><i>Reference should be made within this policy to the notable buildings you have identified at Appendix 5.3. Again this policy area is an opportunity to identify and include details of the unique character of the historic assets and features which are important to Northwich.</i></p> <p><i>The policy wording could be expanded to state that the Neighbourhood Plan seeks</i></p>

	<p><i>to protect and where possible enhance both designated and non-designated heritage assets and include measures to avoid or minimise impact or mitigate damage of the identified assets and features.</i></p> <p><i>The Neighbourhood Plan area contains a large number of designated heritage assets. It would be useful to the Plan if a map identifying these statutorily and locally listed assets were included.</i></p> <p><i>It is important that the term ‘new development’ should embrace all kinds of change such as window replacement, extensions, etc., the cumulative impact of which can seriously erode an areas local distinctiveness and character. The policies need to be robust, as it is against these that Council Officers will assess planning applications (including those for smaller-scale development, such as alterations and extensions), and on which they rely to rebut challenges at appeal.</i></p> <p><i>As part of the Evidence Base, the Historic Environment Record should be consulted and referenced within the Plan. This identifies the locations of nationally and locally listed buildings; scheduled monuments; and conservation areas within the Neighbourhood Plan area.</i></p> <p><i>Suggested Heritage Statements for inclusion within the Plan:</i></p> <p><i>The Northwich area contains a large number of heritage assets. The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to protect these assets and promote an overall high quality setting for them, to sustain and enhance their significance for the enjoyment of the local community and visitors alike.</i></p> <p><i>The character and local distinctiveness of Northwich and the surrounding district is due as much to small details as it is to individual historic assets. The cumulative negative impact of small-scale development, such as extensions, window replacement, etc. can destroy the uniformity and commonality which makes the area more than a collection of individual buildings. The Neighbourhood Plan recognises the contribution which small elements make to the character of the area and seeks to protect them.</i></p>
Para 4.5.13	Delete reference to Article 4 Directions as not all listed buildings are covered by an Article 4.
NBE4	<p>Suggest changing ‘will’ to ‘should’ in the first line. Perhaps replace ‘national renewable energy targets’ with ‘sustainable development’ (energy efficiency measures may not necessarily contribute to renewable energy targets)</p> <p>As per previous comments:</p> <p><i>Include “Where appropriate” or “where required” in the policy text which refers to the implementation of renewable energy requirements.</i></p> <p><i>Like the policy wording, para 4.5.19 should include the words “where appropriate” as thresholds for the implementation of SUDS will be set by national standards and CWAC and so will vary. SUDS schemes will only be required for major development.</i></p>
4.6.2	Reference to HOU5 may change if the policy numbers are re-ordered.
NBE5	Suggest separating out key views into another policy so this element doesn’t get ‘lost’.

	<p>It would be useful here to expand on the evidence provided to support the list of protected green space and key views listed at Appendix 5.4. All spaces proposed to be designated as Local Green Space/Protected Greenspace will need to be fully justified in light of the guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>We would draw your attention to other neighbourhood plans which sought to include Local Green Spaces.</p> <p>Local Green Space was a matter for consideration in the Examination of the Malpas and the Tarporley Neighbourhood Plans. The Examiner for the Malpas Plan concluded that “owing to the fact that sites identified as Local Green Space in the Plan do not comply with the criteria for designating Local Green Space set out in national planning policy and the accompanying Planning Practice Guidance, and/or have not been adequately justified, I have to recommend the policy be deleted in order to meet the Basic Conditions”.</p> <p>The Examiner for the Tarporley Plan considered the evidence base for the identified Local Green Spaces and concluded: “The Appendices and supporting information to the Neighbourhood Plan set out why each proposed Local Green Space meets the requirements of the Framework. In this regard, I am also mindful that the Neighbourhood Plan has emerged through robust consultation. Taking all this into account, I am satisfied that the designation of the nine areas of Local Green Space proposed meets the basic conditions”.</p> <p>Please see Appendix 1 of the Tarporley Neighbourhood Plan as an example of the evidence and mapping which was produced in this case:</p> <p>http://consult.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/portal/cwc_ldf/np/tarporley_ref</p> <p>A guidance note is also provided in the Council’s Neighbourhood Planning Toolkit:</p> <p>http://consult.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/portal/cwc_ldf/np/toolkit</p> <p>(Guidance note on justifying Local Green Space designation in neighbourhood plans).</p>
TRANS5	<p>Please refer to previous comments from the Council’s Highways Development Officer:</p> <p><i>“The 3rd paragraph says that modifications to existing highway must demonstrate how the highway will be made safer and more accessible for pedestrians and cyclists: Suggested modification to read “any modifications or additions to the existing highway do not negatively impact on cyclist and pedestrian safety and connectivity and must provide suitable access for those users”.</i></p>
TRANS6	<p>You may consider making reference to the Council’s emerging Parking Strategy in this section:</p> <p>http://inside.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/get_involved/consultations/parking_strategy_consultation</p>

TRANS7	This section may need renumbering as TRANS7 is missing.
TRANS8	See previous comments: <i>"20mph speed limits – When agreeing design layouts for new residential estate roads, the Council seek 20mph to be the design speed on non through routes. However there is no policy backing for this and therefore the 2nd part of the policy part about making roads 20mph limits by default needs to be removed. If CWaC make a blanket policy about 20mph speed limits, then that would come into force and in that scenario there would be no need for such a policy in this document. I don't consider the 2nd part of TRANS 8 would be defensible in an appeal situation".</i>
HW2	It would be helpful to define what is meant here by community facilities – does it include private facilities like pubs? Or just public facilities like libraries, community centres etc?
HW4	Please see previous comments: <i>This seems quite onerous. Will need justification for requiring this kind of assessment.</i> Whilst it is acknowledged that this requirement formed part of draft policy DM20 of the Local Plan Preferred Approach, the consultation has revealed a number of responses raising concern that the requirement for a HIA for all major development is too onerous. The Council will be required to undertake more research, viability testing and evidence to support this policy and to consider who will be responsible for implementing and co-ordinating the HIAs.
HW5	Suggest deleting 'All' from the beginning as this will not be appropriate for all minor applications e.g. conservatories etc.
RTW4	Could you please provide a map showing the boundary of the Leisure Complex and the 'boundaries' you refer to at paragraph 4.8.13.
RTW5	This is currently not a land use policy. May consider re-wording e.g. 'Proposals which support and improve facilities for voluntary arts, drama..... will be supported.

Consultation Statement

- The Stage 4 consultation (May 2015 – October 2015) is incorrectly referred to as being submitted to the Council for the statutory public consultation period. This stage is the Regulation 14 – Pre-submission consultation and publicity stage which was undertaken by the qualifying body (the Town Council) rather than the Borough Council. The Plan doesn't get formally submitted to the Council until the Regulation 15 stage (which is the stage you are currently working towards).
This reference is made at a few points within the statement e.g. the contents page, the Stage 4 heading and para 5.4.9.
- Suggest taking out the email addresses at Appendix L as these will be in the public domain.

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments
Retail and Commercial	1	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	2	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	3	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	4	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	5	Yes, partly	I agree weaver square should be demolished along with the indoor market. Altrincham has successfully turned their indoor market into a popular food and bar hall. That used an existing charming structure which Northwich doesn't have so would need to be a new construction. The Hill section of Witton Street is a mess especially the paving near Weaver Square. This can't be left as is and needs to complement Barons Quays.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments agree with policy. Witton Street comments do not relate to a planning policy and in any case are being addressed through the Barons Quay regeneration project.
Retail and Commercial	6	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	7	Yes	Demolition and redevelopment of Weaver Square and old town council building are a must, however it would be good to save/keep revamp the market	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	8	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	9	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	10	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	11	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	12	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	13	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	14	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	15	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	16	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	17	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	18	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	19	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	20	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	21	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	22	Yes	It's a great idea!	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	23	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	24	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	25	Yes, partly	The market area and Weaver Square need to be knocked down. Provision should be made for another market. The existing market area and Weaver Square are situated in key areas and need to be developed sensitively. There needs to be some provision for some open space (green space, not concrete) and trees to improve the appearance of the area. Using the area for housing would be inappropriate and detrimental to the town centre. The library should be protected, both as a facility and as an historic building. The Plaza Bingo Hall should also be developed into a leisure venue. Before providing more retail units, the ones on the new Barons Quay development need to be let. I'm concerned that there does not seem to be much publicity about the new occupants, which suggests that they're not being let out that easily. Empty units (new or old) will look worse than open spaces. I do not agree that we need greater provision for food retail, unless this means restaurants. We have enough supermarkets. Any development should be sensitive to the black and white architecture in the town.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments broadly agree with policy. Final use of Weaver Square is not restricted by current policy and will be addressed by further consultation by CW&C. Architectural preferences addressed in policy NBE1. Protection of Brunner Library building is secured through Grade II Listed status. Retention of a library facility and specific development of Plaza Cinema / Bingo Hall outside scope of NP.
Retail and Commercial	26	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	27	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	28	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	29	Yes, partly	Yes, I agree in principle, but would not agree with the proposal to create a large new market area in the town centre	Respondent comment rejected	Consensus of opinion is that Northwich should retain a market in some form.
Retail and Commercial	30	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Retail and Commercial	31 Yes, partly	I'd imagine that retailers will be attracted back into town once Baron's Quay opens. If Weaver Square was to be demolished, are there enough vacant shops outside that development to satisfy demand? If nationals start to move in it could squeeze independent retailers out. The current market lacks quality. If the type of stalls that attend the Artisan market were there on a more regular basis it would become more of a destination. Weaver Square is a prime retail location. I think that parking, residential properties or a bus terminus would reduce the offering for shoppers. A department store has been suggested before. Could we attract one to the town? I'm not sure that the location of shops in Leftwich is the issue. McColls covers most general convenience shopping needs and it's easy enough to go into town for anything else. Maybe they would be better suited as offices?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	<p>Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C. John Fifield comments that re NNP : RC4 – Leftwich Shops</p> <p>Further to our discussion on Thursday I have been reflecting and I am not at all happy about NNP failing to address the totally inadequate retail provision at Leftwich.</p> <p>If we do not address this I feel that we will have let down the many thousands of people who live in that area.</p> <p>I appreciate that you do not want anything to jeopardise the “green spaces” though frankly there is more than enough room on the London Road frontage to have plenty of “green” and a nice little Neighbourhood Centre. In my opinion NNP must make it quite clear that the present facility is no longer fit for purpose and it must either be significantly improved or relocated.</p> <p>In relation to RC5 (out of town) whilst I accept that it “adds nothing to the Local Plan” I can see no reason whatsoever not to include it and thus enforce the position. In my view, RC should include NNP’s entire Policy. It is this document which most local people will refer to rather than wading through CWAC’s Local Plan.</p> <p>I would be obliged if you would reflect on both matters.</p>
Retail and Commercial	32 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	33 Yes, partly	The existing market and shopping centre (Weaver Court?) is in terrible condition and should be demolished and replaced by a bus station.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	34 Yes	I think it relevant to point out that we do not need any more supermarkets.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	35 Yes, partly	<p>RC1 - Agree that the town centre needs to become the focus. The out-of-town retail park houses some appropriate stores, but stores like Next really need to be pulled into the centre. Weaver Square has seen it's best days, alongside the market. While I'm sure there are people who's livelihoods depend on the stores / stalls they have in these venues the space and use of the area should be reconsidered. Altrincham market is a fantastic example of giving young businesses the facilities to be able to build their varied businesses - the need for shop infrastructure is becoming, and will become, more and more irrelevant with the advent of mobile payment systems and checkouts that can be operated on tablet devices...</p> <p>Northwich could provide a place for entrepreneurs to begin businesses while serving the local community. Shifting the bus station into the space occupied by either the current market or the old court would make a great difference. The existing pedestrianised town centre, I think has some highs and lows - and I presume the public realm work started at either end will be completed to make a pleasant highstreet. The development of Barons Quay is something I am looking forward and excited by it's architecture - though the range of shops and restaurants it houses will be critical. I don't see the value of putting housing in the town centre - it's not a Manchester / Chester... In terms of neighbourhood Shopping Centres I feel these are vital - in Winnington there is no actual centre yet a large number of increasing residents.</p>	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C. Remaining comments broadly agree with policy.
Retail and Commercial	36 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	37 Yes	Areas that are "down at heel" are off-putting to shoppers	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	38 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	39 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	40 Yes, partly	Should all keep with black and white buildings Market needs updating New shops to attract younger people 20-30 Bars and restaurants in town for night time.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Architectural preferences addressed in policy NBE1.
Retail and Commercial	41 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	42 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	43 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	44 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Retail and Commercial	45 Yes, partly	Concerns that local traders/market stall holders may not be catered for	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Policy RC3 covers retention / updating of market. The exact nature of a future market would be covered by further consultation and is outside the scope of the NP.
Retail and Commercial	46 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	47 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	48 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	49 Yes, partly	Yes particularly your market proposals. The current market is depressing, in the wrong location and just adds to the ghost town/desolate Weaver Square area. Any new visitors to Northwich getting off a bus would understandably jump back on the next bus. 4.2.10 I agree: Artisan Market is a breath of fresh air and shows with decent stalls people come. Town is buzzing on these days	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Comments do not cite an area of disagreement.
Retail and Commercial	50 Yes	Close Weaver Square	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	51 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	52 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	53 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	54 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	55 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	56 Yes	I welcome the proposal to knock down the market and possibly replace it with a new bus terminus. A new, more modern centre for more retail outlets are sorely needed.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	57 Yes, partly	Agree - shops should be clustered together - please let go of old empty complex shops in precinct. Replace with markethall style eatery as in Altrincham - busy buzzing area where all can meet to chat and have a good lunch or just a coffee - meets needs of all generations - young and old.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Policy RC3 covers retention / updating of market. The exact nature of a future market would be covered by further consultation and is outside the scope of the NP.
Retail and Commercial	58 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	59 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	60 Yes	Market needs relocating to Barons Quay area. Weaver Square needs complete overhaul and redevelopment. with building of Barons Quay focal centre of Northwich has moved. Riverfront must be developed	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	61 No answer given	I've not read, however pleased to see new development of cinema, restaurants and shops	Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	62 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	63 Yes	We need artisan shops eg bakery, cheese shop and a market in a similar style to Bury	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	64 Yes, partly	The precinct needs definite attention - maybe more parking. Definitely not more residential as far too much as it is. Give Northwich a Primark	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	65 Yes	Great	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	66 Yes, partly	Northwich is a market town. We should attract people and traders by giving a fit for purpose market	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Comments do not cite an area of disagreement.
Retail and Commercial	67 Yes, partly	No mention of the disastrous gyratory system. Commuters, businesses, retailers have suffered considerably as a consequence of its introduction. It is our firm belief that the whole community will benefit from a reversion of the gyratory system.	Respondent comment rejected	Comments do not relate to Retail and Commercial section of the NP. Transport infrastructure priority established through consultation is to address access to Winnington from the North and West. Undoing the gyratory system would use resources that could otherwise be spent on this objective.
Retail and Commercial	68 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	69 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	70 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	71 Yes, partly	RC2 and RC3 The recent introduction of the Artisan Market has brought life to an otherwise dreary and uninspiring town centre, showing the need for a market of some description. However, as described in the plan, the existing covered and indoor markets are not thriving and Weaver Square is dead. Building Baron's Quay will, as described, further move the town centre away from the market and Weaver Square (and possibly Witton Street beyond the library). The plan needs to address the future of these areas rather than just letting their outcome drift.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Northwich NP is not allocating sites so cannot mandate the final use of Weaver Square. CW&C are currently working on a masterplan for the area, which will be subject to further consultation.
Retail and Commercial	72 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	73 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	74 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	75 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	76 Yes, partly	the markets would be better off being revamped but kept in the same place with weaver way shopping arcade being transferred into a market quarter from the corner at the side of the crown pub upto the back of quality save. it is in an ideal place for the bus stops as I think that is central to the market and incorporate the artisan market and teen market into this area so its not in the way of the high street.	Respondent comment rejected	Weight of comments is it relocate market if possible, but keeping an updated market on the existing site is a possible outcome of CW&C masterplanning process for the site. This will be subject to further consultation.

Retail and Commercial	77 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	78 Yes	Something needs to be done with Weaver Square, there is so much investment in Northwich currently but Weaver Square is virtually empty, the shops are not big enough to attract retailers large enough to pay the rents, it would be a good idea to redevelop this site along with the bus station to make the bus station larger and rebuild the market to make it fit for purpose, also the market should be open 6 days per week with a Sunday market as well.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	79 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	80 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	81 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	82 Yes, partly	demolish the lot and rebuild a mixed use development with new market, restaurants and flats, in keeping with the timbered buildings. The current buildings and market hall are ugly.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	83 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	84 Yes, partly	I believe Northwich has the potential to become a local retail hub. It has the only Marks and Spencer in the area but little has been done to capitalise on this. Obviously the Barons Quay development should address some of the retail shortcomings of the town but it is disappointing that only Asda is confirmed as a retailer (another food provider). Northwich should try and attract TK Maxx and Wilkos into the town who would be successful retailers. Northwich needs to look to Altrincham for inspiration on how to redevelop and reinvigorate the market. Altrincham indoor market is fantastic with amazing food offerings.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Comments do not cite an area of disagreement. Letting strategy for Barons Quay outside scope.
Retail and Commercial	85 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	86 Yes, partly	4.2.8 The market should be "old fashioned" (visit Accrington or even Crewe and Nantwich) and situated where it is now, expanding into the hopefully razed to the ground Weaver Square with its own car park (and decent functioning loos). If it is by Baron's Quay shoppers will go there, shop, and go home, ignoring the rest of the town with proper shops like 2 greengrocers and 3 butchers. This behaviour is shown now at M & S and Sainsbury's. The market should also have cheap rents and rates and offer stalls to the types of (non-food) businesses that come to the Artisans' market. The food outlet should be a proper market cafe, as it is now.	Respondent comment rejected	Weight of comments is it relocate market if possible, but keeping an updated market on the existing site is a possible outcome of CW&C masterplanning process for the site. This will be subject to further consultation. Operational management of the market outside scope.
Retail and Commercial	87 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	88 Yes	I agree with the plans for Weaver Square. In recent times WS has been in decline because the units have not been big enough to house expanding national retailers. Once they pull out it is harder for Independents to maintain a presence. Coupled with the loss of the management company and the overall design of the Square. I would like to see a mix of car parking and some housing on the site together with quality urban design and space for people to sit. The housing would serve the night time economy of new cinema and restaurants and help alleviate the housing shortage and building in surrounding villages. I agree that hotels should be encouraged, a great place for this would be on the site of the old council offices. I agree with the proposals of a new market. as a market town it would be great to see a high quality building. I agree with the analogy of Bury market.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	89 Yes, partly	4.2.8 The market should be where it is now, extending into hopefully demolished Weaver Square, with its own car park. It should be old fashioned style, see Accrington, Crewe, Nantwich and have low rates and rents to encourage classy stalls eg Artisan market.(also clean usable toilets) It should have a proper market café,as now, and no other food outlets to avoid too much smell. If it is at Baron's Quay people will just park there, shop and go home, as they do now at M & S and Sainsbury's and ignore the rest of the town with 2 proper greengrocers and 3 butchers etc.	Respondent comment rejected	Weight of comments is it relocate market if possible, but keeping an updated market on the existing site is a possible outcome of CW&C masterplanning process for the site. This will be subject to further consultation. Operational management of the market outside scope.
Retail and Commercial	90 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	91 Yes	there seems a lot of potential for the market to be expanded into the nearly empty weaver square now there is plenty of shops between there and the new barons quay hopefully it could become a market quarter of town	Respondent answered yes	

Retail and Commercial	92 Yes, partly	To a large degree, yes. The town centre is dispersed and unfocussed. The new development at Baron's Quay creates further dispersal rather than focussing the town centre. The main street runs from the Bull Ring to beyond the library and should be the focus for the town centre. Anything else, Weaver Square, Baron's Court, detracts from this. There is no significant reason to visit Northwich town centre any more with the loss of so many small shops; One Step Beyond, Jessops and Wildings and so many others. The loss of the major chains is, frankly, of much lesser importance to me. They add nothing to the character of the town centre. The council has consistently messed up with the town centre development; Weaver Square, concrete buildings (every one of them "municipal"), the location of Waitrose, the monstrosity that has just been created at Memorial Hall, a dual carriageway through the town centre and now Baron's Quay, towering above the existing town. I have no faith that the council is capable of doing anything other than destroying Northwich while paying virtuous lip service to "black and white buildings" and "supporting local traders".	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Architectural preferences addressed in policy NBE1. Time travel is outside the scope of the NP.
Retail and Commercial	93 Yes	I think the development of a new, mainly indoor, shopper friendly market is most important, with local food producers and craftspeople to be encouraged.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	94 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	95 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	96 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	97 Yes	4.2.10 I find the Artisan Market a major draw with its varied range of stalls and quality of merchandise	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	98 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	99 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	100 Yes, partly	I agree that Northwich has at its heart a market, and that market space should be retained as a fundamental feature of Northwich and its economic and community benefits should be recognised. I would support a consultation about how to offer a market in the medium to long term. Provision of an indoor market is a good idea, but this needs to fulfil certain criteria in terms of quality of offer and management. Outdoor space is at a premium in a developing town. The success of the Artisan market should inform policy makers about the draw to the town for visitors and possibly this could be a way to resolve outdoor market trading rather than the existing market hall and strange indoor outdoor market that we currently have. The positioning of a new market hall needs careful consideration. The suggestion to site this near the new ASDA supermarket is sensible - but some consideration should be taken in terms of damaging foot flow to the existing High Street / Witton Street trading areas with too much discrete development behind the traditional main shopping street. New developments must be in keeping with the traditional magpie buildings of Northwich. Modern glass (and plastic turquoise) should be discouraged! Weaver Square shops backing on to chesterway in my opinion should be demolished and a more welcoming face to Northwich developed with an emphasis on Artisan/independent shops to welcome visitors and shoppers. I would not support ideas for social housing in the town centre, although there is space for housing over shop units and this should be affordable. Landlords of town centre properties need to take more responsibility for the upkeep of buildings in an effort to ensure Northwich has a quality professional offer to shoppers. This should be a matter of some consideration for all retail units in the plan area. Empty properties need careful management and perhaps a contingency to regularise these with 'in window' graphics might mean they are not so visually empty. I wholly agree with the draft plan vis a vis office space. A new bus terminus is important - this should be considered in conjunction with the siting of an indoor market - but caution should be exercised not to create a ghetto feel as is often the case with modern bus and market developments. Car parking is an emotive issue in Northwich and has always been. The proposal under CW&C's Lets Talk consultation to charge for parking should be rejected at all costs. Cost free parking is a major benefit to shoppers and visitors and alternatives risk damaging the vitality of our town centre both	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	A number of interesting comments and ideas offered, but many outside scope of the NP. Architectural preferences addressed in policy NBE1.
Retail and Commercial	101 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	102 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	103 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	104 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	105 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	106 Yes	Weaver Square should definitely be more utilised. Since Woolworths went there is little reason for people to go there and with more shops closing down, people just go through the main high street instead.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	107 Yes, partly	Objective 1 Supporting Northwich 's shops. I think this is almost too late, the shopping area has been devastated by closures. Yes an evening economy is required but there is no mention of cultural experiences eg theatre I think it is important that there are easy links between all areas of the town. Yes market should include artisan stalls.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Cultural experiences covered by RTW policies.

Retail and Commercial	108 Yes, partly	Consider using the covered market as an all weather play area and demolish Weaver Square and the indoor market to become a new car park and seating area Consider wooden cladding the old council building opposite Memorial Court to disguise how ugly it is or demolish it for more central car parking opportunities or seating/picnic area Consider offering cheaper business rates to get small shops filled up Consider offering empty premises to be doctors/dentists etc Consider empty properties (including office space) to be redeveloped residential accommodation	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square / Watling Street Office Building comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	109 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	110 Yes, partly	RC1 - it has to be reflected the Barons Quay development will change the draw of the town centre, attracting people to the new scheme, potentially at the detriment current high street given its length. As such, it has to be considered the shape and nature of buildings in the town centre boundary may need to change to accomodate additional uses other than retail. For Example, housing. RC4 - Makes reference to a Neighbourhood Shopping Centre in Winnington. I would like to express the importance of this Neighbourhood shopping centre given the additional population growth associated with the build out of the Winnington Urban Village, the potential futher development of the Tata site and the challenges identified in Sustrans Cycle Provision Study associated with access to Northwich from Winnington. There is a real risk the residents of Winnington may be at a disadvantage for accessing facilities in Northwich, unless travelling by car. Hence, the importance of improved local amenities	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Comments do not cite an area of disagreement.
Retail and Commercial	111 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	112 Yes, partly	The whole indoor and outdoor market area desperately needs demolition - make it a green area or free parking or an attractive mix of both - either way it is embarrassing, as is the council housing flats and houses around chesterway/london road. There needs to be better access along the road to the community woodland track for cyclists. the whole river bank separately needs attention - particularly on the other side of the river to the new memorial court development - the development side is maintained to a much higher standard than the side at the back of the housing - this needs to be addressed as does the litter issue in this area. The old courts should be demolished urgently and if there is no plan to have anything in its place for now just make a small green area - it would help to offset the monstrosity that are the market halls and bus area. Do something with those subways or the entrances to them - are we still in the 80's??? They are a disgrace! Can something be done with the police station - yet another pebble dashed eye sore that needs addressing. Verdin Park - all of these people that want it promote it as an events/festival/music venue - are they the ones that still suffer the music (of very poor quality) blasting out till late at night? and do they suffer the awful sound checks in the day? I think some consideration needs to be given to the residents close by here.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Improving access for cyclists covered by TRANS6. Maintenance of the river bank / litter issues are outside scope of the NP. The Watling Street Office Building is part of the Weaver Square development area and a masterplan is being produced that will be subject to further consultation. It cannot be demolished at this stage as the adjoining Dane Walk Centre relies on it for some services.
Retail and Commercial	113 Yes	Free parking is essential in the developement of the town. We need to attract national retailers such as Debenhams , BHS etc.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	114 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	115 Yes, partly	I would recommend further consideration is giving to encouraging more residential development of ancillary and upper floors of existing retail properties in Northwich town centre. I would also recommend in the short term, demolition of the central core of Weaver Square to create more car parking and accessibility to the remaining units in Weaver Square. I would recommend further study on the Cheshire West & Chester Town & City Centres working group report from 2014. This went to the former CWC Executive meeting on 22 October 2014, item 58: http://cmttpublic.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=443&MId=4359&Ver=4	Respondent comment partly accepted	Response regarding residential development in upper floors accepted. Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	116 Yes, partly	the town centre could do with a complete renovation, starting from scratch with the newer parts of the town centre. The weaver square area could do with redevelopment to increase the number of units and lower the cost of the units available.	Respondent comment rejected	Town is undergoing a major regeneration at present. It is unrealistic that this will be abandoned and started from scratch.
Retail and Commercial	117 Yes	New bus station is a very good idea and maybe the regeneration of the town centre so it isn't dead an empty	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	118 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	119 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	120 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Retail and Commercial	121 Yes, partly	RC2: Weaver Square: flattening buildings to replace them is energy intensive and not as sustainable as refurbishment. They can be creatively adapted to new purposes, such as "Artisan homes", for skilled people to set up workshops at ground level and reside above. The building of Baron's Quay makes little sense when so many shops are vacant. At first floor level, conversion to flats would bring both vibrancy as well as customers on site to use the businesses. They would not necessarily be unoccupied of a day for a variety of reasons, and it does seem negative to assume that residents in the centre would not wish to use the cafes, bars, restaurants and entertainment we wish to see in the town of an evening / weekend. We only need to look at our European neighbours to see the vibrancy brought by a mix of usage in the town centres. RC3: The bus service being adjacent to the market is ideal, unfortunately the fares are prohibitive for anyone who has the choice of using a car. The market suffers the same blight as Weaver Square: nothing there, and with the Artisan Market using the High Street, and Baron's Quay not having factored in the market full stop, its future looks bleak. The bottom half of the Artisan Market could be single-sided (so that people don't go down one side and up the other) and run the circle past the Swinging Witch and up towards the Community Market in Weaver Square, which could do with a bit of extra footfall. You can get almost anything in Northwich, if you know where to look, such as the units on Denton Drive, Queen Street, Hollands Road, Navigation Road, and along with the market stalls, charity shops, specialists, other small traders, and shops in nearby villages. Because they're dispersed, it would be helpful if there was a one-stop website signposting to them, maybe backed with a paper directory. Ashton-under-Lyne has an excellent market, in the town square between the market hall and other shops. The nearby bus station is well used. This is probably a result of GMPTE travel cards, and such a scheme is badly needed for the Cheshire councils' area. Local and market traders are good for the local economy, as they're more likely to sell locally made/grown/sourced products. The contribution of supermarkets tends to be restricted to their staff wages only, and what rates they can negotiate. They're also clones of ones in other towns - far less interesting than local traders, which can prove a magnet for trade. A great alternative to ASDA would be to cluster local traders in the new building. Alternative use of the present indoor market could replicate what has	Respondent comment partly accepted	Response regarding residential development in upper floors accepted. Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C. Bus fares and layout of the Artisan Market are outside scope of the NP. Changes in planning rules make mandating minimum energy efficiency - for example through the Code for Sustainable Homes - problematic.
Retail and Commercial	122 Yes	Objective 2 re Barons Quay - Retail anchored by M&S not Asda. Implications need to be considered	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	123 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	124 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	125 Yes	Within The Emerson Group of companies, we own property within the Town Centre and within the Weaver Square development area. We are in agreement with the policies and objectives as set out in the document.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	126 Yes	The Weaver Square development is going to be very important and could be an iconic building and area if done correctly and with character and feeling for the town or it could end up to be a side water that will detract from the town. We , Northwich , must have a strong input in this. NOT outsiders that do not have any feeling for the town and just put boxes up as per other towns and cities	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	127 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	128 Yes, partly	We should strive to try and create a town with character; not one that looks like any other with the same generic layout populated by the same high street brands as everywhere else. Much play is also currently made of the need for 'affordable' housing particularly for young singles and couples; town centre locations can be very popular with this demographic and clever design can use otherwise 'dead' spaces above retail units etc. Accommodation for the elderly in town centre locations is also popular as the expensive private sector appartments have proved; the affordable sector should be encouraged to join this trend.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Response regarding residential development in upper floors accepted. Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	129 No	No detail No free parking Too long Not Northwich in control Waste of taxpayers money not control	Respondent comment rejected	Action is implied by comments, but no suggestions are made for improvement that could be accepted. Parking (free or otherwise) is covered by policy TRANS7.
Retail and Commercial	130 Yes	I have now lived in Northwich for 5 years previously living in Bury for almost 50 years. Bury has become a successful town due to its thriving market, however this hasn't always been the case but we did have a forward thinking Council that demolished many old buildings and made a new covered shopping centre with the relocated extended new indoor/outdoor markets adjacent and with the new bus station only a short distance away this initiative proved very successful. The indoor market is open 6 days a week with the outdoor market open 3 week days. Within the Greater Manchester area Bury was the last of the towns to introduce parking charges which met with great opposition but seemingly hasn't put people off from shopping in Bury. If Northwich is intending to extend its markets then a radical change to Weaver Square is needed. Our weather dictates footfall and shoppers much prefer to do their shopping under cover.	Respondent answered yes	

Retail and Commercial	131	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	132	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	133	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	134	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	135	Yes	We would support anything that saw the increase in retail and commercial activity in the Town Centre as this is our nearest retail and commercial hub. Access to the town centre by public transport needs to be improved especially at night to encourage Barnton residents (particularly younger ones) to use the new cinema complex	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	136	Yes	No comments to make.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	137	No	Policy RC5 - Out of Town does not reflect guidance in the Framework. The Policy should either be deleted or completely redrafted.	Respondent comment accepted	
Retail and Commercial	138	Yes	N/A	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	139	Yes, partly	If Weaver Square is to be demolished then public really should be developed to counter the horror you have built opposite.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	140	Yes, partly	RC1 - yes RC2 - no. Weaver Square: flattening buildings to replace them is energy intensive and not as sustainable as refurbishment. They can be creatively adapted to new purposes. Artisan homes is an option: for skilled people to set up workshop and home. A range of dynamic enterprises will draw people in. The building of Baron's Quay makes little sense when so many shops are vacant. RC3 - maybe. The bus service being adjacent to the market is ideal, unfortunately the fares are prohibitive for anyone who has the choice of using a car. The market suffers the same blight as Weaver Square: little there, and with the Artisan Market using the High Street, and Baron's Quay not having factored in the market full stop, its future looks bleak. The bottom half of the Artisan Market could be single-sided (so that people don't go down one side and up the other) and run the circle past the Crown / Swinging Witch and up towards the Community Market in Weaver Square, which could do with a bit extra footfall. Ashton-under-Lyne has an excellent market, topping Bury and Gorton, in the town square between the market hall and other shops. The nearby bus station is well used. Local and market traders are good for the local economy, as they're more likely to sell locally made/grown/sourced products. The contribution of supermarkets tends to be restricted to their staff wages only, and what rates they can negotiate. They're also clones of ones in other towns - far less interesting than local traders, which can prove a magnet for trade. A great alternative to ASDA would be to cluster local traders in the new building. There's a need for more 'care and repair', places where people can take things for fettling. We can do bikes, watches (if you know where), computers; but most things reach their first failure and are scrapped, adding to the waste burden. I've repaired a computer with a roll of paper, a microwave with a fuse. RC4 - You can get almost anything in Northwich, if you know where to look. Like the units on Denton Drive, Queen Street, Hollands Road, Navigation Road; along with the market stalls, charity shop specialisms, other small traders, and shops in nearby villages. Because they're dispersed, it would be helpful if there was a one-stop website signposting to them, maybe backed with a paper directory. Include brief details of their ranges. RC5 - yes RC6 - there's the former council offices begging. RC7 - OK Other considerations: "The more we have, the more we have to look after" applies as much to what the community collectively owns as to personal possessions. Construction	Respondent comment partly accepted	Response regarding residential development in upper floors accepted. Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C. Bus fares and layout of the Artisan Market are outside scope of the NP. Changes in planning rules make mandating minimum energy efficiency - for example through the Code for Sustainable Homes - problematic.
Retail and Commercial	141	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	142	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	143	No	Weaver Vale Housing Trust Limited is the owner of the parade of shops at Clifton Drive. The draft Neighbourhood Plan refers to the shops being empty but that is not the case. The shops are all let and have been for some time. The Trust owns the garage site to the rear of the shops and would not rule out developing that land in future.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Statements regarding occupancy will be corrected.
Retail and Commercial	144	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	145	Yes, partly	Weaver Square must become a very attractive area - its the first place you see when arriving in town	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	146	Yes	Strongly agree with the need to redevelop Weaver Square though not shops. New, more central market hall is a good idea Strongly support improvement of access and exit to retail park Strongly support sustaining of Artisan Market Clifton Drive shops have struggled for a long time and need a rethink Sustain Kingsmead and Castle shops and develop facilities at Winnington Village	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	147	Yes	RC1 - Yes keep centre vibrant focus RC5 - Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	148	Yes	Northwich should retain shops in the Town Centre and revitalise the market.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	149	Yes	Encourage lots of independent retailers to take small premises within the town centre. Stop out of town retail developments.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	150	Yes	I agree, yet the council is considering charging for car parking which would be hugely damaging and contradicts your objectives. Don't do it!!	Respondent answered yes	

Retail and Commercial	151 Yes	With regards to the market, apparently Altrincham market has been totally revitalised, so that's one to look at. Stores like primark should be encouraged to come and have a look at Northwich as I feel that would be a major attraction in the town.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	152 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	153 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	154 No answer given	To me, these 'policies' stated do not give any definite plan for Barons Quay. One talks of an Asda store but don't we already have more than enough supermarkets in Northwich. Of food outlets and eateries, but where are the retail shops eg Debenhams and John Lewis. In my opinion Asda, Poundsave and the likes will certainly not bring people into Northwich. A cinema? Well why didn't the Regal cinema keep going? I am shocked that there is no definite idea as to what is going to happen in Northwich after all this money has been spent. I shall still have to go to Liverpool Manchester or Chester for a decent shopping experience.	Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	155 Yes	The existing bus layby arrangements is unsafe for both traffic and pedestrians	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	156 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	157 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	158 Yes	Cant we not have any more eye sores in Northwich? eg Memorial Court & new Asda, it now dominates Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	159 Yes, partly	Objective 4 - Demolish Weaver Square, keep the retail section to High St/Witton St and the new development at Barons Quay Could build a health centre at Weaver Square Obj 5. Having an evening economy is crucial	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	160 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	161 Yes	I think that Weaver Square shopping centre should be used for parking. Most definitely	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	162 Yes	Weaver Sq should be redeveloped as housing for young people at affordable prices. Redevelop the market close to the town centre and or supermarket If a new market hall is built it MUST be in keeping with traditional buildings in Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	163 Yes, partly	Weaver square requires some form of development could be inexpensive housing? Possibly a new market - less drab to encourage trade No hotels in the centre of Northwich but several the the outskirts. Htoels in the centre may encourage people to stay and spend money in the town.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	164 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Retail and Commercial	165 Yes, partly	Care should be taken, if demolishing and relocating the markets, that the new location should be ready for an instant move to happen. Learn from what happened in Runcorn, where the market has effectively been killed off resulting in a market that packs up at lunchtime if bad weather is forecast	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Operational detail of a market move is outside scope of the NP, but a good point none the less.
Retail and Commercial	166 Yes	Can't wait for Weaver Square to be improved. Northwich as it stands right now has nothing to offer.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	167 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Retail and Commercial	168 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	169 Yes	Good ideas here - and I'd like to see more flower beds and boxes too - they are splendidly done	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	170 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	171 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	172 Yes	Small scale shops on a similar basis to Cheshire Oaks should be created. All new developments should be sympathetic - maintain B&W feel	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	173 Yes, partly	Is it possible to re-establish a market culture? In Northwich the market has become less central to shopping - will people return to a new market? Neighbourhood shopping centres. Barnton suffers because the shops are dispersed. A parade of shops encourages a variety of independent businesses which Barnton does not have. Wevaerham/Cuddington benefit from their parades	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Barnton, Weaverham and Cuddington are outside of the Plan Area.
Retail and Commercial	174 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	175 Yes, partly	Obj 3. New stalls should be incorporated but not to the detriment of existing market holders. 4.24 I OBJECT to the car park idea. I would like a new shopping area or for that to be incorporated with the new market space. The rents could be subsidised to encourage new traders. 4.26 The covered market extended, I agree.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Retail and Commercial	176 Yes	I think the creation of a new market to draw on the success of the Artisan Market is a great idea. It needs to be in the area between Barons Quay and the present centre of Town. Turn the old Weaver Square into a bus station	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	177 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	178 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Retail and Commercial	179 Yes, partly	RC4.2.4 could be renovated	Respondent comment rejected	Weaver Square has been partially or mostly empty for a long period of time, in part because the units themselves do not meet current market needs. Renovation would be unlikely to resolve this problem.
Retail and Commercial	180 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	181 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	182 Yes, partly	The selling off of areas of the centre for potential residential use will reduce the use of the town centre further	Respondent comment rejected	The narrative of RC1 says, "... and not be unduly diluted with other inactive uses, such as residential dwellings", which covers the thrust of this comment.
Retail and Commercial	183 Yes	2.2.11 - The Bull Ring end of Northwich will be vacated by commercial operations if the parking is not improved. 4.2.4 - The first suggestion, demolition of half and a car park should be started as soon as possible, this has the advantage of leaving all the other options open.	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	184 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	185 Yes	More independent shops/businesses, Barons Quay development & covered market should be better	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	186 Yes	The new market in the town centre Bus station routes	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	187 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	188 Yes	It is vital that Northwich has a broad range of good retail outlets to encourage people to shop in Northwich. That doesn't mean the likes of Poundland and B&M, etc. We need individual artisan shops and good restaurants (not fast food) to persuade people to visit the town. Weaver Square is atrocious and needs a complete rethink (sooner rather than later) Perhaps a large development store and free parking. This would definitely encourage shoppers to visit Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Retail and Commercial	189 Yes, partly	If Weaver Square is to be demolished then the public really should be developed to counter the horror you have built opposite only this time employing a proper architect and not an office boy who perhaps may visit this intended site instead of picking one he already had in his drawer	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C. Architectural preferences addressed in policy NBE1

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments
Employment and Education	190	No	Area not big enough to accommodate more infrastructure	Respondent comment rejected	Presumption in favour of sustainable development means it will happen. NP needs to shape the outcome.
Employment and Education	191	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	192	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	193	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	Outside scope of NP.
Employment and Education	194	Yes, partly	Employment in the area is key to growth.Bring council office positions into the centre.Schools have to be improved to encourage families into the area.Grammar schools an option?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Employment and Education	195	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	196	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	197	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	198	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	199	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	200	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	201	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	202	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	203	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	204	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	205	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	206	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	207	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	208	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	209	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	210	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	211	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	212	Yes, partly	If the Winnington Works are redeveloped then we must ensure that at least 20 - 25% of the land is used for employment purposes	Respondent comment rejected	Unable to implement this comment without a site allocation.
Employment and Education	213	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	214	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	215	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	216	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	217	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	218	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	219	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	220	Yes, partly	It's quite brief. I know that anyone over 50 struggles to find work and they're often over-looked, but they could have close to 20 years to wait for their pension. I'd like to see employers sign up to an initiative where experience is valued, as B&Q used to. I'd also like to see some kind of enterprise hub where people could hot desk and access support. I know lots of people starting their own business but they're very often poorly conceived and they inevitably fail. I'd like to see a volunteers centre with pathways into work. There is supposed to be a local job-matching service but it didn't seem to function that well. Maybe we could have a local People-per-hour type scheme?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Outside scope of NP.
Employment and Education	221	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	222	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	223	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	224	Yes	As already mentioned, the provision of facilitates (spaces / facilities) for new businesses is key to creating a more sustainable community...	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	225	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	226	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	227	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	

Employment and Education	228 Yes, partly	EEI - conflicts with government policy on change of use from offices to residential. Suggest remaining wording regarding alternative uses. EE2 - This is not a planning policy and other ways of providing training need to be considered.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Govt policy says, "[Local planning authorities] should normally approve planning applications for change to residential use and any associated development from commercial buildings where there is an identified need for additional housing in that area, provided that there are not strong economic reasons why such development would be inappropriate". Northwich UA has an identified need for 4,300 new residential dwellings by 2030. This target is very close to being met with existing approvals. This suggests two things: - The identified need is highly likely to have been met; - Employment land will be needed for the new residents. Apprenticeships policy deleted?
Employment and Education	229 Yes, partly	Encourage large businesses to set up in Northwich, rather than commuting to near cities due to no jobs	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Outside scope of NP.
Employment and Education	230 No answer given	Don't know what they are	Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	231 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	232 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	233 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	234 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	235 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	236 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	237 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	238 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	239 Yes	More employment	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	240 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	241 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	242 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	243 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	244 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	245 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	246 Yes	Ensure employment purposeful and boosts self esteem of all. Ensure living wage!!!!	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	247 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	248 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	249 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	250 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	251 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	252 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	253 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	254 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	255 Yes, partly	When we give planning permission for houses we should stipulate the developer invests in new schools	Respondent comment rejected	This already happens via S106 agreements. It is up to the Local Authority to determine whether this should be spent on new schools or enlarging existing schools.
Employment and Education	256 Yes, partly	The proposed plans appear to be covering existing proposals whereas a long term view needs to be considered. The proposal census population of Northwich is in our opinion a very conservative estimate	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Employment and Education	257 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	258 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	259 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	260 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	261 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	262 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	263 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	264 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	265 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	266 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Employment and Education	267	Yes	Employment opportunities need to be increased in the town as there are not many jobs locally, we have some excellent schools and colleges in the town but these skills are going to other areas as there are not the jobs in Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	268	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	269	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	270	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	271	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	272	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	273	Yes	I understand, but do not know since I am not a retailer or business person in Northwich, that business rates in Northwich are too high. This would need to be addressed to attract more employers to the town.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	274	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	275	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	276	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	277	Yes, partly	Think the education part of the plan could be expanded. Should our schools be expanded with an increase in population going forward. Would we require a new school site in future if so where?	Respondent comment rejected	School expansion is covered by existing planning law and guidance. Northwich NP is not allocating sites, but none the less draws attention to the likely need if significant new development takes place in Winnington (see HOU5).
Employment and Education	278	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	279	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	280	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	281	Yes	There's not much there on employment and sod all on education.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	282	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	283	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	284	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	285	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	286	Yes	Employment and Education need to be actively encouraged. I am pleased to see that Sir John Deane's College is consistently in the top ten sixth form colleges in the country for A level results. A good record since founding in 1557!!	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	287	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	288	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	289	Yes, partly	It is essential to create and promote opportunities for employment. As these opportunities develop concern about the transport infrastructure will become an issue. Especially the provision of bus services serving the town and the way these link towns of mid cheshire together especially Winsford and Middlewich. it is hard to visit Northwich in the evening by public transport from any area! Car parking for staff is also an ongoing issue.	Respondent comment accepted	Response regarding bus links accepted.
Employment and Education	290	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	291	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	292	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	293	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	294	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	295	Yes	Gadbrook Park needs major changes to it. The amount of traffic that waits to go into the Park on a weekday morning is horrendous and is really dangerous as it queues on the bypass, with cars travelling at 60mph then coming round a corner or over a bridge to see queuing traffic is a hazard. There are also not sufficient pathways for pedestrians especially around the Business Centre, there are also a lot of cars double parking so pedestrians have to walk in the middle of the road.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	296	Yes, partly	There needs to be a hotel in the town.	Respondent comment rejected	Northwich NP is not allocating sites, but new hotel developments in general are supported in RC7.
Employment and Education	297	Yes, partly	Please consider options for areas of apprenticeship shortage - refer to nationwide reports? Please consider where schools are oversubscribed it means local children having to commute to schools that are not as 'bad' as their nearest school - more rush hour traffic and pollution - get new housing developers to pay REAL contributions to expansion of LOCAL schools	Respondent comment rejected	School expansion is covered by existing planning law and guidance. Northwich NP is not allocating sites, but none the less draws attention to the likely need if significant new development takes place in Winnington (see HOU5).
Employment and Education	298	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	299	Yes, partly	Policy EE2 is too narrow, focusing only on apprenticeships. The policy should be more broad focusing on skills and education more generally. The importance of Apprenticeships in current government policy is understood, but the Neighbourhood plan needs to have a build policy control.	Respondent comment rejected	School expansion is covered by existing planning law and guidance. Northwich NP is not allocating sites, but none the less draws attention to the likely need if significant new development takes place in Winnington (see HOU5).

Employment and Education	300 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	301 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	302 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	303 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	304 Yes, partly	As pre Retail & Commercial, consideration for older buildings less capable of commercial use should be looked at for conversion to residential.	Respondent comment partly accepted	RC policy amended to support above shop residential.
Employment and Education	305 Yes, partly	Apprenticeships are good, however, they are not always good, with an age limit for apprenticeships this means if an apprentice is not given a job then they will have nothing left to do if they are over that age. the idea of using brown sites to redevelop is good but also has flaws as the space is limited and costs may be high, finding ways to reduce the costs of redevelopment may be good ideas for new businesses or small businesses hoping to expand.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Outside scope of NP.
Employment and Education	306 Yes, partly	yes at the new jobs bit but not the apprenticeships as there is no where else to follow up on the apprenticeships	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Outside scope of NP.
Employment and Education	307 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	308 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	309 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	310 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	311 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	312 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	313 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	314 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	315 Yes, partly	Please also look at public transport and the timing of the busses for staff to get to work on time	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Outside scope of NP.
Employment and Education	316 Yes, partly	Policy EE1 - Employment: We agree that the Plan should be policy-only plan and not allocate land, given the parallel status of the CW&CC Local Plan, and that substantial brownfield land suffers from varying level of contamination rendering assessment of the likelihood of deliverability beyond the resources of the town council. Policy EE1 states that light industrial and storage distribution should be supported and encouraged in "appropriate locations" to attract companies to develop and locate in Northwich. However the policy fails to clarify what it would generally considered as "appropriate" (the sequential test at Page 75 relating solely to retail development). Section 2.2.9 - 2.2.30 (Employment) offers little insight into the views expressed during initial consultation on employment matters, but it assumed that there is recognition that future employment uses would be supported on existing employment sites and previously developed land in accordance with national policy, however this should be recognised in the policy to help encourage new employment use. The second part of the policy requires alternative uses to demonstrate that the continued use of the site for employment is no longer commercially viable and that the site has been marketed for at least 12 months. It is considered that the policy is restrictive, and has the potential for the Neighbourhood Plan to be unsound (ineffective and unjustified). It is inappropriate for a Neighbourhood Plan to influence future development in this way without any site specific consideration (something which is left to the CW&CC Local Plan to do). The CW&CC Local Plan Part II will allocate sites for development uses. Existing sites which are not promoted for alternative uses would be retained as white land (a general expression uses to mean land (and buildings) without any specific proposal for allocation, where it is intended that for the most part, existing uses shall remain unaltered). It would be for the CW&CC Local Plan Part II (in its site allocation) to identify sites which may come forward for alternative uses, and for proposals which may differ to that which currently exist to be treated on their merits at that time. For these reasons, we propose that the second part of the draft policy is omitted. Policy EE2 - Reference to the DMPO 2010 should be replaced by the consolidated Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Policy should be more specific regarding its expectations of what it considered "an appropriate number" to be in order for the Plan to be	Respondent comment partly accepted	Policy amended to define "appropriate locations". 12 month rule not considered restrictive and to take into account land allocations in the CW&C Local Plan Part II. Apprenticeships policy deleted?
Employment and Education	317 Yes	We do need to encourage new businesses and local councils should take a proactive part in this process rather than wait for a timid private sector. Rather than simply encourage others to step up the council itself should facilitate such start ups setting up cooperatives and by direct municipal service provision instead of constantly giving away its revenue to a profit making private sector.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	318 No	Parents are being allowed to duck responsibility and waste money	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Irrelevant to the NP.
Employment and Education	319 Yes	I would like to see more apprenticeships offered.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	320 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	321 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	322 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	323 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Employment and Education	324 Yes	EE1. Barnton has historically suffered massively from a decline in employment opportunities in Northwich. Having grown as a Bruner Mond / ICI company village our community has prospered and suffered as the fortunes of those companies have changed. Since the rapid decline of the business and its sale to Tata, there has been a huge loss in employment that has not been replaced. Large parts of the former employment land are being lost to housing with little sign that any employment activity is being planned. We are concerned that employment opportunities that would appear in the Town Centre or Gadbrook Park areas are difficult to access with public transport being as infrequent and as expensive as it is.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	325 Yes	No comments to make.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	326 No	Policy EE1 deals with employment and seeks to encourage new employment and businesses to develop and locate in Northwich. The second part of the policy seeks to regulate the provision of alternative uses on employment land through provision of marketing and viability evidence. It is suggested that the policy should be amended to state "the use is no longer commercially viable or the site or premises has been marketed....".	Respondent comment rejected	Policy provides criteria to demonstrate the commercial inviability. The inclusion of the "or" removes this.
Employment and Education	327 Yes	N/A	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	328 Yes, partly	May I suggest when building the new industrial units that quality is similar to Gadbrook Park and not some of the dross that has sprung up over the last 20 years	Respondent comment rejected	Quality is somewhat subjective, but architectural requirements will none the less be enforced through NBE1.
Employment and Education	329 Yes	In addition: Schools might encourage local children through their gates (as opposed to them travelling further, needing buses and lifts when cycling is out of the question) by involving themselves more in the local community. Colleges might encourage entrepreneurs by having start-up units on campus, or taking over some start-up units elsewhere.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	330 No	Policy EE1 deals with employment and seeks to encourage new employment and businesses to develop and locate in Northwich. The second part of the policy seeks to regulate the provision of alternative uses on employment land through provision of marketing and viability evidence. It is suggested that the policy should be amended to state "the use is no longer commercially viable and that or the site or premises has been marketed....".	Respondent comment rejected	Policy provides criteria to demonstrate the commercial inviability. The inclusion of the "or" removes this.
Employment and Education	331 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	332 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	333 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	334 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	335 Yes	the capacity to establish a substantial number of apprenticeships may be jeopardised by severe cuts to FE funding which may include Mid Cheshire and SJD colleges.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	336 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	337 Yes, partly	As soon as the employment opportunities attract local businesses in the area.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Employment and Education	338 Yes	More schools are requires and the highways infrastructure is in urgent need of upgrades to cope with the volume of traffic during the school run	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	339 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	340 Yes	Definite need for new primary school in the Winnington development. Hopefully lots of new employment with the development at Baron's Quay	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	341 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	342 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	343 Yes	All well and good if these aims can be achieved	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	344 Yes, partly	I think there are enough new units serving the town and surrounding area with Gadbrook, Anderton and Hartford to name a few. The development of Lostock was not wholly successful and houses have replaced commercial/industrial units. If Weaver Square is to be retained then some of the shops could be utilised for office space provided the rents were not extortionate	Respondent comment rejected	Additional employment land is a requirement of the CW&C Local Plan Part I.
Employment and Education	345 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	346 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	347 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	348 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	349 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	350 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	351 No	Central Northwich should concentrate on retail and leisure activities. A large well known department store should be encouraged to locate to Northwich.	Respondent comment rejected	This is covered by policy RC1. Encouraging specific shops to locate in Northwich is outside the scope of the NP.
Employment and Education	352 Yes	More industry (light to medium should be encouraged - winsford is quite successful	Respondent answered yes	

Employment and Education	353 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Employment and Education	354 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	355 Yes	Please lets hope this will happen	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	356 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	357 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	358 Yes	School places must be a priority - with encouragement for parents to walk their children to school	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	359 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	360 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	361 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	362 No answer given	Does the vision make reference to the number of school places available and to be provided for?	Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	363 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	364 Yes, partly	Providing existing buildings are most used to house the employment wherever possible and with sufficient road and parking access.	Respondent comment accepted	Clarification of the types of sites where employment land should be located.
Employment and Education	365 Yes	The more employment land that can be used the better. How can students at Mid Cheshire College on construction courses be used on all the new building projects.	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	366 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	367 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Employment and Education	368 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	369 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	370 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	371 No	The infrastructure of Northwich can not support future industrial expansion (especially Gadbrook Park) road layouts need to improve for this to work.	Respondent comment rejected	Additional employment land is a requirement of the CW&C Local Plan Part I.
Employment and Education	372 Yes	4.3.4 - There are still a lot of opportunities to renovate and reuse properties in the town centre	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	373 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	374 Yes, partly	New schools/new school spaces, more employment	Respondent comment rejected	School expansion is covered by existing planning law and guidance. Northwich NP is not allocating sites, but none the less draws attention to the likely need if significant new development takes place in Winnington (see HOU5).
Employment and Education	375 Yes	Redeveloping new sites for new jobs and apprenticeships	Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	376 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	377 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Employment and Education	378 Yes, partly	May I suggest when building new industrial units quality is used similar to Gadbrook Park and not some of the dross that I have seen strung up over the last 20 years.	Respondent comment rejected	Quality is somewhat subjective, but architectural requirements will none the less be enforced through NBE1.

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments
Housing	379	No	Town cannot sustain any more housing	Respondent comment rejected	CWAC Local Plan requires minimum of 4,300 additional dwellings by 2030.
Housing	380	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	381	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	382	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	383	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	384	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	385	Yes	Affordable homes are a must. These should be mixed in with other homes as not to create what could be perceived to be low income areas. Keeping/having as many green areas as possible.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	386	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	387	Yes	no objections	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	388	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	389	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	390	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	391	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	392	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	393	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	394	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	395	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	396	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	397	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	398	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	399	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	400	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	401	Yes, partly	If the Winnington works are redeveloped then the development must include a new link road to the town centre (Simliar to the Kingsmead link) including a new bridge linking to Leicester Street	Respondent comment rejected	This is desirable but we do not have the evidence to insist upon it. CW&C Northwich Transport study will help with this - see TRANS1.
Housing	402	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	403	Yes	We do need more bungalows.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	404	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	405	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	406	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	407	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	408	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	409	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	410	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	411	Yes, partly	Housing needs have to be met but should be supported by a better infra-structure and improved roads. eg Winnington swing bridge	Respondent comment rejected	This is covered by policies TRANS1, TRANS2 and TRANS5.
Housing	412	Yes, partly	I am concerned that planning permission, when granted seems, to allow the developers to evade many of their agreed responsibilities because they are not called to account when they, for example, undermine a tree that is supposed to be preserved, or fail to build the agreed amount of social housing. Closer supervision is required. I do not agree with the suggestion to build a further 2000+ houses in Winnington on top of the developments already going ahead. And there is no sign of any 'facilities' - pubs, shops, school etc as yet in the area along from the garden centre.	Respondent comment rejected	Planning enforcement is outside scope of the NP, though clearly needs to happen. Weight of consultation responses are behind building on brownfield land. Most of the brownfield land in the Plan Area is in Winnington. Number of additional houses is unlikely to be 2,000+. 1,000 is more likely. Facilities mentioned are covered by TRANS5.

Housing	413 Yes	The reuse of brownfield sites around Northwich I strongly agree with. With the underdevelopment of the area due to subsidence and other factors has led to many parts of the town being over-run by businesses that show little / no regard for the local area. Winnington works currently looks horrific for example. The current development of the Winnington Urban village is welcome and will hopefully reduce a few aspects of the Winnington landscape that is unsightly and off-putting. However, the council needs to be stronger in their negotiation with the house builders. Simply building a large number of houses without addressing the infrastructure and amenities of the area leads to estates of identi-kit houses, devoid of personality and devoid of community. As a resident of Winnington I have seen nothing (other than the reuse of a brownfield site) that addresses this - no local school / doctors / shopping centre or the undeniably needed second bridge. Instead, numerous houses are being built while the section 106 seems to have been negotiated down to the bare minimum. If, as suggested, additional housing could be build on the existing Winnington Works land, and any other future developments around Northwich, then this has to become a decisive factor in the negotiations. Transport links, connecting the areas to the fabulous local resources, cycle links and other aspects of the local area would be great - making it easier for people to walk around or get to places much quicker. Again, in Winnington, the current walk to the Canal is both unpleasant and unattractive, yet it is merely a 10 minute walk away from the new Urban Village	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	414 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	415 Yes, partly	Our hands seem to be tied by central Govt regards housing development?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Housing	416 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	417 Yes, partly	HOU1 - should be modified to allow change of use sites. Small sites cannot provide shops and schools nor should they. Otherwise ok. HOU2 - This policy needs amending to reflect the market signals as well as need. Sites need to be viable to carry forward. HOU5 - I have been around this site and it is very constrained by the Bicarb facility, CHP and flood zones. It will not deliver that much housing in reality.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Policy clarified to include Change of Use where it doesn't conflict with another policy in the Plan. Small sites are covered by the proportionality test. Viability is already one of the tests in the policy, and shouldn't have greater weight than other factors.
Housing	418 No answer given	Need more help and availability for younger people - first time buyers to get on the property ladder	Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	419 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	420 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	421 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	422 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	423 Yes, partly	Will proposed infrastructure be adequate	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Housing	424 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	425 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	426 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	427 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	428 Yes	No objection to the housing but the infrastructure of health needs to be in place ie local hospital able to cope.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	429 Yes, partly	The majority of the housing is being built by concoctions of large building firms, most of which tend to stick to the same 'efficient use of space layout and design'. It would be good to see more land being made available to individuals to build their own house - projects elsewhere in the country have done this with great success	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Providing land for this purpose would be outside the scope of the NP - we have no power to compel land to be handed over.
Housing	430 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	431 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	432 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	433 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	434 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	435 Yes, partly	More affordable housing - buy or rent in centre needed. House style and streets as those near paddling pool - character and style	Respondent comment rejected	Consultation question on affordable housing returned 32% in favour of "less than 30% affordable" and 30.7% in favour of "30%-50% affordable". As this is roughly in line with CW&C's affordable housing requirements, we have not produced a policy. Instead we have focused on how the affordable housing is distributed to make it more likely that it will be ultimately delivered.
				Architectural preferences are covered by policy NBE1.
Housing	436 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	437 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Housing	438 Yes	Essential to bring brownfield sites into use and protect green open spaces for future generations	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	439 Yes, partly	The housing at Hartford doesn't appear to have affordable housing. I'd like to see Weaver Vale shopping centre converted to a village for either the elderly or first time buyers	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Hartford is outside the Plan Area. Weaver Square comments relate to use of site, which will be subject to further consultation by CW&C.
Housing	440 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	441 Yes, partly	We are still building on the flood plane on the Dane Valley	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	True - this planning permission has already been granted, however.
Housing	442 Yes, partly	Far too many houses being built in my persona opinion	Respondent comment rejected	CWAC Local Plan requires minimum of 4,300 additional dwellings by 2030.
Housing	443 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	444 No	Houses only brownfield	Respondent comment rejected	NPPF's "presumption in favour of sustainable development" would not allow us to outlaw development on greenfield sites. However, HOU1 attempts to define the limited circumstances under which it can take place.
Housing	445 Yes, partly	Didn't notice any vehement opposition to the proposed housing development of Dane Valley (Northwich Meadows)	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	This planning permission has already been granted. NBE5 protects the rest of the Dane Valley from development.
Housing	446 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	447 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	448 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	449 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	450 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	451 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	452 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	453 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	454 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	455 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	456 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	457 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	458 Yes, partly	You need to ensure that there is also land available for Self-Build which is highlighted in government policy but not clear in your plan. Will the Council be ensuring that residents in the new Winnington Village properties are aware of the contaminated land (ie high levels of mercury) that they are living on, which is recorded by Cheshire Fire Service?	Respondent comment rejected	The Northwich NP is not allocating sites for specific purposes. The Plan could include a general policy supporting self-build. However, at this stage in the process there is not enough evidence to do so. Recommend this is looked at for a future revision of the NP. Public information on contamination is outside scope of the NP.
Housing	459 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	460 Yes	Preserve Winnington Hall and Mond House - beautiful architecture and history. Create neighbourhood centre and services around new builds at winnington and improve traffic there.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	461 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Housing	462 Yes	I cannot stress enough, as a resident of Winnington, how important addressing infrastructure and local amenities is in any large residential development. I have seen no benefit, so far, in Winnington urban village and only downsides - more traffic, no improved bridge and no amenities. It is disappointing that the necessity of another bridge at Barnton is only now being addressed. However, I would be in favour of a further residential development in Winnington (if the land became available from Tata) if the issues listed at above and at 4.4.10 were addressed. In addition, Winnington, either as part of a further residential development, or in any event, needs to be improved aesthetically. Walking from Northwich town centre to Anderson Boat Lift is completely unsightly around Winnington. The industrial area the comprising of the Works Gym, printers etc. is an eye sore. In any other area, the bridge commemorating a major battle of the English civil war would be celebrated. However, it is apologetically sighted on a disgusting main road through Winnington. Something also needs to be done in relation to the dilapidated timbered buildings at the bottom of Winnington Hill. The Council needs to CPO or force the sale of these properties so their redevelopment or demolition can take place. As someone who walks past these frequently, I worry that they will fall down as no one seems to take any responsibility for their safe upkeep. It is disappointing that Tata seems to take benefits from the area in producing profit but does little, if nothing, to improve the local area. The Council should be more willing to force local beneficiaries (and polluters) in contributing more to the local area. It is notable that Winnington has the highest rates of depravation locally but provides the means for an international organisation to make millions - Tata needs to give more back.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	463 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	464 Yes, partly	Just concerned about the traffic, especially getting out of Winnington over the Weaver. Also, although I approve of getting people off their sofas and into the wonderful nature parks, please leave us walkers somewhere where we won't get run over by bikes. What about bike parks?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Covered by TRANS1 and TRANS2.
Housing	465 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	466 Yes, partly	It would have been good to see an allocation of all potential housing sites along with site specific spatial requirements. also a map or maps with who owns what land, ie CWC, NT or private land a car parking design strategy for the housing would be good, as this is often difficult in built up areas. Also flood plain site map with constraints and also opportunities.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Northwich NP is not allocating sites for specific purposes. It is not intended that the NP is used as a land register or flood risk register, although a flood risk map is included on page 21.
Housing	467 Yes, partly	I am concerned that too many flood defences push the problem elsewhere. Flood plains are there for a reason and should not be built on. Also the exit roads from Winnington are not fit for purpose, eg single lane bridge over Weaver. The roads need to be sorted out before any further building.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Sympathise with comments. Protection of flood plain covered by policy NBES. Transport infrastructure covered by TRANS1 and TRANS2. No power to halt all development.
Housing	468 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	469 Yes	while there is plenty of houses being built hopefully there will be plenty of new schools and amenities to go with them. if new cycle routes etc come true Northwich wont seem like a long trek and more accessible for the villages on the outskirts	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	470 Yes, partly	HOU3, "the character of new housing developments should reflect" etc. Yes it should. Where has this been done? The new developments around Winnington are identikit housing, they could be anywhere. Where, in these new estates are the shops, hairdresser, newsagent, dentist, takeaway and all of the things that you're not going to get into your car and drive into the town centre for?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The NP covers what will need to happen in future, not what has already happened.
Housing	471 Yes	Affordable housing for local people is most important (not high value houses that give builders most profit). Also providing good quality housing within the central shopping area would encourage restaurants and leisure activities to open and be successful	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	472 Yes, partly	I agree with building on brownfield sites rather than greenfield, if impact on roads and existing amenities are taken into account. As sites around Northwich have been developed eg Drill field site- the town seems to be becoming gridlocked at peak times.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	TRANS1 and TRANS2 should cover this.
Housing	473 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	474 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	475 Yes, partly	I know we all live in houses which were new at one time in their life but I object to the building of houses on greenfield sites when there are plenty of brownfield sites to cater for the change in lifestyle.	Respondent comment rejected	NPPF's "presumption in favour of sustainable development" would not allow us to outlaw development on greenfield sites. However, HOU1 attempts to define the limited circumstances under which it can take place.
Housing	476 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	477 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	478 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Housing	479	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	480	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	481	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	482	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	483	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	484	No	We should not be overrunning the town with housing developments, however, spare land needs to be used more efficiently.	Respondent comment rejected	CWAC Local Plan requires minimum of 4,300 additional dwellings by 2030. NPPF's "presumption in favour of sustainable development" would not allow us to outlaw development on greenfield sites. However, HOU1 attempts to define the limited circumstances under which it can take place.
Housing	485	Yes	Finding an NHS dentist is already difficult more residents will only make this impossible	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	486	Yes, partly	Housing options in empty commercial premises Redevelop empty residential properties - owner incentives?	Respondent comment partly accepted	RC1 amended to allow housing over shops in town centre.
Housing	487	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	488	Yes	HOU5 - is an important policy to consider, for the growth of Northwich. Alternative use of the land is welcomed, but full consideration of the impact on local infrastructure is needed. I am largely in favour of improved cycling and pedestrian route across the river Weaver into Anderton and Marbury as these areas are not connected to Winnington and Furey Wood.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	489	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	490	Yes, partly	I dont agree that more affordable housing is needed - I think that is part of Northwiches problem - let some other area shoulder some of this instead of just the town centre all of the time.	Respondent comment rejected	Majority in of respondents in favour of some level of affordable housing. Affordable Housing was top of the "What type of accommodation do you think Northwich needs?" question.
Housing	491	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	492	Yes	I would like to see something about encouraging private landlords to bring existing housing up to decent standards where there are issues of damp, poor insulation etc which affect health. This would fit in with Objectives 19 and 20. Rates of fuel poverty in Witton and Rudheath are high and I think that smaller area data would probably show a high proportion of this is in Witton.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	493	Yes, partly	Yes but with greater flexibility in relation to conversion of ancillary / upper floors of retail in town centre location and of older commercial buildings or locations which are no longer suited for commercial usage.	Respondent comment accepted	RC1 amended to allow housing over shops in town centre.
Housing	494	Yes, partly	housing for 1st time buyers need to be easier to purchase as it causes issues for many and can help to prevent those that are benefits dependent.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Housing	495	No	too many new houses being built and too much traffic and havoc around the town	Respondent comment rejected	CWAC Local Plan requires minimum of 4,300 additional dwellings by 2030.
Housing	496	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	497	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	498	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	499	Yes, partly	With regard to housing, we recall that the Cross-party Parliamentary Committee on Climate Change have criticised the government's abandonment of increases to standards for energy efficiency in new housing. They find this because we cannot meet our obligations to reducing carbon emissions without these increased standards, and because it will continue high and increasing levels of fuel poverty. Purchase costs of well-insulated homes may be higher, but costs will fall with scale, and front-end costs will be balanced by reductions in fuel bills. The presently planned relaxation will only serve to waste energy and place more profit in the hands of privatised multi-national corporations. We strongly believe that the failure to build to a standard of Passivhaus design, or very close to it, is short-sighted and unjust.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Housing	500	Yes, partly	HOU5 Winnington Works. This should be conditional on Wallerscote Works first	Respondent comment rejected	Northwich NP is not allocating sites. In the case of HOU5, the plan identifies what should come out of this major development should it take place. We have no power to set a the kind of condition suggested.
Housing	501	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	502	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	503	Yes, partly	We do not believe that it is necessary within a Neighbourhood Plan to be requiring justification for any housing mix proposed within planning applications. We do not believe the words 'where greenfield land is proposed it should be consistent with allocation in the Local Plan' is necessary text within the Neighbourhood Plan.	Respondent comment rejected	Majority of respondents in favour of prioritising brownfield land. Requiring that new schemes have a housing mix that can be justified in the context of local need and viability is not onerous and is there to ensure that the needs of local people are put first.

Housing	504	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	505	Yes, partly	We support policy HOU1 in that it accords with the objectives of the NPPF, NPPG and CW&CC Local Plan. We support the objectives of policy HOU2 in that it broadly accords with the NPPF, NPPG and CW&CC Local Plan. However, the reality is that affordable housing cannot be distributed widely around new housing developments due to issues of viability and market value. Whilst an admirable aspiration, the policy needs to ensure that it does not result in the sterilisation of sites which may otherwise come forward, or preclude development which may be appropriate and sustainable, contrary to the objectives and policies of the NPPF. We support the objectives of policies HOU3 and HOU4 in that they both accord with the objectives of the NPPF, NPPG and CW&CC Local Plan. We strongly support the broad objectives of policy HOU5, however we recommend re-wording of the policy to ensure that it is sound. It is encouraging that the Plan recognises that, whilst loss of employment land is generally resisted, the proposal put forward by Tata Chemicals Europe on the Winnington Works Site, to the CW&CC Part II Call for Sites presents an opportunity to redevelop an underused and partially derelict site, whilst dramatically improving the quality of the environment and access to the River Weaver. However, the plan is a policy only plan and does not provide land allocations (this being left to the CW&CC Local Plan). To do so it would need to be supported by a Sustainability Appraisal. Whilst the policy quite rightly supports the release of the land for residential-led mixed use development, the second part of the policy (that any development should address a shopping list of requirements) deals very much with site specific issues which should be left to the Local Plan to consider. There are a number of expectations which may not be able to be met for viability or deliverability reasons, and this should not preclude the delivery of sustainable development vital to meeting the wider plan objectives. To retain such in the plan renders it at risk of being found unsound in that it could be unjustified and ineffective.	Respondent comment partly accepted	revisit HOU5 - Bob Floyd's comments on this need to be ascertained
Housing	506	Yes, partly	Although brownfield sites should be reclaimed we must not let the clamour for new housing turn the town and its immediate satellite parishes into an urban sprawl of brick and tarmac. Urban green spaces should be increased and enhanced and low density development encouraged. A lot of tosh is talked about the 'Green belt', why should these areas be the reserve of the wealthier citizens? If you need a new affordable housing development why not build it in Sandiway rather than Rudheath?	Respondent comment partly accepted	
Housing	507	No	No bridge in Winnington No details of actual expense	Respondent comment rejected	TRANS1 and TRANS2 should cover this.
Housing	508	Yes	I would like to see all brownfield sites developed for affordable housing and no more houses built on flood plains.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	509	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	510	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	511	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	512	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	513	Yes, partly	We generally welcome an increase in the population of the wider Northwich community however the impact of the new housing developments in Winnington are beginning to have an impact on our community here. The impacts generally are negative for us as we don't believe that enough attention has historically been given to the impact of new housing on the existing infrastructure. In addition, developers have been given planning permission without being made responsible for their share of the infrastructure. The biggest issue for us is the volume of traffic using an antiquated Victorian road infrastructure which we will mention later but there are other issues. No dental or medical services are planned and we are having to build new classrooms at Barnton C P school to cope with an expected influx to the school from Winnington as Winnington School does not (ironically!) have room to expand. We feel that the infrastructure elements of any further development need to be fully thought out, costed and supported by all parties prior to any permissions to build more houses and that developers need to be forced to fulfil their obligations. We would welcome a path on the south bank of the river. We welcome the retention of historical features of the old works as a memorial to the generations of people from our community who worked there.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Comments generally relate to existing development at Winnington. HOU5 looks at what should be done in Winnington should further major development come forward.
Housing	514	Yes	No comments to make.	Respondent answered yes	

Housing	515 No	<p>Policy HOU1 relates to new housing. The Policy sets out three bullets which all new housing sites need to address. Whilst the three bullets are reasonable in relation to new housing development, the criterial are general in nature and very much open to interpretation. Whilst this may be helpful in once respect by providing flexibility, if a scheme is being challenged then seeking compliance with the guidance in Policy HOU1 is likely to result in considerable debate about whether the scheme complies or not which may well be used against reasonable development proposals. It is suggested that the criteria should be more specific or the text before the criteria amended from "will not be considered appropriate unless it meets all of the following criteria" to "development proposals should be in general conformity with the following criteria". The final paragraph of paragraph 4.4.3 is not clear. Policy HOU3 - Character and Design, the comments in the policy in relation to residential development being delivered at a density to suit the location is welcomed. However, the comments in the final sentence of paragraph 4.4.6 should be deleted. This is not a statement that can be sustained. Policy HOU4 - Heritage is a duplication of national guidance and is unnecessary and should therefore be deleted.</p>	Respondent comment partly accepted	the text before the criteria amended from "will not be considered appropriate unless it meets all of the following criteria" to "development proposals should be in general conformity with the following criteria". The comments in the final sentence of paragraph 4.4.6 should be deleted
Housing	516 Yes	N/A	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	517 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	518 Yes, partly	<p>HOU1 - partly: Contaminated areas are best left to nature or industry; I wouldn't suggest housing, as gardens are increasingly used for food growing. HOU2 - yes HOU3 - with provisions. When planning homes, they should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ·Low energy, ideally to Passivhaus standards (better than BREEAM) ·Located to minimise transport need ·Include basic facilities to enable outdoor clothes drying, storage for bins, cycles etc, ·Have enough garden to both make and use compost derived from kitchen waste, for either veg, fruit or decoratives. Is this all possible at 35/40 homes per hectare? Larger, communal homes have advantages: shared facilities mean there's less redundant equipment; fewer walls per occupant mean lower heat losses; and built-in babysitters/grannysitters/dogwalkers/handymen/house-sitters have social advantages. They do need people to talk to each other. There have been several communal building schemes nationally, where groups of people have self-built their own homes, helping each other. Such homes can be lower cost, and built to standards known to the residents, typically good for the environment. Training might be through the college. There are also individual self-build homes. Such builders would therefore have knowledge and skills to use elsewhere in the community afterwards. When building estates, rather than each house having its own drive and garage, there should be a car pool with a selection of vehicles, from which able-bodied participants can take the one best suited to their needs. (Less able people would be excused.) This would encourage lift-sharing and use of public transport and cycling. HOU4 - Heritage Buildings: while great to look at and appreciate how people lived in historic times, these buildings also have to be functional in the real world, otherwise they get abandoned, neglected and damaged beyond repair. Planning rules need to be lax enough for some updating to be carried out, for example, good energy conservation measures. HOU5 - Is Winnington Urban Village to get its full quota of village facilities? And local jobs? Flooding - give the river a dropped flank to take up flood water, and reduce its need to raise its level to pass through. This will need to be considered when any new bridge is installed. 	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	<p>Detailed response full of good ideas for the future. Some should be considered for a future plan, others are outside scope of the NP.</p> <p>Majority are in favour of prioritising brownfield land for housing development. In Northwich, this means decontamination needs to take place in much of the available previously developed land.</p> <p>Majority are in favour of protecting the town's historic architecture, but that does not preclude internal updating, energy efficiency measures, etc.</p>
Housing	519 No	<p>Policy HOU1 relates to new housing. The Policy sets out three bullets which all new housing sites need to address. Whilst the three bullets are reasonable in relation to new housing development, the criterial are general in nature and very much open to interpretation. Whilst this may be helpful in once respect by providing flexibility, if a scheme is being challenged then seeking compliance with the guidance in Policy HOU1 is likely to result in considerable debate about whether the scheme complies or not which may well be used against reasonable development proposals. It is suggested that the criteria should be more specific or the text before the criteria amended from "will not be considered appropriate unless it meets all of the following criteria" to "development proposals should be in general conformity with the following criteria". The final paragraph of paragraph 4.4.3 is not clear. Policy HOU3 - Character and Design, the comments in the policy in relation to residential development being delivered at a density to suit the location is welcomed. However, the comments in the final sentence of paragraph 4.4.6 should be deleted. This is not a statement that can be sustained. Policy HOU4 - Heritage is a duplication of national guidance and is unnecessary and should therefore be deleted.</p>	Respondent comment partly accepted	the text before the criteria amended from "will not be considered appropriate unless it meets all of the following criteria" to "development proposals should be in general conformity with the following criteria". The comments in the final sentence of paragraph 4.4.6 should be deleted
Housing	520 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	

Housing	521	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	522	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	523	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	524	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
		Strongly support the need for bungalows and affordable housing, starter homes to rent and buy and homes for elderly. Strongly support a neighbourhood centre for Winnington village Strongly support the development of community facilities to go with housing - schools, shops, play areas, cafes, community rooms. Strongly support building affordable housing well distributed across housing estates rather than building in clusters.		
Housing	525	Yes, partly	Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Housing	526	Yes, partly	Housing costs will not make such a difference as soon as people living locally, whether renting or paying mortgage, will be able to work and earn for their monthly payments.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Housing	527	Yes	Yes, brownfield developments only Supporting infrastructure, highways, schools, doctors, dentists, etc must also be built to support a growing community	Respondent answered yes
Housing	528	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	529	Yes	1 & 2 bed apartment should be made available, perhaps on the upper levels of Weaver Square to encourage especially young people to move into the centre of town	Respondent answered yes
Housing	530	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	531	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	532	Yes	But greenfield sites are still being covered with houses. Contractors obviously can charge more for houses built on these sites rather than on the brownfield sites. The council must force contractors to have any access to the brownfield sites.	Respondent answered yes
Housing	533	Yes	More housing should be provided on brownfield sites near to the town centre and vacant buildings turned into affordable housing units such as the former MANWEB land and the adjacent buildings at the bottom of Winnington Hill	Respondent answered yes
Housing	534	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment
Housing	535	Yes, partly	Community facilities should also emphasise faith buildings such as churches and chapels	Respondent comment rejected
				Given that the archdiocese has just demolished St Lukes Church in Winnington, and there has been no other comments to this effect, the inclusion of churches as a community facility that should be funded by development cannot be justified.
Housing	536	Yes	Do we need any more4/5 bed houses? The estate agents windows are full of them. New housing developments should also include doctors, dentists and play areas	Respondent answered yes
Housing	537	Yes, partly	Obj 10 - We have a lot of affordable housing already. If building new affordable housing keep to the surrounding villages. For the town centre it should be private housing, nice apartments or retirement properties similar to the development near waitrose	Respondent comment rejected
				The surrounding villages are outside the Plan Area. Broad support for more affordable housing from earlier consultation. Northwich NP is not allocating sites, so we do not take a view as to whether affordable housing should be in the town centre or in one of the neighbourhoods.
Housing	538	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	539	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	540	Yes	Substantial to satisfy housing needs. Use brownfield sites only	Respondent answered yes
Housing	541	Yes	Additional housing is required however there is no infrastructure to support this. the non housing at winnington village has produced bottlenecks and additional river crossing from barnton is required.	Respondent answered yes
Housing	542	No	Have not seen any evidence of sites for schools, community facilities, pubs or extra medical facilities. Northwich is becoming a dormitory town. That notwithstanding there are no transport plans to accommodate the extra population	Respondent comment rejected
				Northwich NP is not allocating sites. Transport plans are covered in the TRANS policies.
Housing	543	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	544	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	545	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer
Housing	546	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Housing	547	Yes	Weaver square buildings to be houses for both young and elderly so that the young do no intimidate the elderly!! To build on the flood plain is very short sighted. A neighbour was told by officials that its not a flood plain but a plain that floods!!!	Respondent answered yes

Housing	548 Yes, partly	Objective 11 should be re-worded to make it clear that new housing developments should mitigate highways impact and not exacerbate them. Objective 11 should be amended to read "Ensure the impact of new housing development on local services and highways infrastructure is properly addressed." Redevelopment of the TATA site referred to in HOU5 is supported but should be amended to explicitly reference the need to address objective 18 as well as TRANS1 & 2	Respondent comment accepted	Objective 11 should be re-worded to make it clear that new housing developments should mitigate highways impact and not exacerbate them. Objective 11 should be amended to read "Ensure the impact of new housing development on local services and highways infrastructure is properly addressed." Redevelopment of the TATA site referred to in HOU5 is supported but should be amended to explicitly reference the need to address objective 18 as well as TRANS1 & 2
Housing	549 Yes, partly	No to greenfield sites to be used, brownfield only and more bungalows to be built	Respondent comment rejected	NPPF's "presumption in favour of sustainable development" would not allow us to outlaw development on greenfield sites. However, HOU1 attempts to define the limited circumstances under which it can take place.
Housing	550 Yes	Northwich has extensive brownfield sites & riverside opportunities - no need for land allocation	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	551 Yes	You really must insist on a variety of housing to be available. There is too much emphasis on 3+ bedroomed executive housing. We need smaller, affordable started homes for young families and housing stock suitable for more elderly people, single people with communal facilities.	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	552 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	553 Yes, partly	Objective 9&1 - Housing should only exist where the roads can cope Each brownfield site is a completely individual case and needs accessing thoroughly for its impact all around. Again, I would rather empty properties be used for housing that are already there. I agree we also need more Drs, schools, play areas, etc for each one.	Respondent comment rejected	NPPF's "presumption in favour of sustainable development" forces planning policy to take a "yes, if..." approach.
Housing	554 Yes, partly	The housing is great but we've already had developers coming in without regard for community infrastructure which the rest of us have to foot the bill for. Transport links - Winnington Bridge has to be a priority before any more planning decisions are made.	Respondent comment rejected	Northwich NP can only address the future. TRANS1 and TRANS2 cover Winnington Bridge.
Housing	555 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	556 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	557 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	558 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	559 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	560 No	Northwich is overpopulated with new building houses on unsuitable sites (flood plains) Winnington should be left as an industrial site, extra housing is not needed when there are rows of houses for sale all round	Respondent comment rejected	CWAC Local Plan requires minimum of 4,300 additional dwellings by 2030. Northwich NP does not allocated Winnington Works for housing; rather it attempts to address what should happen if significant housing proposals are brought forward.
Housing	561 Yes	Mixed housing should be encouraged	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	562 Yes, partly	Construction should take into account the impact on the environment both during the construction period and on going. Sustainability should be a key element of any proposed development	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	NPPF deals with sustainability issues.
Housing	563 Yes	Love how good plans for first time buyers	Respondent answered yes	
Housing	564 No answer given	First time buyers need homes, eg bungalows	Respondent provided no answer	
Housing	565 No	More provision for disabled people required. Less advertising properties that are adapted and not given to people who need those adaptations due to stepped entry to house. False advertising	Respondent comment partly accepted	Disability access specific mention. No way for NP to deal with false advertising.
Housing	566 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Housing	567 Yes, partly	HOU1 - Just what is "be within a safe and accessible walking distance" - in other words anywhere we decide. Ridiculous parameters. 'Provide appropriate schools, etc' = where within 10 miles, once again ridiculous. Northwich has more brownfield sites that any comparably sized town in England - use them and keep off greenfield	Respondent comment rejected	We received expert advice that said we could not rigidly define a safe and accessible walking distance. School places are provided through financial contributions. Clear preference for brownfield sites set out in HOU1.

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments
Environment - Natural and Built	568	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	569	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	570	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	571	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	572	Yes, partly	I havent read anything about whats happening with the the old council buildings which are an eyesore.The drive into Northwich from Chesterway needs to be improved from an ascetics point.The old council houses on the left next to the leisure centre need to be demolished and a plan for the old council building is needed.Its not a pretty drive in and gives a poor impression straightway.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Old Council Buildings are covered in policy RC2, and their future will be covered by a future consultation from CW&C. Demolishing the houses (desirable or not) would require a site-specific policy, which is outside scope of the NP.
Environment - Natural and Built	573	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	574	Yes	We need more homes, however this is not to be at the expense of the environment. Brick and concrete jungles are no good for anyone, any new developments would have to have an impact report on the environment and the community.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	575	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	576	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	577	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	578	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	579	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	580	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	581	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	582	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	583	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	584	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	585	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	586	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	587	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	588	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	589	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	590	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	591	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	592	Yes, partly	The policy on trees should go further than simply protecting the existing trees, and should encourage the planting of new trees. What about a nice tree-lined esplanade along the river?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Policy NBE3 states, "The planting of new woodland and orchards will be encouraged".
Environment - Natural and Built	593	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	594	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	595	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	596	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	597	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	598	Yes, partly	I think having a flow between amenities is very important. For example, ideally people could come all the way along the river, past Hunt's Lock, past Hayhurst Quay, past Baron's Quay and up to Northwich Woodlands.	Respondent comment accepted	Intended to be covered by policy RTW2. Amendments required to clarify.
Environment - Natural and Built	599	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	600	Yes, partly	All new built in the town should suit the existing black/white buildings of the old town. This is the character of Northwich.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Intended to be covered by policy NBE1. Some clarification required. However, the "character of Northwich" is variable across the plan area - historic architecture is mainly located in the Town Centre and conservation area extending down to Sir John Deane's College. Include a reworded version of former VRBC Local Plan Policy GS9 to address Town Centre development design issues. Draft proposal submitted to NTC.
Environment - Natural and Built	601	Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Environment - Natural and Built	602 Yes, partly	Statements like 'reflects the historical built architecture of the area' concerns me a little. While I think many of the buildings in Northwich are fantastic in character and architecture, and should be rightly celebrated, I don't believe they should be the sole influence on new buildings or developments. We don't live in the age they were originally built, and often, when building are built today in the style of existing architecture they look, feel and are false... they become a pastiche which I don't feel is right for today. A carefully considered blend of the new and the old should be encouraged - new materials, new thinking, but with a sensitive acknowledgement of the surrounding area. Creating a sense of place through material, colour, architecture and space is the something Northwich currently lacks - it's a bit of a jumble of stuff and lacks cohesion.	Respondent comment partially accepted	Good points. The balance of consultation evidence is in favour of reinforcing the character of the plan area. This does not mean that all new buildings must be pastiches of the current prevailing architectural style, and can allow the for blend of old and new as described. Policy NBE1 attempts to strike this balance.
Environment - Natural and Built	603 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	604 Yes, partly	Avoid flood plain development. This may be a one-off opportunity to tackle our awful post industrial landscape. Brownfield sites must be cleaned up, and given over to other uses, even if they cannot be used for building.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan goes as far as it can on this. HOU1 defines the circumstances that building on green field sites will be allowed and prioritises building on brownfield sites. NBE5 protects flood plains and other green space from future development.
Environment - Natural and Built	605 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	606 Yes, partly	NBE1 - This should be a permissive policy and the final sentence is not appropriate. Incorporating building for life is unnecessary. NBE4 - This conflicts with national policy aimed at building regulations and a fabric first. approach to sustainability. NBE5 - Views should be considered in the normal way as part of the D&A statement	Respondent comment partially accepted	Agreed that the final sentence in NBE1 is unnecessary and should be deleted. NBE4 or its equivalent has been used in other approved NPs and should remain. NBE5 is specific and should remain.
Environment - Natural and Built	607 Yes	Knock down all old council owned concrete buildings Keep with the black and white theme - NOT BLUE!	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	608 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	609 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	610 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	611 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	612 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	613 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	614 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	615 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	616 Yes, partly	rans 2. We have got to get a bigger/second bridge at Winnington. Object 16: Makes sense to move buses to station or even a route change or service from station to town centre	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Environment - Natural and Built	617 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	618 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	619 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	620 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	621 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	622 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	623 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	624 Yes, partly	Green space and play areas in town centre - ie knutsford Provide leisure and relaxation areas inside and out. Encourage activity - must be maintained and safe	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Environment - Natural and Built	625 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	626 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	627 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	628 Yes	Give the police station a face lift and do something with the other court building especially as there is loads of parking spaces behind it.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	629 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	630 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	631 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	632 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	633 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	634 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	635 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	636 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	637 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Environment - Natural and Built	638 Yes, partly	NBE2 and NBE5 The plan currently allows for the overriding of 'protected' areas (such as the Dave Valley flood plain) in exceptional circumstances. This should be a big 'no no' or there needs to be a precise definition of the exceptional circumstances.	Respondent comments rejected	Exceptional circumstances would need to be determined on an individual basis. The problem of defining what may constitute "exceptional" is that omissions from the list would be inevitable; as they can not be foreseen.
Environment - Natural and Built	639 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	640 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	641 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	642 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	643 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	644 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	645 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	646 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	647 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	648 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	649 Yes	definitely need to protect trees and increase street trees, increase green spaces and have greenery outside homes, shops and businesses	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	650 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	651 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	652 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	653 Yes, partly	4.5.1 I am concerned that too much flood defence will just push the problem elsewhere. No building should be carried out on natural flood plains; they are there for a reason. Urban drainage to manage flood issues is a joke! Today (9/11/15) it has poured down but huge puddles are everywhere, easily cleared but no-one bothers. The grids are blocked with leaves but the gutter cleaning wagon last came round here a month ago. Why not wait till it's needed! Expensive drainage has been installed eg round the old art college, London Rd, but useless as it has never been properly maintained.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The draft NP policies do address flood plain development. Urban drainage schemes, when properly designed, installed and managed can significantly contribute to flood protection.
Environment - Natural and Built	654 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	655 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	656 Yes, partly	4.5.1 Urban drainage is installed but not maintained eg London Rd old art college, so the flooding just comes back. Unless maintenance is regular drainage plans are a waste of money. Today (10/11/15) we have had wind, rain and there are wet leaves everywhere but the gutters were cleaned before the leaves fell, what was the point?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The draft NP policies do address flood plain development. Urban drainage schemes, when properly designed, installed and managed can significantly contribute to flood protection.
Environment - Natural and Built	657 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	658 Yes	as long as northwichs beauty spots and woodland is protected against development and new buildings enhance these areas	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	659 Yes, partly	I'd like to see some recognition that you don't build these things and then walk away and ignore them. They need management and maintenance. It all reads very well but there is little track record by the council in doing what they say. It looks suspiciously like saying the right thing and then hoping no one remembers.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The NP sits within a planning framework. Whilst management and maintenance of systems is clearly important the NP is not itself able to control these aspects.
Environment - Natural and Built	660 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	661 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	662 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	663 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	664 Yes	Fig 48. I was pleased to learn the description "Tudor-Beathan" which I had not heard before! I have been taking photographs of Northwich's architecture and old buildings and structures since the early 1970's in the hope of recording them before their sometimes loss!!	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	665 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	666 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	667 Yes	I refer back to my previous comments about Landlords and building maintenance and appearance.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	668 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	669 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	670 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	671 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	672 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	673 Yes	We must protect all green spaces as much as possible.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	674 Yes	The new Memorial court building is a monstrosity. It takes no design elements from the town and is totally out of scale. The blue colour is awful. I think the new Baron's key development also looks out of scale too.	Respondent answered yes	

Environment - Natural and Built	675 Yes, partly	Please be aware of the best feature of Northwich - the rivers The flood defence walls at the back of the Memorial Court car park do not look particularly substantial and kids will want to climb on them!		
Environment - Natural and Built	676 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	677 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	678 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	679 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	680 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	681 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	682 Yes	Promotion of Building for Life 12 is an excellent suggestion.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	683 Yes, partly	old buildings should be have policies to be maintained to a certain standard as it saddens me to see so many left to ruin as it is the cheaper way to get demolition allowed. history should be preserved in the area and the council should have the power to take possession from owners that allow the building to ruin.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The NP refers to the Townscape Heritage Scheme, which has now been approved by the HLF. A design guide already exists which will inform the refurbishment process.
Environment - Natural and Built	684 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	685 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	686 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	687 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	688 Yes, partly	We agree entirely with the need for developments and extensions to be both in character and sustainable and also leading to an increase in biodiversity. We believe strongly however that there needs to be a total ban on further building on the floodplain, due to flooding in the town centre. Further development on the floodplain, such as that in the Dane Valley in Leftwich is likely to negate the effect of the flood defences currently being built. Buffer zones should also be built along the rivers to slow down overland water running into them and thereby extracting excess nutrients from the fields. Visible sites should also be identified to plant new fruit trees and bushes, and also vegetables, for people to harvest, which would increase the attractiveness of our environment. Voluntary groups, such as Grozone, would we imagine, be prepared to look after these, with the advantage of an increases sense of community and joint ownership.	Respondent comment partly accepted	The draft NP policies do address flood plain development. Urban drainage schemes, when properly designed, installed and managed can significantly contribute to flood protection. The policy to encourage the planting of native species SHOULD specifically include fruit trees.
Environment - Natural and Built	689 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	690 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	691 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	692 Yes, partly	We do not consider there to be a need to require a Building for Life 12 Assessment, nor that the Neighbourhood Plan is the appropriate document in which to make this request. Delivery of renewable energy as part of a development should be balanced alongside the viability of development. It is often more cost effective (and better for the environment) to improve the building fabric, rather than provide renewable energy. As mentioned, these matters should be subject to viability.	Respondent comments rejected	Do not agree. Other NPs have successfully included these requirements.
Environment - Natural and Built	693 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	694 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	695 Yes, partly	The character of any town is not improved by new builds that are pale imitations of the vernacular, so please, no more awful 'mock tudor' made from plywood and render. Juxtapositions of old and new are far more interesting. Councils have to be braver than their citizens on this one; if they had not been in the past most of what we now treasure as our heritage would never have been built.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Several comments including from CWaC have made the point that contemporary architecture should be encouraged. It is proposed to revisit the policy on design to deliver what the public consultations have identified as important BUT to acknowledge that contemporary designs "that reflect the historical influences" and commercial pressures.
Environment - Natural and Built	696 No	No detail		
Environment - Natural and Built	697 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	698 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	699 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	700 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	701 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	702 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	703 Yes	No comments to make.	Respondent answered yes	

Environment - Natural and Built	704 No	<p>NPL has been in discussion with the Cheshire West and Chester Council (CWAC) for some time in relation to Fureys Wood. NPL own the freehold of this site and lease the wood to CWAC. The lease is shortly due for renewal in 2017 and discussions are ongoing in relation to a renewal in association with some development. NPL objects to the inclusion of Fureys Wood under this policy designation as Protected Green Space and request its removal from their landownership. NPL are also disappointed that they were not contacted as a key local stakeholder earlier in the Neighbourhood Plan process to discuss their aspirations for Fureys Wood which will bring substantial community benefits. Policy NBE1 - Landscape Character and Development is concerned with the scale and form of development including non- residential schemes. The policy or explanatory text needs to recognise that in relation to non-residential schemes there is often a very good reason why buildings follow a particular scale and design as often in relation to employment uses form follows function. Whilst any planning decision is likely to be based on positive factors being weighed against negative factors, the explanatory text to policy NBE1 should acknowledge that in relation to non-residential uses that greater flexibility may be required. In relation to the wording of Policy NBE1 the final paragraph should be deleted given the requirements of the first two paragraphs. Policy NBE5 - protected greenspace and key views refers to green spaces listed in appendix 5.4. The appendix needs to be amended to reflect the factual position in relation to extant planning permissions. For example, planning application 13/03676/OUT within the Dane Valley is not shown on the diagram contained in Appendix 5. The site which has planning permission should be reflected on the drawing contained in Appendix 5.4 rather than being shown as protected greenspace under sites of "amenity value" 5.4.17 Dane Valley, Leftwich. The wording of Policy NBE5 should be amended completely as the first two paragraphs do not sit comfortably with the second two paragraphs as they are pulling in different directions. The test set out in paragraph 1 of the policy that greenspace should not be lost or harmed by development except in "exceptional cases" implies that areas protected by greenspace and key views have a status akin to land designated as Green Belt. The policy needs to be redrafted to reflect that whilst the Neighbourhood Plan regards these as important areas there is also a need</p>	Andrew, your email suggests you've responded to this?	Andrew, your email suggests you've responded to this? It would seem appropriate to adjust the NP to take account of extant planning applications in both the Dane Valley and Furey Wood.
Environment - Natural and Built	705 Yes	N/A	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	706 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	707 Yes, partly	<p>NBE1: I like the spaces concept, linking and protecting. I've got used to the hotch-potch of styles, I can live with it. NBE2: Heritage Buildings: while great to look at and appreciate how people lived in historic times, these buildings also have to be functional in the real world, otherwise they get abandoned, neglected and damaged beyond repair. Planning rules need to be lax enough for some updating to be carried out, for example, good energy conservation measures, even renewable energy. The buildings have been constructed and adapted over a long period of time, much longer than since we decided they needed 'pickling'. NBE3: Biodiversity in any one location is created by edge and change. Ultimately dominant species take over. A range of habitats may be the goal: each will have edge, and be advancing or receding into adjacent areas, giving unique niches. I welcome the proposal to use locally native plants, and schools or other groups may like to undertake seed gathering and sowing. However climate change may mean that other species, or varieties of native species but from further south, may do better. For roundabouts and traffic islands, wild flowers have been demonstrated to be both attractive, beneficial to wildlife, and cheap to establish and maintain. I welcome para 4.5.15 too: too often developers call on a clean sheet, without looking at the potential of incorporating existing trees into the plan. The soil too is precious, in many places it's the result of centuries or millennia of evolution, along with the plants and animals dependent on it. Undisturbed, it forms distinct layers. NBE4: as I've written in the housing section - When planning homes, they should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ·Low energy, ideally to Passivhaus standards ·Located to minimise transport need ·Include basic facilities to enable outdoor clothes drying, storage for bins, cycles etc, ·Have enough garden to both make and use compost derived from kitchen waste, for either veg, fruit or decoratives. Is this all possible at 35/40 homes per hectare? Larger, communal homes have advantages: shared facilities mean there's less redundant equipment; fewer walls per occupant mean lower heat losses; and built-in babysitters/grannysitters/dogwalkers/handyman/house-sitters have social advantages. They do need people to talk to each other. There have been several communal building schemes nationally, where groups of people have self-built their own homes, 	Respondent comment partly accepted	most of this is supportive, and the comments about biodiversity and climate change cannot be denied. Proposed revision of NBE2 and the Townscape Heritage Scheme will go some way to address concerns about heritage buildings.

Environment - Natural and Built	708 No	Policy NBE1 - Landscape Character and Development is concerned with the scale and form of development including non- residential schemes. The policy or explanatory text needs to recognise that in relation to non-residential schemes there is often a very good reason why buildings follow a particular scale and design as often in relation to employment uses form follows function. Whilst any planning decision is likely to be based on positive factors being weighed against negative factors, the explanatory text to policy NBE1 should acknowledge that in relation to non-residential uses that greater flexibility may be required. In relation to the wording of Policy NBE1 the final paragraph should be deleted given the requirements of the first two paragraphs. Policy NBE5 - protected greenspace and key views refers to green spaces listed in appendix 5.4. The appendix needs to be amended to reflect the factual position in relation to extant planning permissions. For example, planning application 13/03676/OUT within the Dane Valley is not shown on the diagram contained in Appendix 5. The site which has planning permission should be reflected on the drawing contained in Appendix 5.4 rather than being shown as protected greenspace under sites of "amenity value" 5.4.17 Dane Valley, Leftwich. The wording of Policy NBE5 should be amended completely as the first two paragraphs do not sit comfortably with the second two paragraphs as they are pulling in different directions. The test set out in paragraph 1 of the policy that greenspace should not be lost or harmed by development except in "exceptional cases" implies that areas protected by greenspace and key views have a status akin to land designated as Green Belt. The policy needs to be redrafted to reflect that whilst the Neighbourhood Plan regards these as important areas there is also a need to have realistic criteria in place which seek to regulate development. It would also appear that the policy has been drafted to specifically deal with the planning permission referred to above, as evidenced by paragraphs 4.5.21 and 4.5.22. The policy seeks to anticipate a situation whereby if the permission lapses then the policy criteria would bite. This is completely at odds with best practice in terms of policy formulation and the references to the particular planning application and commitment in the policy explanatory text should be removed. On the one had the explanatory text refers to the permission but then completely ignores it in relation to the diagram in Appendix 5.	Respondent comment partly accepted	Agreed that the final sentence in NBE1 is unnecessary. Agreed that the text should reflect the commercial pressures that exist and the need for flexibility. The TC is firm on its stance on the Dane Valley and how the NP should address development proposals within it.
Environment - Natural and Built	709 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	710 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	711 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	712 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	713 Yes	Strongly support proposal for biodiversity, protection of green spaces and key views Strongly endorse 4.5.21 & 4.5.22	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	714 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	715 Yes, partly	50's and 60's concrete buildings are also part of Northwich's history. Footpaths and cycle links are essential.	Respondent comments rejected	overwhelming public view that these buildings are not "welcomed" in Northwich. However, some are listed in the buildings of interest appendix. Cycle links covered elsewhere.
Environment - Natural and Built	716 Yes	Make the most of the natural habit we live in and develop it as a tourist attraction	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	717 Yes	I think it is important for new buildings to be in keeping with the towns heritage however the memorial sports centre certainly isn't and the barons quay development is out of scale and dominates Northwich already	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	718 Yes	I have never objected to the design of Memorial Court as a lot of people have but I definitely feel that any further development within the town should be sympathetic to the existing b&w architecture.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	719 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	720 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	721 Yes	But these policies about "keeping character of the area" is not followed. The new memorial court is a prime example of totally disregarding the local character of the town. Don't planners ever visit the town or area to be developed? it would seem not	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	722 Yes	Having lived near to the river Dane for over 30 years I have seen the river change its course many times due to bank erosion. The sub-strata in the valley appears to be very sandy and unstable and I would assume that the the banks near to any housing would have to be piled and reinforced. Any attempt to straighten out the river would have a detrimental effect downstream. The existing valley could be turned into an artificial balancing table to hold excess storm water. This tank could be a permanent feature and be used as a recreational lake for boating.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	723 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	724 Yes, partly	The waterfronts are Northwich's greatest asset for a regional tourist centre Access to all waterfronts for cafes, restaurants and leisure walking is extremely desirable Currently most waterfronts are inaccessible.	Respondent comment partly accepted	the NP acknowledges and proposes policies to address these concerns
Environment - Natural and Built	725 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Environment - Natural and Built	726	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	727	Yes	4.5 Environment - Natural & Built This section to strengthen the plan with a more in-depth statement on the design and character of the architecture of buildings and structures in future developments. The statement to refer to the town centre and its conservation areas, and areas of districts outside the centre that have 19th century buildings and character areas along with photographic examples. Addition NBE6 - The Towns Woodlands . The Inclusion of the following woodlands into the Mersey Forest would be supported. a) Clough Wood and adjoining wooded areas to the north. b) Furey Wood. c) The wooded area on the east bank of the River Weaver between the railway viaduct and the new Riversdale footbridge. The Mersey Forest Plan 2014 - Cheshire West and Chester pages 70/71 The expansion of the Northwich Woodlands detailed as a 'vibrant community woodland with an active Friends Group, on reclaimed post-industrial land' page 70 Objective C6 page 71 - 'Northwich Woodlands Forest Park and around Northwich: Further develop the Forest Park, its use, connectivity, and interconnection with Northwich, to complement the regeneration of the town in its rural setting. Expand the woodlands to include Wallerscote, taking into consideration existing non-woodland habitats, and buffer ancient semi-natural woodlands. Create a woodland setting for the employment sites to the east of Northwich, principally at Wincham'.	Respondent answered yes	Respondent answered yes - additional wording is supported and SHOULD be used.
Environment - Natural and Built	728	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	729	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	730	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	731	No	Who is sanctioning the building of all these properties on known flood planes. Will these properties be covered by adequate insurance? The river weaver will need constant dredging to enable it to carry away more volume of water	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The draft NP policies do address flood plain development. Insurance and dredging are outside the remit of the NP.
Environment - Natural and Built	732	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Environment - Natural and Built	733	Yes	Please keep it in keeping with Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	734	No answer given	Necessity for clearance of long term rubbish on land at Castle beside Blue Barrel public house. There is a for sale board mentioning agents - 'Fifield' Is this county councillors Fifield?!!? Also hedges fronting Chester Road overgrowing over footpath between Ironbridge and Highbank Road	Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	735	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	736	Yes	As a comparative newcomer to Northwich, I am now seeing vast improvements, but is still not very special - except by the river by Waitrose. More plantings around please	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	737	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	738	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	739	Yes	Aim to be like Frodsham/Nantwich/Knutsford not Crewe/Winsford/Runcorn	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	740	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	741	Yes	Suggest adding 3 local wooded areas to the Mersey Forest Plan 2014 - Cheshire West & Chester pages 70/71. Clough Wood and adjoining wooded areas. Wooded area on East bank of River Weaver between Riversdale bridge and the railway viaduct	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	742	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	743	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	744	Yes	We as a community are obligated to preserve the environment. The environment of Northwich should be a top priority	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	745	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	746	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	747	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	748	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	749	Yes, partly	Agree with increasing biodiversity sustainable development and protecting green spaces. Landscaping areas does not make up for building on environmental sensitive land		
Environment - Natural and Built	750	Yes	4.5.16 - Brownfield sites are often difficult to develop, so extra restrictions should be kept to a minimum.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	751	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	752	Yes	Keep the green areas wild	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	753	No answer given	Flood management - Dane Valley - wild (proposed houses too)	Respondent provided no answer	
Environment - Natural and Built	754	Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Environment - Natural and Built	755 Yes	Particularly agree that the plan should reflect the historical built architecture of the area. This was totally ignored when developing the Memorial court. Looks like a Morrisons Warehouse and is not in keeping with the lovely black and white historical buildings.	Respondent answered yes	
Environment - Natural and Built	756 Yes, partly	The black and white buildings at the bottom of Winnington Hill now unused. Should not do this usual council led thing - left to rot and then burn down. They should be renovated and modernised. They are an attractive part of town	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The NP sits within a planning framework. Whilst management and maintenance of existing buildings is clearly important the NP is not itself able to address specific buildings but can and does support design and maintenance concepts, such as the Townscape Heritage Scheme.

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments	Column1
Transport and Infrastructure	757	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment		
Transport and Infrastructure	758	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	759	Yes		Respondent answered yes		
Transport and Infrastructure	760	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	761	Yes, partly	Critical that an extra express train is added increasing cutting the travel time into manchester	Respondent answered yes	An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017	
Transport and Infrastructure	762	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	763	Yes	Any redevelopment of the TATA site for homes has to come with a new bridge, this is a must. A 3rd river crossing into Northwich would be good however the cost of doing so may count it out and be difficult to locate due to industry and pre-existing homes. With Northwich train station and the bus station currently being some distance away from each other there is no natural link, s shuttle service may be an idea, however the need for this I'd think is low currently, but this may change once the town centre is complete and attracts people to shop, eat or go to the cinema within Northwich from people out of town. The side of town where LA fitness is is a mess mash of buildings with poor roads and no consistency, in-fact London Road is a mess with Car dealerships spread out along this road, it would be good to see a more consistent approach and bring these businesses together?	Respondent answered yes		
Transport and Infrastructure	764	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	765	Yes	No objections	Respondent answered yes		
Transport and Infrastructure	766	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	767	Yes, partly	Winnington Bridge desperately needs addressing, it is a complete bottle neck which will not help attract investment or businesses to the area. I also think the one way system still needs tweaking to make traffic run much more smoothly. Both of these issues drive shoppers to other towns/retail outlets.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Both issues are addressed in the plan	
Transport and Infrastructure	768	No	As a taxi driver it is very frustrating driving through town centre.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope		
Transport and Infrastructure	769	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	770	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	771	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment		
Transport and Infrastructure	772	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	773	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	774	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	775	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	776	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	777	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer		
Transport and Infrastructure	778	Yes		Respondent answered yes		
Transport and Infrastructure	779	Yes	I am particularly in favour of the link road between the A49 and Winnington	Respondent answered yes		
Transport and Infrastructure	780	Yes	Better transport links to Gadbrook Park and Cheshire Business Park would help to attract local employees and employers to the area.	Respondent answered yes		

Transport and Infrastructure	781 Yes, partly	We should do more to promote our railway links. One hour from Manchester is too long. We should be supporting the introduction of tram trains. There needs to be a shuttle bus from the station to the centre. 20mph in residential areas is good, but there should be traffic calming measures around all schools in the form of speed bumps. Victoria Road School desperately needs them.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017 other issues are addressed in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	782 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	783 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	784 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	785 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	786 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	787 Yes, partly	It concerns me that the new housing development at Leftwich Meadows will add to the already heavy flow of traffic into town and we could see traffic backed up as far as Kingsmead during peak times. The bus service definitely needs to improve. Weekend and evening services are very limited and don't encourage a night-time economy in the town centre. Improving routes for cyclists would be very welcome. There is a lack of places to chain bikes up though, especially in the town centre.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	788 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	789 No	There are too many bottle necks when trying to get into Northwich. Please consider preventing traffic from entering the bull ring from dane street.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	790 Yes, partly	I am concerned that objectives seem rather vague and also that transport and infrastructure enhancements are not delivered prior to major developments.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	791 Yes	Winnington is suffering at the moment due to the bridge. Your consultation is right. Although the transport officer at the consultation for the Urban Village stated there would not be a significant increase of traffic to warrant a second bridge... The biggest problem I see in Northwich is the train line. I work in Manchester. After several years of using the train from Greenbank, I now drive in because the service is so poor. The journey time is too long. The reliability of the service was poor. The capacity of the trains wasn't enough. The comfort of the journey was non existent. However, if the train line was better, then Northwich's location could be really leveraged - whether traveling to Manchester, London, Liverpool, Birmingham - the opportunity is there to better connect Northwich with a faster and better service.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	792 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Transport and Infrastructure	793 Yes, partly	This is the key to any success. Links to the M56 and A49 to the north are currently very poor. Winnington Bridge is fit for horse and cart only. There needs to be more thought given to short journeys into town. Cycling is currently hazardous, mainly because cars are the only priority. The one-way system is a nightmare by bike, try riding it! We have rivers/riverbanks leading to the town centres, but currently blocked off/inaccessible. Cycle pathways, where they exist are often either short, or require cyclists to stop and dismount. If they are not convenient, they will not be used. Disability scooters/small electric vehicles need to be able to travel too, well-made cycle/pedestrian paths could accommodate this. Unlike roads, cycle/pedestrian paths improve an area. The aim might be to abolish the requirement for a school-run in the car, which causes jams/delays at fixed times during the week.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Policies are designed to make cycle access to and through the Town Centre easier than present.
Transport and Infrastructure	794 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	795 Yes, partly	TRANS8 - This should be a matter for negotiation of appropriate road hierarchy not set to a pre determined limit.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan "supports" the introduction of 20mph speed limits in residential areas. These are only introduced following appropriate assessments and the review of alternative solutions.
Transport and Infrastructure	796 No	More buses and trains available in the evening Public transport - reduce cost - too expensive Stop the limit on car park stay - this discourages people going to Northwich. 2 hours is a JOKE! 2 way Winnington bridge needed Improve train times and train stations - especially Greenbank	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan seeks to address all of these issues.
Transport and Infrastructure	797 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	798 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	799 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	800 Yes, partly	Greenbank rail station - needs improved bus service link to Hartford rail station 7 days per week.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan seeks to address all of these issues.
Transport and Infrastructure	801 Yes	Regarding Middlewich to Sandbach railway. Do the residents of those 2 towns want a connection to Northwich?	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	802 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	803 No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	804 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	805 Yes, partly	I just want to show my appreciation for the outdoor gym equipment recently installed at Vickersway Park. Its fantastic. Thank you. 4.7.1 Yes 100% keep it open but also keep it lean and mean. If you upgrade even slightly it costs a fortune. It cant ever be a general hospital - no wards just doctors and nurses.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	806 Yes, partly	Please give the busses somewhere else to go for a terminal	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan proposes an alternative location and integrated links.

Transport and Infrastructure	807	Yes	Reassessment of the one way system through town is needed - I know a great number of people that avoid Northwich now because of it and its only going to get worse.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	808	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	809	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	810	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	811	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	812	Yes	Cheaper and more frequent busses are definitely needed as well as a better rail service. Disabled access at Northwich and more parking at Greenbank is desperately needed.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	813	Yes, partly	Need bus service linking villages to town and local areas. Reduce public transport costs.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	814	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	815	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	816	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	817	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	818	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	819	No	I don't think the current scheme in town works	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	820	Yes, partly	Train station definitely needs attention and disabled access on both platforms is much needed	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	821	Yes, partly	Trans1 - Access and movement into and around the town with proposed increase in housing and consequently population (Winnington) weekends particularly. Trans 7 - Important that Northwich residents car parking needs are the first priority before visitors.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	822	No	Who chose the one way system around Northwich? Its killing town	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	823	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	824	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	825	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	826	Yes	Urgent need for more public transport out of hours	Respondent answered yes	Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	827	Yes	TRANS7 More emphasis needs to be made of the need for 'free parking', otherwise the new Barons Quay development will become yet another white elephant. Similar the current emphasis on time restricted parking (whilst understandable as current development work progresses) will kill certain town centre businesses as there is no opportunity to browse or stop for refreshment.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	828	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	829	Yes, partly	Winnington bridge and access needs to be fully looked at. I am unsure about the mention of using an old rail link to the A 49.		Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	830	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	831	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	832	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	833	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Transport and Infrastructure	834 Yes, partly	Increased bus service is needed, there will be more things open late at night when Barons Quay opens, and later buses will be needed to fulfil its potential, especially people from Winsford and outlying villages of Northwich who may not drive, the trains are old on the Manchester to Chester line and need replacing, also if the Metrolink was extended to Northwich it would bring people in from Altrincham and further afield with better transport links	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	835 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	836 Yes, partly	you need to invest in a new bridge or crossing point in Winnington as the pressure will only increase as the number of new houses increases.		Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	837 No	Without significant investment the line between Chester and Manchester will remain the same slow, depressing journey and would not tempt people into the town and also increase the use of cars by locals as using the train to get anywhere takes longer.		An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017 other issues are addressed in the Plan. The speed of the service is outside the scope of the Plan but it is noted that the new service will run approximately 15 minutes faster than the current service.
Transport and Infrastructure	838 Yes, partly	It is foolish to add all the new houses at winnington and barons quay development without improving road/bridge access. I have experienced terrible traffic in those areas since people have started moving in - this needs to be resolved to make the area truly long term liveable	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues covered in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	839 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	840 Yes	There must be an improvement to Barnton bridge in the short term. It is disappointing that this was not negotiated by the Council as part of Winnington Urban Village and is only now seemingly getting support from the Council. There needs to be an improvement in terms of rail services from Northwich to Manchester. The current service is unacceptable. The more Northwich positions itself as a commuter town for Manchester (and other big local cities), the more affable it will become.	Respondent answered yes	Winnington bridge issues are addressed in the Plan. An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017 other issues are addressed in the Plan.
Transport and Infrastructure	841 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	842 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	843 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	844 Yes	Need to consider the cost If a blanket 20mph is introduced.	Respondent answered yes	The Plan "supports" the introduction of 20mph speed limits in residential areas. These are only introduced following appropriate assessments and the review of alternative solutions.
Transport and Infrastructure	845 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	846 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	847 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Transport and Infrastructure	848 Yes, partly	More than once the value of free town centre car parking has been mentioned in this document and the value to local traders across the country has been demonstrated to a degree which cannot be rebutted, yet, at this time, there appears to be an intention to introduce charging. Can you see why I firmly believe that the council says one thing but does the opposite? Any multi storey car parks need to be better designed than the new one in Delamere Street in Chester. Who's idea were the high kerbs, narrow entrance road and sharp ninety degree bends? I have been in once, in a small car. I shan't be going in again. The risk of damage to costly wheels and tyres is too high. Winnington needs a two lane bridge, urgently. You have not addressed rail links to Manchester which are appalling. At least one hour by train to travel a little over 20 miles?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The respondent has not realised that there are 2 Councils - CWaC who set car park charging policies and NTC who are the authors of the Plan that seeks to influence CWaC. An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017 other issues are addressed in the Plan. The speed of the service is outside the scope of the Plan. Winnington bridge issues are addressed in the Plan.
Transport and Infrastructure	849 Yes	Evening buses are essential if residents are to use restaurants and leisure outlets in the centre of Northwich. Buses need to stop at station, with a shuttle from both Northwich and Hartford stations to the town centre.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	850 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	851 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	852 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	853 Yes	Fig 54. It would be helpful to put a label on the location plan showing where the bus exchange is and also to complete the label Northwich Railway Station. 4.6.29. Free car parking in Northwich is one of the towns assets and an attraction for shoppers.	Respondent answered yes	review labels on fig 54
Transport and Infrastructure	854 Yes, partly	The Winsford Neighbourhood Plan seeks to improve bus services to the Victoria Infirmary Northwich and to Northwich generally, so that people from Winsford can benefit from the existing and proposed retail and leisure facilities in Northwich - in particular during the evenings and at weekends. To cite an example, the Retail and Commercial section of the draft Northwich plan states that a new cinema, restaurants and shops will be constructed over the next few years. At present there is no evening bus service to or from Winsford to Northwich, so residents from our town without other means of transport will not be able to enjoy these facilities. It would be helpful if the Northwich Neighbourhood Plan could support the Winsford Neighbourhood Plan by seeking to improve bus services between our two towns.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Bus services are mentioned in 2.2.52 and evening services will no doubt be improved in response to market demand as the evening leisure facilities develop in the town.
Transport and Infrastructure	855 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	856 Yes	Car Parking ... should be FREE at the point of use. I refer to my previous comments.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	857 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	858 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Transport and Infrastructure	859 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	860 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	861 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Transport and Infrastructure	862 Yes, partly	There needs to be better transport links, however, as it stands at the moment is terrible. Buses only run to the very local area unless you get different companies so cannot benefit from day tickets. The timings of buses are not often and end very early into the night. If people are wanting to go to the cinema for a 7pm showing, there are no buses home at this time. Even though trains are good links to local cities (Liverpool, Manchester etc.) they only run hourly which is very inconvenient. Also, I recently went to Manchester for an overnight stay and could not get an Open Return through Northern Rail which is a bit ridiculous so it ended up costing me around £30 which I could get to London for that price.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues are dealt with in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	863 Yes, partly	There should be improved connections to Manchester and Liverpool. There needs to be another river crossing. Putting the bus terminus near the railway station is silly it needs to be in the town.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	864 Yes, partly	Encourage bus companies to make fares cheaper or offer discounts / passes working with shops to encourage bus usage More regular trains on the Manchester to Chester line and modern rolling stock not 40 year old carriages Improve road markings and signage at the junction of Town Bridge/Natwest/Reeds Rains/Temples (Consider long vehicles turning right at this junction) - reduce expanse of footway at this junction Increase double yellow lines on London Road near its junction with Water Street Consider double yellow lines all the way up London Road to Brockhurst Way from the traffic lights at the old art college	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017 with "new" carriages. The speed of the service is outside the scope of the Plan but it is noted that the new service will run approximately 15 minutes faster than the current service.
Transport and Infrastructure	865 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	866 Yes, partly	It should be noted the authors of this draft plan have only considered transport and infrastructure to be physical. This chapter should include policies on digital infrastructure including fixed broadband lines, wireless and mobile connectivity. As the lead of the Connecting Cheshire project I have brought superfast broadband to premises in Northwich as part of £30m rollout to 80,000 homes and businesses, therefore I must stress it is crucial policies are included in the plan to ensure the best possible digital infrastructure is installed in new builds or upgraded to existing premises as the part of an integrated infrastructure strategy. It is also necessary to include policies for wireless technologies including 4g and 5g. The authors may also wish to consider wireless connectivity in the town centre. TRANS2 - The addition of a second crossing of the Weaver is welcome. It should also be noted in the short term improvements are needed to ensure the safety of pedestrians on the two way bridge leaving Winnington towards Barton. Currently there is a narrow footpath on side of the bridge which is not safe for walking across. Furthermore pedestrians then have to cross the road to cross the second single lane bridge. As a short term measure a separate pedestrian bridge should be commissioned across the river to improve the safety for pedestrians.	Respondent agrees with proposals but includes some comments that are worthy of consideration in the final document	Digital Infrastructure has not been considered and this response raises a valid and important point. Suggest that this issue is included within the Aspirations Section as follows – ‘Improved connectivity is revolutionising our quality of life, from how we work and how our children learn, to how we spend our leisure time, how we do our weekly shop, and how we engage with public services. The Town Council will support The Government’s aim to provide superfast broadband for the Town’s premises’.

Transport and Infrastructure	867	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer
Transport and Infrastructure	868	Yes, partly	One way system has driven people to park in Drillfield road just to visit the coop - and I don't see that the traffic has improved at all	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	869	Yes	Bus and train services are in need of major improvements, and moving the bus station to near the railway station is a good idea as long as the local services still stop in the town centre.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	870	Yes	I welcome the policy TRANS8 on speed restrictions. At 2.2.19 mention is made of easy access to Lostock Works. in view of some comments on the Dong Waste processing application, this may not be correct? HGVs cannot go under the bridge and the A530 is fairly narrow parts with safety issues for pedestrians trying to cross the road.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	871	Yes	Any proposed new Barnton-Winnington crossing needs to ensure it has provision to deal with the potential for a previously proposed Weaverham south-eastern bypass, which would run along the course of the old mineral railway line. As regards car parking, this should remain free in Northwich town centre and other areas, however consideration should be given for looking again at the time allowed i.e. the closer to the town centre, the shorter the parking period. This would encourage more visitors to Northwich.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	872	Yes, partly	the cycle pathways need to be improved.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	873	Yes, partly	if it is possible to have a two way bridge towards Barnton then yes but not if it means tata chemicals gets demolished.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	874	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer
Transport and Infrastructure	875	Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	876	Yes		Respondent answered yes

Transport and Infrastructure	877 Yes, partly	<p>T3/T5: Transition Northwich would seek the introduction of a circular bus route connecting the three railway stations and assisting the integration in the immediate timescale of the West Coast and Mid-Cheshire lines. This would seem extremely sensible. A free service would be even better. At the same time it would facilitate the movement across town of the less-mobile and/or time-constrained travellers. The Interchange planned for Hartford would be an absolute blessing, but is also an absolute need. Although perhaps beyond the remit of this plan, we perceive a desperate need for an "oyster card " system for public transport, enabling the transfer from various transport methods and carriers without incurring extra cost / inconvenience</p> <p>T 6: In line with cycling as a key aspiration, and to further a reputation for transition, we might seek to attract a business in "rickshaw"-style connections from the stations into the town, which would be sustainable, cheap, and a tourist attraction, all in one, even if it needed to be suspended in the depths of winter. Perhaps a local taxi business might want to consider this as an addition to their business, or perhaps it might attract a start-up business for some cycling enthusiasts? The provision of signs at the stations / town centre to advertise it, and a mobile telephone with the rickshaw driver for response could be used to book a ride.</p> <p>T 7: We need free or very cheap reliable parking at the railway stations (especially Hartford, but also considering the new "fast" service on the Mid-Cheshire line, at the other two as well) in order to encourage the use of the train. This encouragement is also needed to further the use of the out-of-town bus services of a daytime, as public transport users who arrive back in town after 7 pm, given that buses cease to function of an evening, need a car to return home. If the town is to grow we need to enable people to come into the town of an evening</p>	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Interesting idea about "rickshaw" but in reality would be delivered on the basis of commercial viability.
Transport and Infrastructure	878 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	879 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	880 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	881 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	882 Yes, partly	<p>Public transport is not to helpful to get to work on time. Another bridge over the Weaver is required . High level , to come into Leicester Street and the new Large roundabout etc etc</p>	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	

Transport and Infrastructure	883 Yes, partly	<p>We support the broader objectives of the Transport and Infrastructure Section, however we recommend significant re-wording of some of the policies to ensure they are sound and meet the wider aims of the plan as a whole. Policy TRANS1 seeks to ensure that the Town Council will work with CW&CC and neighbouring parishes to deliver improved access to the A49 from Winnington. Policy TRANS2 goes a step further stating that the feasibility and impacts of a new bridge must be investigated as part of any new development in the Winnington Area. New major development will be expected to contribute towards the delivery of a new bridge. It is recognised that access and movement into and out of the town is an emotive one which has generated significant consultation comments. As recently as 22 October 2015 Cheshire West and Chester Councillors debated the issue of a new bridge over the River Weaver between Winnington and Barnton. The debate at full Council is a recognition of the strong feelings towards ensuring that the traffic system into and within Northwich is appropriately managed in the future. The reality is that Northwich has developed over time principally as a direct result of its industrial heritage which itself is largely due to it being on the junction of the Rivers Weaver and Dane. The traffic system that exists is a result of this incremental development and as a direct consequence of the river network on which it is located. This limits the ability for fundamental and significant re-design and re-development of the traffic network. It is apparent that the delivery of a new or replacement bridge over the River Weaver is not as straightforward as it may first appear. The existing bridge is an Edwardian Grade II listed structure for which there would be a clear desire to retain. If the existing structure is retained, accommodating another bridge alongside it is likely to be impossible given the apparent</p>	<p>Respondent answered yes with a suggestion that some wording should be reviewed to make objectives consistent across the Plan - but with no proposals given</p>	<p>Policies have been reviewed and are not considered to be out of step with the remainder of the Plan.</p>
Transport and Infrastructure	884 Yes	<p>I live near the station and our local residents group were instrumental in bringing the Town Councils attention to the possibility of creating a cycle and walkway from the Railway Station down to Barons Quay following much of the route of the old mineral railway line. This is a marvelous opportunity to create a new 'Gateway to Northwich' welcoming visitors to the town with a pleasant route to the regenerated town and the countryside and tourist attractions that can be found from the Flashes, by the canal and onto Marbury and Anderton. But in order to achieve this CWAC must not hesitate and acquire the essential first plot of land that lies at Peter Street, twixt Manchester Road and Hadfield Street. This site also incorporates a number of allotment gardens whose tenants were 'economically evicted' by huge rent increases imposed by its avaricious owner and these too could be brought back into use as part of the regeneration of this vital urban green space.</p>	<p>Respondent answered yes</p>	
Transport and Infrastructure	885 No	<p>Why are the same roads dug up 3/4 weeks after being laid?</p>	<p>Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope</p>	

Transport and Infrastructure	886 Yes	I think cycle/walking routes need to be looked at more closely. If, as envisaged in the future, the local population increases rapidly with the consequence of more traffic then it becomes a priority regarding the bridges. I wholeheartedly agree that buses continue to stop in the town centre and a terminus created near to Northwich Railway Station. Buses need to run more regularly to accommodate passengers.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	887 Yes	I cannot stress how important it is to address the transport situation with the Winnington Villages, that a new bridge and roadway be constructed.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	888 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	889 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	890 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	891 Yes, partly	<p>This is the area that principally affects the Barnton community. TRANS 1&2. Our community effectively blocks access to the North and West of Northwich and Winnington Bridge and the road through the village provide significant bottlenecks that are only going to get worse as more houses are built in Winnington. It is incredible to us that nothing has been done about this issue before and unbelievable that nothing was done about it before permission was given for the current building in Winnington. Any proposals concerning the crossings are of the utmost concern to us and we would like to be an integral part of any planning and decision making process; we are after all where the other side of a new bridge would end up! We are meeting with CWAC highways engineers on 1st December 2015 to discuss our hopes and plans to ensure that the bulk of the vehicle traffic from Northwich by-passes our village. We would like to communicate the results of this meeting and share and support each other in all future conversations about this issue. TRANS 3 This affects us in so far as it is impossible to access many of the commuter destinations in the North West from Barnton and from the wider Northwich area via current public transport This means 1. More traffic using the crossing at Winnington and road through Barnton to access the A49/M56 links out of and into town. 2. Lack of opportunity to access the wider community via public transport. We currently have to get a bus to the Town Centre, walk to the station and have access to only 1 train an hour to get to Manchester. There are no public transport links that allow access to leisure activities in our nearest urban conurbations - Manchester, Liverpool, Chester, Warrington - at night. In addition, transport to Hartford High school has been provided free to children in the village since the secondary school in the Village was closed. We will</p>	<p>Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope</p> <p>Issues are addressed in the Plan</p>

Transport and Infrastructure	892 Yes	<p>Mid Cheshire Rail Users Association (MCRUA) wishes to respond to this section only. MCRUA compliments Northwich Town Council on the proposed plan and enthusiastically supports the policies in this section, including for Greenbank station car parking, Northwich station disabled access, and on moving Northwich bus terminus to make a better link with the railway station. We think that the plan omits one important point, however. From 2017, the train service between Northwich and Manchester will double in frequency, every day of the week. The extra services will run semi-fast to Manchester calling at Knutsford, Altrincham and Stockport only (except on Sundays) and will be 12-15 minutes faster than today. This will significantly increase the attractiveness of the service and hence the usage of Northwich station. We recommend the Town Council should give early consideration to providing extra car parking in or near to Northwich station to enable local people to benefit from the new services, otherwise over-crowding and parking overspill could become a problem. Also, more visitors to the town are likely to arrive at the station and it will become one of the town's principal gateways, possibly for the first time in many years. Further consideration could be given to improving the connections between the station and the town and to the appearance and facilities of the area immediately outside the station.</p>	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	893 Yes, partly	<p>Policy Trans 1 - Connections to Surrounding Villages is welcomed as the traffic problems in and around Northwich are well documented and connections to surrounding areas will require significant improvement if further major development proposals such as the Tata Chemicals Europe site come forward during the course of the Plan Period. Policy Trans 2 - River Weaver Crossings is also welcomed as it seeks to positively address the issue of crossing the River Weaver. Rather than refer to "Applications for any new development in the Winnington area" it would be sensible to quantify this either to Tata Chemicals Europe site or sites over a certain threshold. It would seem unreasonable for small sites in the Winnington area to have to carry out such an extensive investigation especially if their individual impact would be minimal. The wording of the policy could include not only investigation of the River Weaver crossings but also access to the A49 from Winnington as recognised in Policy Trans 1. The alternative is to redraft Policy Trans 1 to require the feasibility and impacts of a new link to the A49 to be investigated as part of the planning application stage especially for the Tata Chemicals Europe site which is a significant development which has been identified and which is likely to come forward in the short to medium term.</p>	<p>Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope</p> <p>the Plan policies are intended to be complementary</p>

Transport and Infrastructure

894 Yes, partly

Northwich's position within the sub regional and particularly connectivity to wider sub regional initiatives eg the M56 science corridor needs greater emphasis. Reference should be made to this in the vision statement in section 3.1 (page 30); Daresbury Park as key employment locations on page 18; and within baseline studies on page 22. Page 32 / objective 18 should be amended to read as follows: "improve connections to the surrounding settlements, both to the north and south of the town"

Transport and infrastructure requirements for new housing schemes should be referred to in objection 11 on page 31 to read: "Ensure the impact of new housing development on local services and transport infrastructure is properly assessed". Specifically in the Transport and Infrastructure section (4.6) on page 50, we support fully Objective 18 and we control the land comprising the disused railway line to deliver objective 18. We wish to work with AI stakeholders to deliver this key objective and welcome the opportunity to discuss this further. Specifically the "possibly" should be deleted from the text in TRANS1 and a plan showing the route of the deliverable new highways infrastructure should be included under the sections on TRANS1 and objective 18. Finally reference should be made to the synergy between objective 18 and objective 19. Objective 19 is more difficult to deliver in physical terms and the neighbourhood plan should note that where it is not possible objective 19, effective delivery of objective 18 can meet both objectives. Finally in the baseline references, reference should be made to congestion hotspots in Northwich, as defined in highways studies eg Northwich Vision document. Included within this should be confirmation of where the Soot Hill junction is.

Transport and Infrastructure

895 No answer given

Respondent provided no answer

Transport and Infrastructure

896 Yes, partly

TRANS1 and 2: No. The requirement for road space is not a pure multiple of the number of residents. It's related to their need, their thneed, and their mode of travel. The more of their needs they can satisfy locally, and without using a car, the less their need for road space. The shorter people's travel distance, the less road they need, and the less excuse they have for using a car. TRANS3: pass. More on integration: If buses accepted cycles on board, or on/in a carrier, this would effectively extend the coverage of each such service, as well as effecting multi-modal travel including rail. Dry weather cyclists would also be tempted out. This would be great for reducing car use. TRANS4 and 5: yes TRANS6: A cycle path from Town Bridge, along the Weaver to Winnington Bridge, would be fantastic, and take a level and traffic free route round to the Urban Village, or leave energy for tackling either Barnton or Soot Hill. There are limited places in Northwich to park your bike in the dry, only the supermarkets provide these. There's a bike shed going spare on one of the temporary car parks, where the depot was. It's a soaking away from the shops! A 15 or 20 mph speed limit through Northwich town centre would make the traffic less fearsome for less experienced cyclists. There's no point driving faster anyway - there's another red traffic light or other hazard around the corner. You know you've made them safe when parents allow their children to cycle there, as they should. Discontinuous pathways: along the River Dane under the bridges, and the path along the Weaver behind Dutton Industrial Estate (off Queen Street). Paths can be overgrown (R Dane path east of Old Fire Station, Path from Marbury Lane behind Aldi to Cumberland Car Park). Fantastic cycle path down the Weaver towards Winsford, as also through Northwich Woodlands. If your gear cables run under the bottom bracket the path grit can cause abrasion

Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope

Transport and Infrastructure	897 Yes, partly	<p>Policy Trans 1 - Connections to Surrounding Villages is welcomed as the traffic problems in and around Northwich are well documented and connections to surrounding areas will require significant improvement if further major development proposals such as the Tata Chemicals Europe site come forward during the course of the Plan Period. Policy Trans 2 - River Weaver Crossings is also welcomed as it seeks to positively address the issue of crossing the River Weaver. Rather than refer to "Applications for any new development in the Winnington area" it would be sensible to quantify this either to Tata Chemicals Europe site or sites over a certain threshold. It would seem unreasonable for small sites in the Winnington area to have to carry out such an extensive investigation especially if their individual impact would be minimal. The wording of the policy could include not only investigation of the River Weaver crossings but also access to the A49 from Winnington as recognised in Policy Trans 1. The alternative is to redraft Policy Trans 1 to require the feasibility and impacts of a new link to the A49 to be investigated as part of the planning application stage especially for the Tata Chemicals Europe site which is a significant development which has been identified and which is likely to come forward in the short to medium term. In relation to the transport and infrastructure objectives, it is surprising that the summary of comments does not include more about the traffic problems associated with Northwich town centre. Objective 18 is supported given the comments above in relation to ensuring that Northwich connects with surrounding settlements. Whilst the north of the town is identified as an area potentially needing improved connectivity a holistic solution for Northwich should be considered which may include better connections to the north.</p>	<p>Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope</p> <p>Issues are addressed in the Plan</p>
Transport and Infrastructure	898 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment
Transport and Infrastructure	899 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer
Transport and Infrastructure	900 Yes	Please consider developing a green cycle friendly route (car free) that links the Northwich woodlands to the green spaces in town centre	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	901 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment
Transport and Infrastructure	902 Yes	Improved access from Winnington Village to A49 is a high priority Support relocation of the bus station Workers employed in Northwich need to be able to park safely in the town for free.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	903 Yes, partly	Trans1-No new connections to surrounding villages should be through shuttle buses, bike routes, etc not increased car use Trans 4 - Yes - Lack of disabled/bike/pushchair access. This is outrageous in this day and age - recent policies to close access across tracks are regressive.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	904 Yes, partly	Two way system needs to come back to Northwich, it is really difficult right now Buses are expensive, little available in the evening but in the morning, early hours too. Free parking in Northwich is key to keep all the local shops and cafe's. People just wont come otherwise.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	905 Yes	Much better road systems and highways are urgently required and necessary to sustain the growth in development	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	906 Yes		Respondent answered yes

Transport and Infrastructure	907 Yes	Free parking must continue in town to encourage people into the town. Perhaps a separate car parking area set aside for long term parking for people working in the town.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	908 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	909 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	910 Yes	Everything said in all the policies is good but what we see in these policies do not work in practise. Example: The gyratory system of traffic through Northwich It is still as difficult to drive through Northwich as in the past with traffic hold ups - long ones on Castle hill Some parts of the gyratory system is treated like a race track through the town with some drivers zigzagging from lane to lane. Impossible for people in Castle, Weaverham to visit Waitrose without doing a double circuit of the town. I am not a cyclist but our roads in Northwich are very dangerous for them and I don't blame them for using the pavements.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	911 Yes, partly	The provision of a new bridge at Winnington is a no brainer and it amazes me that the council did not insist on this being constructed as part of the planning approval together with a new primary school sooner rather than later and not have to rely on residents petitioning to get these built. It should be the council dictating to the developer not the other way around. Extend the Kingsmead cycle paths to Winsford by constructing a path adjacent to the Davenham bypass. this should have been done when the road was constructed.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	912 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment
Transport and Infrastructure	913 Yes, partly	Obj 16. An integrated cycle way system for all of Northwich Town Centre would be environmentally useful with many health benefits Cycle city would be a great attraction to people of all ages.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope
Transport and Infrastructure	914 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	915 Yes	Obj 15 - Connect Barons Quay development to Carey Park so you can walk/cycle along the riverside. Obj 17 - ensure car parking remains free or the town will decline further	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	916 Yes	4.6 Transport and Infrastructure In TRANS3 Integrated Public Transport - Objective: 16 addition. A revision of the bus services from Northwich to Chester and Winsford to Chester. To re-route both services providing an alternating circular bus service linking Northwich - Winsford - Chester In TRANS4 Northwich Railway Station - Objective: 16, 19 addition. Re-instatement of a passenger service on the Northwich to Crewe relief rail line along with a new station adjacent to the A556 at Gadbrook Park Business Centre would be supported. TRAN9 Addition. The Town Centre. Extension linking Crown Street to Watling Street at the Applemarket Street junction. Objective: This extension will provide an alternative exit route from Weaver Way / Barons Quay removing the need for the exit from the town bridge end of Weaver Way.	Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	917 Yes		Respondent answered yes
Transport and Infrastructure	918 Yes		Respondent answered yes

Transport and Infrastructure	919 Yes, partly	As above additional infrastructure is required also if Northwich wants more footfall - especially evening/night time much better public transport is needed. What is the point in a cinema if people cant get into and out of town??	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues are addressed in the Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	920 No	A new crossing at Winnington bridge is essential. The amount of fuel wasted would have paid for a new bridge many times over. This should be a priority BEFORE all these houses are completed. It is a local, regional and national disgrace.Councillors at al levels and local MPs should hang their heads in shame. The river weaver is no longer a commercial waterway! TRANS4 - Manchester-Chester trains are a disgrace as is the timetable	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues are addressed in the Plan. An additional train per hour is being added to the service from 2017 with "new" carriages. The speed of the service is outside the scope of the Plan but it is noted that the new service will run appoximately 15 minutes faster than the current service.
Transport and Infrastructure	921 Yes	Pleased about improving links to Northwich Station. Same needs to be done for better links to Hartford station currently only served by an hourly bus, if proposal for new interchange at Hodge Lane doesn't happen	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	922 Yes, partly	This needs improving on. Put more buses on instead of taking them off.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Transport and Infrastructure	923 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	924 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	925 Yes	Good thinking on all objectives - 15, 16, 17, 18,	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	926 Yes, partly	Support for objective 15-18. Objective 18 is unclear in how it is worded and should be re-worded to say "improve connections to the surrounding settlements, to both the north and south of the town," TRANS1 - Connecting to surrounding villages is fully supported in principle. OBJ18 refers to the need to link the TATA site with the delivery of a link to the A49 south of Northwich, utilizing the disused mineral railway line between the A49 and Winnington. As referred to under the housing policy this link should be mirrored in TATA housing policy. P&D Northern Steels Executive Pension Fund own the disused mineral line and is more than happy to engage positively with CWAC and the Town Council on how this can be delivered. Further a plan showing the route of the disused mineral railway line should be shown/included within the narrative on TRANS1. We can provide this plan upon request. TRANS1&2 linked and it should be noted that failure to deliver TRANS2 will add more weight to the absolute need for TRANS1, which is fully deliverable in land ownership and engineering terms.	Respondent's answer worthy of consideration	Respondent questions why are settlements to the North of the town centre highlighted in OBJECTIVE 18? Although these may have problems associated with the Winnington Bridge problem suggest removing reference to 'the North'. Suggest that OBJECTIVE 18 reads – 'Improve connections to the surrounding settlements' Also suggested that a map showing the route of the disused Mineral Railway Line be included. Suggest this be included as an appendix mentioned in the text to TRANS1.
Transport and Infrastructure	927 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	928 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	929 Yes	I do not understand the listing of Winnington swing bridge, what is its importance? I would support either of the 2 options offered.	Respondent answered yes	

Transport and Infrastructure	930 Yes	TRANS3 - Integrated public transport - bus routes Northwich, Winsford, Chester - reroute both services to provide and alternating Northwich - Chester - Winsford route ie one bus clockwise, next anti clockwise. TRANS4 - Objective 16. Reinstate passenger service, Northwich, Middlewich, Crewe and stop at Gadbrook Park TRANS5 - An addition - Create a route from Weaver Way via Crown St to Watling St. This would enable Weaver Way to be mostly one way thus providing room for a dedicated pedestrian/cyclist pavement.	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	931 Yes	We need an extra bridge from Winnington and another access to town eg open up Marbury Road? The new one way traffic system does not cope now all around Castle, Winnington, Hartford and Weaverham. Driving in town is a lottery	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	932 Yes, partly	Middlewich branch line is a must for students getting to Mid Cheshire College via Greenbank. Build a new bridge/link road at Winnington. More transport to Manchester and run later at night	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues addressed in Plan
Transport and Infrastructure	933 Yes	New bridges are very important for Barnton and other regions	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	934 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	935 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	936 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	937 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	938 Yes	Maintain free parking and improve our train stations	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	939 Yes	Before the Winnington works is redeveloped, extensive thought should be given to new roads and a new bridge into Barons Quay	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	940 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	941 Yes	Connect Barnton - Bridge	Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	942 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Transport and Infrastructure	943 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	944 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Transport and Infrastructure	945 Yes, partly	Using Winnington Works area for residential housing is right. There should be no demolition of existing 100 year old housing in the area - Northwich needs its characterful old housing and quality housing should be built - very similar to the urban village across the road. Affordable (council) houses are a plenty in Northwich	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Issues addressed in Plan

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments
Health and Wellbeing	946	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	947	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	948	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	949	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	950	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	951	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	952	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	953	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	954	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	955	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	956	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	957	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	958	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	959	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	960	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	961	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	962	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	963	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	964	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	965	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	966	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	967	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	968	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	969	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	970	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	971	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	972	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	973	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	974	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	975	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	976	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	977	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	978	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	979	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	980	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	981	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	982	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	983	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	984	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	985	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	986	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	987	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	988	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	989	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	990	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	991	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	992	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	993	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	994	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	995	Yes	To speak to the local health authority and a local hospital than has the facilities to cope ie MRI, CT, inpatients, etc	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	996	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	997	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	998	Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Health and Wellbeing	999	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1000	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1001	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1002	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1003	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1004	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1005	Yes	Facility to walk/cycle etc as much as possible for work/shopping/leisure/pleasure must be encouraged. Safety is paramount	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1006	Yes	A clear route along the canal from the town centre to the salt museum would be great	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1007	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1008	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1009	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1010	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1011	Yes, partly	Northwich infirmary should be expanded and used for the expanding population	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	HW1 addresses these matters
Health and Wellbeing	1012	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1013	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1014	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1015	Yes	Reopen the wards at Northwich Infirmary for the elderly to make room at Leighton Hospital. Keep the infirmary open at all costs	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1016	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1017	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1018	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1019	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1020	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1021	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1022	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1023	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1024	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1025	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1026	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1027	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1028	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1029	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1030	No		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1031	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1032	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1033	Yes	Northwich Neighbourhood plan would seek to encourage part 2 of cwc local plan to seek Section 106 monies towards a contribution to local health services such as a Victoria Infirmary	Respondent answered yes	HW2 seeks to address this issue
Health and Wellbeing	1034	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1035	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1036	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1037	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1038	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1039	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1040	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1041	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1042	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1043	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1044	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1045	Yes	I particularly support the comments regarding the Northwich Infirmary. This could be much better utilised and actually represent cost savings for the NHS to maximise the benefit of the facility for the residents of Northwich and surrounding areas.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1046	Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Health and Wellbeing	1047	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1048	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1049	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1050	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1051	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1052	Yes, partly	Lockable bike storage in town centre. Park with children's play area in town centre. Toilets and more toilets. Not unisex. Clean and open 24hours. Outdoor seating Footpaths that don't rattle or splash water up your legs when it rains, or trip up the elderly	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Many of these issues are covered within the Plan or are outside scope.
Health and Wellbeing	1053	Yes, partly	Provide better parking at GP surgeries/Victoria Infirmary - introduce a Town bus service to bring people to the hospital from a larger car park		
Health and Wellbeing	1054	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1055	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1056	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1057	Yes, partly	We need less industrial use here - we already have to cope with so much pollution that my doctor says there is actually a condition they refer to as Northwich nose - due to all the pollutants that are spewed out - is that what we want for young families?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	With the demise of the industrial base in Northwich it is transport that now presents the highest pollution risks. A number of the policies seek to mitigate these impacts.
Health and Wellbeing	1058	Yes	It is essential that Northwich infirmary is kept, and money should be invested in its improvement.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1059	Yes, partly	I welcome the policy HW5 on healthy neighbourhoods and the introduction of HIS's. However, It is not clear who will be required to commission /conduct HIA's? Will they be independent?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	HIA's will be the responsibility of the developer but will be assessed by the District Council.
Health and Wellbeing	1060	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1061	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1062	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1063	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1064	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1065	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	

Health and Wellbeing	1066 Yes, partly	<p>Transition Towns Northwich would support more localized NHS services at Victoria Infirmary. It is our understanding that new residential areas already have to make a contribution to local amenities. We would support the continuation and expansion of this but encourage greater transparency about where it is spent and more information for local groups about how to benefit from it. We would also suggest that this money is ring fenced to benefit the community within a certain catchment area of any new development. The plan could specify the applicable area in terms of miles and area population. Whilst we would support the sentiments of HW3 we would not support its current wording. AS stated allotment plots provide green space, CO2 reductions, flood defenses and wildlife corridors. They can be a key part of both individual and community welfare. They are also valuable land for future generations to utilize. It may be that demand may fall within a certain time frame due to lifestyle choices of a specific generation and reasonable efforts do not secure new tenants. Building on allotments means they are lost for future generations and it is unlikely that they would ever be re-established if demands changed. As we reach a time where growing our own food may seem more viable and vital we would consider it very short sighted to cut down on any allotment plots. Whilst we have knowledge the tone of the objective in expecting "reasonable efforts" to have marketed the spaces we feel that a more blanket "Development will not be allowed on existing allotment plots and all new residential developments must include allotments plots where there is community demand." would be a less short-sighted and more positive objective. This may also lead to an attitude of encouraging people to take on a plot as a co-operative, share time and skills etc and undertake transformative work to attract those who don't have a natural inclination. We would strongly support HW4 and HW5; Healthy Neighbourhoods and Healthy design. We would be interested in being part of any process which helps formulate a more comprehensive plan of what this might be like. As a national organization Transitions Towns have done extensive work in this area. Whilst we are just starting out a group we have extensive expertise within the forming group and can draw on the wider experience of Transitions Towns and similar initiatives. Can a Health Impact assessment be included as an Appendix and a summation of "Secured By Design" which is Northwich specific? It is</p>	Respondent comment should be incorporated in the final Plan	<p>Part Reword - HW3 - Development will not be allowed on existing allotment plots (existing policy is more robust) and all new residential developments must include allotments plots where there is community demand (agreed)</p>
Health and Wellbeing	1067 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1068 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1069 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1070 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1071 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1072 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1073 Yes	<p>In particular the encouragement of allotment sites. The council should establish new sites and encourage people to 'have a go' rather than wait to be approached by those already persuaded. After all many of our keenest allotment gardeners only became so as a result of the war time Dig for Victory campaigns.</p>	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1074 No	to expense analysis		
Health and Wellbeing	1075 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1076 Yes	<p>I agree that the Victoria Infirmary should be further enhanced to support our local community and outlining villages</p>	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1077 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1078 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1079 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1080 Yes	<p>HW1 To access our nearest alternative medical facility - Leighton Hospital - involves crossing Northwich town centre and either Winsford or Middlewich Town Centre as well. There is no way we would support changes to services at The Victoria Infirmary and would be happy to add support to any proposed improvement. HW2 As mentioned elsewhere we are aware of developers getting out of obligations to provide community facilities and support the retention and improvement of any community facility</p>	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1081 Yes	No comment to make.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1082 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1083 Yes	N/A	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1084 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Health and Wellbeing	1085 Yes, partly	HW1: Victoria Infirmary is at the top of a hill. There is a reasonable bus service, but is there an extra fare on top of the fare into town? And is it disproportionate to the distance? Not all disabled and elderly people have taken up bus passes. They're not all comfortable using buses, despite dropping platforms. So the rigmarole of parking charges is bad. HW2: yes HW3: I've much enjoyed the facilities at Vickersway Park, along with the adjacent allotments and at Queensgate. Allotments are a fantastic pastime. Grozone is also great fun. Home grown food is the freshest, tastiest, healthiest and cheapest. There's much ornamental planting: edible planting can be of equal or greater interest. It's regrettable that some apple trees with excellent fruit are due to be felled at the Chesterway/Station Road roundabout; I believe they may have been planted as ornamentals or dual purpose. Another excellent tree may already have been lost at Baron's Quay, and another felled to make way for a car to be parked. We've an excellent heritage of apple trees dotted around Northwich; we could make a name for Northwich using them. They might be associated with eateries as well as leisure locations and waysides. HW4 and 5: yes Also, Fuel poverty is one measure, where fuel costs exceed a given percentage of income. Other measures might be: transport (eg in rural areas), food (for people unable to grow or forage, or cook themselves), education, holiday, exercise, or even care. We should be concerned where any of these impacts on any aspect of wellbeing. There's much ornamental planting: edible planting can be of equal or greater interest. It's regrettable that some apple trees with excellent fruit are due to be felled at the Chesterway/Station Road roundabout; I believe they may have been planted as ornamentals or dual purpose. Another excellent tree may already have been lost at Baron's Quay, and another felled to make way for a car to be parked. We've an excellent heritage of apple trees dotted around Northwich; we could make a name for Northwich using them. They might be associated with eateries as well as leisure locations and waysides.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The orchard trees suggestions have been addressed elsewhere in the Plan
Health and Wellbeing	1086 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1087 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1088 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1089 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1090 Yes, partly	Totally agree with HW1 - its a very good hospital	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	
Health and Wellbeing	1091 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1092 Yes	HW1 & 5 - Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1093 Yes	Yes, especially for Victoria Infirmary to be retained ALSO more impact should be on healthy eating.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1094 Yes	Green space and easy recreational access is crucial to allow the population to bond with their surroundings.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1095 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1096 Yes	Definitely want to see Victoria Infirmary with all its facilities retained.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1097 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1098 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1099 Yes	HW1 Yes please keep the Victoria Infirmary going and with more services there is and will be so very many more people and families living in Northwich that it is very necessary.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1100 Yes, partly	Agree entirely with 4.7.5 that the wards at the Infirmary should be reopened. The old swimming pool at Moss Farm should be retained as such as demand would justify Northwich having 2 pools. I believe the pool at LA fitness is now closed so a private company could be encouraged to take the farm over. the influx of new residents at Winnington Village alone would be reason enough to keep these baths open.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Proposals for Moss Farm include a Gymnastics facility
Health and Wellbeing	1101 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Health and Wellbeing	1102 Yes, partly	Easy walking and safe cycling (traffic free) = many health benefits	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Addressed in the Plan
Health and Wellbeing	1103 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1104 Yes	Build a health centre in place of weaver square similar to eagle bridge in crewe	Respondent answered yes	

Health and Wellbeing	1105 Yes	4.7 Health and Wellbeing Addition to HW1 Victoria Infirmary Northwich (VIN) to be more specific. The retention and were possible increase of outpatient services at the VIN will be supported. This will remove pressures at Leighton General Hospital and will provide patients with a more convenient and accessible service. The development of step down and intermediate care services at VIN will be supported.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1106 Yes	We've relied a lot in the past on Victoria Infirmary. It would be a dreadful pity if we lost the use of it	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1107 No answer given	New bridge over Weaver essential Free car parking very desirable	Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1108 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1109 Yes, partly	There is scope for upgrading VIN to a DGH with an A&E dept. Travel to Warrington/Leighton os too far especially as the population is growing so rapidly. Poor primary care leads people to visit a/e to see a doctor. If there aren't enough GPs in the area now what will happen? 4.7.14 How much of this statement is truly applicable to whats happening in Northwich as a whole?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan as a whole tries to deliver 4.7.14
Health and Wellbeing	1110 Yes, partly	HW5 - New buildings should not have steps - level access should always be the preference. This was a missed opportunity at Memorial Court	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Disabled access requirements deal with this; it is too restrictive to say no steps ever.
Health and Wellbeing	1111 Yes, partly	More beds back in Northwich for the inbetween case. The old when not ready to go back home	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Mid Cheshire Hospitals now work to this model and the Plan's HW1 will assist.
Health and Wellbeing	1112 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1113 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1114 Yes	Keep Victoria Infirmary for clinics	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1115 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1116 Yes, partly	Victoria Infirmary should have an A&E unit. Also open up patient wards there like they had years ago	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	See HW1
Health and Wellbeing	1117 Yes	Improved cycleways needed through town to link with National cycle routes - connect weaver way to carey park	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1118 Yes	I fully support all references to the Victoria Infirmary. The journey to Leighton seems interminable	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1119 Yes	HW1 - Retain outpatient services at the Victoria Infirmary.	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1120 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1121 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1122 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1123 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Health and Wellbeing	1124 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1125 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1126 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1127 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1128 Yes	4.7.8 - Protect of increase allotments	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1129 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1130 Yes	Core objectives - encourage people to cycle to work. Cycle paths are horrible/unclear The cycle paths go against the flow of traffic Make them safer/clearer with the traffic	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1131 Yes	Cycle paths	Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1132 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1133 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Health and Wellbeing	1134 Yes, partly	Victoria Infirmary is a huge asset to Northwich. Most towns would give their right arm for it. All efforts must be made to increase facilities at this prized asset.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	See HW1

TAG	Response ID	Agree	Comments	Assessment	NP Comments
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1135	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1136	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1137	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1138	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1139	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1140	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1141	Yes	The River Weaver has been over looked for a long time. People like being near and playing on water. After living in Reading, Berkshire and seeing the redevelopment of the old bus station to incorporate shopping along the river/canal and the success of this development, I can't see why this has not been done before in Northwich. With the heritage that Northwich has with the Salt works and the Anderton Boat lift these are interests that we should try to make more of. On the recreation point - things for the youth to do in the town are zero, I have 12 and 8 year olds and the out look for them once they can go out isn't looking good.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1142	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1143	Yes	no objections	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1144	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1145	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1146	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1147	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1148	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1149	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1150	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1151	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1152	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1153	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1154	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1155	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1156	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1157	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1158	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1159	Yes	Also what about introducing river buses?	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1160	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1161	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1162	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1163	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1164	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1165 Yes, partly	Probably outside the scope of the plan, but it would be great if Budworth Mere was actively promoted as a watersports centre rather than just a sailing club. A dedicated outdoor festival space would be a good idea. Verdin Park does have sound-proofing issues though. Moss Farm could be a centre of excellence for sport. I'd like to see the various organisations there working more closely together and having stronger ties with Winnington Park and Hartford Golf Club. Northwich Victoria FC, Witton Albion FC and 1874 Northwich FC are community assets. I know that they've had their issues but I'd like to see the town be prouder of them as well as smaller local clubs such as Lostock Gralam FC and Rudheath Social FC. Social clubs such as Winnington Recreation Club, the Gladstone Club and Rudheath Social Club are important to our local identity but I imagine that they're really struggling. Barons Quay could draw even more people away. I'd like to see them supported to adapt and to become key community hubs again. It's disappointing that we have a multi-million pound Memorial Court but it's run in the same way that turned people off the Memorial Hall. Standards could be higher- long queues, broken facilities etc. I'd also like to see them have more ambition. It could potentially attract quite well-known bands and shows and establish itself on the circuit. Northwich really needs a more modern mainstream music venue. A lot of things in Northwich happen in isolation. If clubs, venues, artists, promoters etc worked together we could have a much more vibrant arts scheme. I believe that an 'arts' club has been suggested. That could be an idea. In an ideal world the Bull Ring would become a piazza and there would be bars and restaurants dotted around leading people from the river, through town to Barons Quay. Traffic and the number of banks and estate agents colonising around that area prevent that from happening though.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	There is certainly support by Northwich Town Council for a combined Arts/Tourist/Activity/ Meetings Centre to augment the limited facilities incorporated in the Memorial Court. It is really beyond the scope of this Plan to progress further with this idea, as so many. different organisations will need to be consulted and the time scale involved would defer the whole plan. Heading 4.8.4 refers
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1166 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1167 Yes, partly	We are not making enough use of the Weaver and Dane rivers. Create a path along the town side of Northwich to link with Carey Park. Landscape both sides and encourage boat trips from the Town to the Boat Lift. What great assets for the town.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	This is recommended in the Plan. Heading 4.8.3 refers
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1168 Yes, partly	I think there should be more emphasis on supporting voluntary/community efforts in these fields in addition to Council or commercial enterprises. I am concerned about the maintenance of the local canal network now that it is under charity status. I saw no specific Objectives under the final 'culture' heading so do not know what actions might be supported. Cultural activities contribute greatly to quality of life and require proper consideration.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Responsibility for maintenance of both the River Weaver and the Trent and Mersey Canal is vested in the Canal and River Trust. Local volunteer groups assist with maintenance in the locality, the River Weaver Navigation Society. the Trent and Mersey Canal Society, Northwich Rotary Club, The Friends of Marbury Park, being a few of the organisations that assist in this activity and they all welcome further members.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1169 Yes	The potential of the waterways, rivers and canals is vastly under estimated in Northwich and the surrounding areas.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1170 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1171 Yes, partly	Northwich's prospects with regard to tourism must be slim. The waterways might be a draw, but I would not expect many people to spend long here otherwise. Making the town a pleasant and interesting area for the local population should be the primary aim. Preservation and improvement of green spaces should be a priority	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Northwich's capacity to become a tourist town is considered, by most inhabitants, grossly under-rated This Plan highlights the tourist possibilities that can rejuvenate the town. The Plan also preserves and protects existing green spaces
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1172 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1173 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1174 Yes, partly	Should have bars, restaurants and cafes overlooking the river.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	These matters are addressed in the Plan
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1175 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1176 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1177 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1178 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1179 Yes, partly	Very concerned that the waterfront itself may not be developed or improved	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	One of the main objects of the Plan is to ensure greater waterfront development and use.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1180 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1181 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1182 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1183 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1184 Yes	We have to keep all our lovely waterways and bridges including the beautiful boat lift.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1185 Yes	More festivals and events would be fantastic	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1186 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1187 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1188 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1189 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1190 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1191 Yes	continue to publicise and ensure Northwich facilities are known about. Enable access and walks linking canals and rivers around Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1192 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1193 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1194 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1195 Yes	Northwich Boat Festival	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1196 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1197 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1198 Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1199 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1200 Yes, partly	Open up both rivers to people for recreation	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	As with 1.179 above, the improvement of the waterfront is a key objective of the Plan
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1201 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1202 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1203 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1204 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1205 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1206	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1207	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1208	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1209	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1210	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1211	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1212	Yes Tourism needs to be exploited to make Northwich a local tourism centre, encouraging people who may be visiting Chester which has a huge pull to see what Northwich has to offer	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1213	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1214	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1215	Yes, partly	Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1216	Yes it would be great to be able to spend weekends eating, drinking, walking and generally enjoying the waterside areas of northwich. This would be a great appeal for visitors.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1217	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1218	Yes We need a Pizza Express, as a minimum, in Northwich.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1219	Yes, partly	Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1220	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1221	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1222	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1223	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1224	No answer given	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1225	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1226	Yes I agree with the policies but I am puzzled by the actions following from such a good analysis. Will the Baron's Quay development provide an enticing access to the waterfront or block it? The early signs are not good with it towering over the existing town centre and how is it going to blend in with those old black and white buildings? There seems to be an Alice in Wonderland attitude by the council that, because the council says something is so, then it is. I have heard statements that the Baron's Quay development reflects and blends with its environment. Maybe in someone's dreams.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1227	Yes Waterways are the town's greatest asset for both residents and tourists. Currently this asset is mostly wasted, except for Anderson and the new marina. With plenty of investment in waterfronts the town could be transformed.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1228	Yes Disappointing there is no mention of museums and library as important cultural amenities	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1229	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1230	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1231	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1232	Yes, partly A key aspiration of the proposed Mid-Cheshire Waterways Strategy will be the construction of an inclined plane at Winsford with a view to linking the River Weaver and the Shropshire Union canal and thereby helping complete the 'Cheshire ring' of navigable waterways. The inclined plane at Winsford would without doubt contribute greatly to the economic prosperity not only of Winsford, but of Mid-Cheshire and beyond and it may be helpful for the Northwich Neighbourhood Plan to indicate support for this ambition.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	It is certainly pertinent to comment that other future activities likely to be promoted elsewhere along the river may produce further demands for extra tourist facilities in Northwich.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1233	Yes, partly	Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1234	Yes Provision of a dedicated tourist information centre would be a benefit to Northwich. There are so many sites that attract visitors to the area a centralised resource would help to ensure the contiguity of a visitors experience. Northwich has so much to offer and visitors to the town often are unaware of the scope of options available to them	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1235	Yes	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1236	No	Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1237	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1238	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1239	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1240	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1241	Yes, partly	What about culture? Theatres, performance space, galleries etc	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	This is really a further concern expressed and answered under heading 1.165
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1242	Yes, partly	Improve walkways to provide a Northwich River Walk - accessible for all - bikes/buggies/wheelchairs etc Maybe develop a new covered market along the river banks? Car parking near the river banks?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	The Plan proposes the provision of a new pedestrian/cycle swing bridge and the development of Lock Street which would enable this proposal to develop.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1243	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1244	Yes	Further consideration is needed as to how to link the new Barons Quay development with the new leisure centre in Northwich. Open corridors of public realm need to be created across the existing townfoot print else the town will risk being disconnected and confusing A pedestrian and cycle crossing over the river weaver in Furys wood is encouraged and necessary to provide an alternative route into Town Centre from Winnington without needing to walk up the steep Winnington Hill road. A new crossing and gradually sloping path through Furys wood would provide a pleasant safe route into Winnington away from the often fast moving traffic travelling up and down Winnington Hill	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1245	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1246	Yes, partly	The tracks etc around northwich are good, the access throughout the town centre to them on a bike needs to be improved	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	This is covered in the Transport and Infrastructure matter section of the Plan
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1247	Yes	Moss farm should be improved. There is a need for a childrens play area on the site. At no point should Witton Albion or Northwich Vics or any other professional or semi professional side be allowed to move to this site. More could be done to encourage girls and women to use the site with hockey and netball pitches being provided.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1248	Yes, partly	Cooperation between tourist attractions and the introduction of a Visitor Centre would be useful. The Weaver Hall Museum could be negatively impacted by the new Salt Museum and visitor number to this small attraction could fall, if this is not addressed. New uses could be explored and supported to extend the range of visitors to Weaver Hall.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	This is a possibility, but Weaver Hall grounds have access to the navigable backwater of the Weaver. It could have increased usage as a Trip Boat Base. The 2 attractions are already working closely together.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1249	Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1250 Yes, partly	the British waterways are an amazing part of England's history and transport links. they should be easier for public to travel on and maybe the council should offer a cheaper boat hiring service in the town for people to fully appreciate the waterways. having personally used a narrow boat on the water ways I know that you can see the beauty from another light and so think it should be more easily available. it is expensive and should be made cheaper. this will be good for public but also to raise money for the council.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Although beyond the scope of the current Plan a Trip Boat operation will surely come to Northwich. It is more likely that this will be a commercial operation, but will obviously need to be competitively priced. Undoubtedly an influx of visitors wishing to cruise the river will bring a demand also for small self drive or manually powered craft.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1251 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1252 No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1253 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1254 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1255 Yes, partly	In respect of RTW2 NorthwichTransition would welcome and encourage proposals that would increase access to and from the town via the rivers. This kind of access which could encourage some locals inhabiting the peripheries to perhaps leave the car at home once in a while would also have a positive impact environmentally. Perhaps slightly more concrete clauses could be written into this particular section of the plan which would safeguard against future private developers possibly impinging upon or restricting access to such areas in the future. In relation to RTW3 Verdin Park is an attractive park if a little lack lustre in visual stimulus especially when compared to Vickers Way Park which boasts a number of things to entertain various age groups. The less infirm who may after walking up the steep slope wish for a little respite, would struggle to find even a park bench. Whilst a dedicated performance space would be an asset, this would surely depend on what is exactly inferred by this i.e. permanent or semi permanent. With a warmer and wetter climate how would the long term quality of the park be safeguarded against such increased heavy use? It would be nice if the park was a little more animated at times when festivals or performances were not taking place and certainly a tasteful cafe or some other carefully considered attraction(s) would be welcome. RTW5 Given that there are a number of artistic and cultural organizations within and around the area, the airtime and influence that such bodies have on both residents and visitors would seem to be quite weak. As a visual artist myself I find it rather perplexing that there isn't a single Art gallery, public or otherwise in the town. This is all the more odd when the number of vacant properties (some managed/owned) by the local council is observed. With Sir John Deans, and Mid Cheshire college offering further education across the arts, it must be a little disheartening for students to see or experience so little of these disciplines showcased in their home-town. The downside of this is that local talent will eventually migrate. Arts practitioners (not just visual) carry the load of producing artworks, self-initiating possible projects, submitting proposals, seeking funding and marketing and promoting such possible events and attractions. This is quite a colossal expectation. Is a support network necessary, a go-to place or person who could guide, advise and help practitioners and students add a cultural texture and framework to the town. Perhaps a dedicated multidisciplinary arts venue would be of benefit to	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	Wide ranging comments that are largely supportive. Lack of arts based facilities criticised. This is addressed in RTW5.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1256 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1257 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1258 Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1259 Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1260	Yes	The loss of use of my river bank access is detrimental when a better plan with a small demountable 5 meter section would have made so much difference. The heavy handed approach of the EA leaves a lot to be desired and they just state they can do what ever they want due to some Flood act that gives them the power to do so. The EA hide behind their sub contractor. I hope that the finish that is left and any rectification is done correctly.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1261	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1262	Yes	We should take this opportunity to continue to sell the town on its heritage merits. The idea of a festival site at Verdin Park is a great idea; perhaps this summer Councillors could visit the Bingley Live event in west Yorkshire to see what can be achieved in a modest park setting.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1263	Yes, partly	Not as important as the survival of the shopping economy in town	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	It is hoped that the Barons Quay development will address this problem. The issue is also addressed in Retail and Commercial section.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1264	No answer given	Once the Quayside developments are completed, the town centre shopping areas modernised, Northwich will be transformed. The waterway is its greatest asset and so much more can be done to develop its potential and bring visitors into the area. Surely the time will come when passenger carrying boats can use the River Weaver similar to the market town of Skipton. Please put Northwich on the map.	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1265	Yes	Improvements to Verdin Park infrastructure such as toilets and band stand for organised concerts. The river should be a focal point for Northwich	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1266	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1267	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1268	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1269	Yes	As both canal and river pass through our community we are very supportive of attempts to increase traffic and use both as an amenity. As a suggestion for the silos at Winnington, might we draw your attention to this - http://www.dezeen.com/2013/05/15/diving-and-indoor-skydiving-centre-by-moko-architects/ Why not think big and think nationally about making Northwich a destination!!!!	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1270	Yes	No comment to make.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1271	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1272	Yes	N/A	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1273	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1274	Yes, partly	RTW1: A youth hostel, under YHA or independent, would be useful for tourists on lesser budgets to learn more about what the area has to offer. Maybe also for school groups visiting our historical venues. RTW2: comments elsewhere regarding pathways along waters RTW3 and 4: yes RTW5: Lack of a central arts hub is no bad thing; each venue has its character, that would be lacking in an imposed hub. Is there coordination between groups to ensure they don't put shows on simultaneously? Is this a DAN rÃ'le? I thought we had a cinema, in the Plaza? Do we need a commercial one as well as a community one? Also, LÃ¼beck in Germany capitalises on its rivers by having artificial beaches, with changing facilities and refreshments. Hint!	Respondent answer makes some valid points worthy of consideration.	A waterside based youth hostel would be desirable, as many physical activities are water based. It should be listed as a facility to be encouraged.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1275	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1276	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1277	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1278	Yes		Respondent answered yes	

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1279	No answer given	enhance the Waterways and tow paths to attract visitors and residents to what could be a very attractive area. Fig60 looks very attractive, but I understand this is not a true picture of the future of Northwich. I moved to this area to enjoy the waterside activities and wonderful country parks, etc.	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1280	Yes	Support the development of facilities in Verdin Park centre	Support investment in Moss Farm leisure	Respondent answered yes
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1281	Yes, partly	RTW5 - By the time the plan comes into being there may be very little cultural economy. It needs to be more about growing this economy/capacity than simply sustaining it at its current level.	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	No comment required
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1282	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1283	Yes	Much more use of the waterways and waterfront is required. It is Northwich's greatest asset.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1284	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1285	Yes	Really must have river front cafes and walkways as other towns would give anything for such an attraction. Also perhaps a childrens play area.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1286	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1287	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1288	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1289	Yes	Agree with 4.8.5. the existing flat roof buildings could also be given a face lift with a conventional pitched roof and mock B&W facade. The existing road could be pedestrianized and foot/cycle paths provided. The existing footpath on the other side of the Weaver could be improved, which could include a pedestrian footbridge linking in with Barons Quay. this would encourage tourists/locals to utilise the elevated viewing area of Beswick Road	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1290	Yes, partly		Respondent did not answer yes, but provided no comment	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1291	Yes, partly	Water frontage and access by the public is of utmost importance "the Venice of Cheshire"??? Fig 58 A new pedestrian bridge would be excellent - how about a diagonal path bridge?	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	An endorsement of a waterside pedestrian ring, as shown in the artists impression.
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1292	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1293	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1294	Yes	4.8 Recreation, Tourism and Waterways RTW2 additional objective: Riverside development that enhances the character and viability of the River Weaver Navigation as a working commercial and leisure waterway will be supported. These being, public and private moorings, slipways and maintenance and services for commercial and leisure vessels. RTW2 additional objective: Development of a Northwich Waterway Strategy to improve viability of the River Weaver Navigation for commercial and leisure use in Northwich along with maintaining and developing existing link to Winsford would be supported. RTW2 additional objective: The retention of the Canal and River Trust dry dock facility adjacent to Hunts Lock on Slipper Island is an essential requirement to maintain the River Weaver Navigation as a viable commercial waterway. Proposals that support this objective will be encouraged. RTW2 additional objective: A new River Weaver marina with associated residential and commercial development adjacent to the Barons Quay River Park at Carey Park would be supported.	Respondent answered yes	Perhaps an additional insertion:- "Lock Street development should be reserved for public waterside access and enjoyment, which would be more easily achieved by providing a swing footbridge across the river in the vicinity of Barons Quay". This would also allow West Bank parking and/or pedestrian access for residents of the many new housing projects planned and being built in Winnington. It would relieve some of the extra demands that this new traffic will impose upon the Town/Hayhurst Bridge crossings

Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1295	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1296	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1297	Yes	The rivers around Northwich have a great deal of potential to encourage visitors by boat. John tackley was quite right at the meeting to highlight this	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1298	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1299	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1300	Yes	Cant wait for the new look for Northwich facing the rivers	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1301	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1302	Yes	A pedestrian/cyclist swing bridge as shown in the picture is very necessary to unite the two river banks and open up Lock Street for waterside development. this will also be invaluable as the Winnington housing area is developed - an easy shopping route without using existing roads. It will create a proper waterside core to the town with an enjoyable waterside circular walk. It can be likened to London's wobbly bridge	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1303	Yes	Especially objectives 23, 24	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1304	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1305	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1306	Yes	The river Weaver Frontage is key to creating a thriving place to be	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1307	Yes	Everything must be done to improve river frontage. What has been done by Waitrose, the moorings there have been remarked upon by visitors to the town.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1308	Yes	RTW2 iniatives via extra moorings and slipways to enhance River Weaver as a tourist & leisure facility RTW2 retain the Canal and River Trust dry dock on Slipper Island by Hunts Lock - proposals to restore this to full working use will be supported. RTW2 A new marine at Carey Park adjacent to Barons Quay will be supported.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1309	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1310	Yes	Promote watersports on the river. Link boatlift and Salt Museum by boat	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1311	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1312	No answer given		Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1313	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1314	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1315	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1316	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1317	Yes	Create a Vale Royal Riviera! River taxi from Barnton to the Town centre	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1318	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1319	Yes	Moving shops & making them better. Maximising the use of Furey Wood	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1320	No answer given	Furey Wood - convert to marina but keep forest parts too	Respondent provided no answer	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1321	Yes		Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1322	Yes	If Northwich's riverside was totally regenerated as per fig 58, visitors would flock to the town.	Respondent answered yes	
Recreation, Tourism and Waterways	1323	Yes, partly	Northwich is blessed with three rivers and lovely bridges. Lets see them all, don't hide them	Respondent comment required no action or comments were outside scope	An endorsement of increasing the attraction of the River Weaver town frontage as proposed in the Plan